

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

<p>1. DATE Feb 52</p>	<p>2. LOCATION Gonzales, Texas</p>		<p>12. CONCLUSIONS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other "SAUCER PAN" <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown</p>
<p>3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1730 GMT</p>	<p>4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar</p>		
<p>5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>6. SOURCE Civilian man</p>		
<p>7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 minutes</p>	<p>8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1</p>	<p>9. COURSE</p>	
<p>10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Aluminum color. Normal flight.</p>		<p>11. COMMENTS</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>RETURN TO:</p> <p>Director Aerospace Studies Inst ATTN: Archives Branch Maxwell 2:3, Alabama</p> </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>K243.6012-1 Feb 1952</p> </div>	

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS REPORT OF INVESTIGATION	FILE NO. 24-39/6	DATE 12 June 1952
	REPORT MADE BY REUBEN STRICKLAND, S/A	
TITLE Unusual Aerial Phenomena Sighted from Gonzales, Texas, and Vicinity	REPORT MADE AT DO #10, Kelly AFB, Texas	
	PERIOD 20-21 May 1952	
	OFFICE OF ORIGIN DO #10, Kelly AFB, Texas	
	STATUS CLOSED	

CHARACTER
SPECIAL INQUIRY


REFERENCE
 None, this is an initial report.

SYNOPSIS

Investigation initiated by District Commander, based on information from FBI Field Office, San Antonio, Texas, that [redacted] R, Gonzales, Texas, and two other persons had observed an unusual aerial phenomena. Investigation revealed that [redacted] R, [redacted] and [redacted] S, all of Gonzales, Texas, had observed an aerial phenomena east south east of center of Gonzales, summer of 1951. [redacted] and [redacted] R, Gonzales, Texas, had seen two (2) unusual aerial objects, one while driving through Shiner, Texas, and one while driving on Highway #3 at Oak Forest, Texas. One was unusual because it appeared to vanish into a ball of fire, and the other because it had no markings or insignia. Mrs. [redacted] and husband saw an unusual circular aerial object while driving south on Highway #3, approximately one (1) mile north of Gonzales. Acquaintances stated reliability of [redacted] [redacted] questionable. All other interviewees were considered reliable.

SAC

ATTENTION [redacted] or to [redacted]

DISTRIBUTION CG, AMC, Wright-Patterson AFB, ATTN: MCIS 2 Hq OSI 2 File 2	ACTION COPY FORWARDED TO Commanding General Air Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio	FILE STAMP <i>Current 17 March 2011</i> FAW AFR 205-1 (C) GROUP 4 Downgraded at 3 year intervals; declassified after 12 years 13 May 68
	APPROVED  JOHN G. SWOPE Colonel, USAF <i>District Commander.</i>	

10033344

The second phenomena he saw was on a Monday shortly after Valentine's Day 1952. The object had been observed while he and his brother, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] street, Gonzales, Texas, were driving on Main Street in Shiner, Texas, going north. The object was seen first while going north on Main Street and then again after the turn on Main Street was made which caused them to then be traveling in a west north west direction. It was considered unusual because it disappeared into what appeared to be a ball of fire. The [REDACTED] gave the following information concerning the sighting of the object:

- Q. Date of observation?
A. On Monday sometime after Valentine's Day in February 1952.
- Q. Exact time of observation (local)?
A. 5:30 to 6:00 PM.
- Q. Place of observation?
A. Saw object while on Main Street in Shiner. Object again observed at city limits of Shiner on Hallettsville and Gonzales Highway.
- Q. Position of observer (air, car, building, location of - give details)?
A. Riding in car. 40 MPH.

4
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

UNCLASSIFIED

24-39/6

ro

DETAILS (Cont'd)

- Q. What attracted attention to object?
A. Just happened to see object while on Main Street. Disappeared from view and reappeared as above.
- Q. Number of objects and sketch of formation or grouping?
A. One.
- Q. Apparent size (compare to known object, i.e., sun, moon, thumb or fist at arms length)?
A. Size of single engine plane at 3/4 mile distance.
- Q. Color of object?
A. Alluminum in color.
- Q. Shape (give graphic description - compare with known object)?
A. Unable to make out any features - maybe oval.
- Q. Altitude (angle of elevation above horizon - 0° at horizon, 90° overhead)?
A. 22½ degree angle from horizon.
- Q. Direction from observer (angle clockwise from North)?
A. About 300° from north.
- Q. Direction of flight of object?
A. Traveling from east to west.
- Q. Time in sight?
A. Fifteen (15) minutes.
- Q. Speed (time to cover given angular distance)?
A. Traveling normal speed of fighter plane at first sighting - from second sighting until disappeared (size of fighter plane) it disappeared in matter of 2 - 3 minutes.
- Q. Sound and odor?
A. No sound or odor.
- Q. Distance from observer (distance to town, building, etc., over which object appeared to be)?
A. First sighting 3/4 mile - second sighting 1 mile.
- Q. Trail (color, length, width, persistence, etc.)?
A. None.

2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~ U. CLASSIFIED

24-39/G

ro

DETAILS (Cont'd)

- Q. Luminosity (visible by reflection, incandescence, other - degree of brilliance)?
A. One-half (1/2) minute after sighting second time a bright light (as bright as sun) appeared. Sun and object were together for comparison. Note reflection of sun off object. Light lasted about fifteen (15) seconds.
- Q. Projections (fins, wings, rods, antennae, canopies, etc.)?
A. Unable to distinguish if had any projections.
- Q. Maneuvers (turns, climbs, dives, etc. - sketch of flight path)?
A. Straight line of flight.
- Q. Manner of disappearance?
A. Distance became so great object disappeared.
- Q. Effect on clouds?
A. Clouds had no effect on visibility. No effect on clouds.
- Q. Additional information concerning object?
A. None.
- Q. Weather conditions and light at time of sighting?
A. Sun was setting, visibility unlimited, good light.
- Q. Did observer wear glasses, especially polaroid glasses at time of sighting or was object viewed through canopy, window, or other transparent material?
A. Viewed through windshield of car. View clear.
- Q. Name and address of observer?
A. ~~REDACTED~~
- Q. Occupation and hobbies?
A. Attending High School. Airplane and Hot Rod enthusiast.
Builds model aircraft.

UNCLASSIFIED

24-39/3

ro

DETAILS (Cont'd)

- Q. Additional information concerning object?
A. Thought it could have been a cloud at first then decided it wasn't. Finally thought it could have been a "flying saucer" since they had heard and read about them.
- Q. Weather conditions and light at time of sighting?
A. Weather clear - sun shining, visibility unlimited.
- Q. Name and address of observer?
A. Mrs. [REDACTED],
Gonzales, Texas.
- Q. Did observer wear glasses, especially polaroid glasses at time of sighting or was object viewed through canopy, window, or other transparent material?
A. Wears glasses all time - had them on at time. Saw through windshield - was clean. Able to recognize aircraft.

Mr. [REDACTED] was not available for interview, however, Mrs. [REDACTED] S stated they had recently discussed the object and what she had stated was also the views of Mr. [REDACTED] S.

6. On 21 May 1952, Sheriff L. O. McGINTY, Gonzales, Gonzales County, Texas, advised that he has known the following persons ten (10), fifteen (15) and thirty (30) years respectively and considered them to be completely reliable and truthful. None had ever been in any trouble and were respected citizens of Gonzales, Texas:

Mr. [REDACTED] S
Mr. [REDACTED]
Mrs. [REDACTED]

Sheriff McGINTY further advised that he did not know [REDACTED] S, but knew his father very well and that he worked for the City of Gonzales. He advised that he considered [REDACTED] father reliable and trustworthy, and that he had no reason to doubt [REDACTED] son ([REDACTED]) being of the same caliber person.

7. On 21 May 1952, Mr. [REDACTED] Vice President, Gonzales State Bank, Gonzales, Texas, was interviewed concerning the reliability, honesty, and character of the following persons:

Mr. [REDACTED]
Mr. [REDACTED]
Mrs. [REDACTED]

Mr. [REDACTED] advised that he only know Mr. [REDACTED] through banking business and, therefore, he was unable to attest to the credibility of any information

24-39/6

ro

DETAILS (Cont'd)

Mr. ██████ might relate. Mr. ██████ advised that he had known Mr. ██████ all his life and that he believed ██████ was of good character and trustworthy, however, he had some doubt about the credibility of information received from ██████. Mr. ██████ stated that ██████ might be inclined to seek publicity. He based this on the way ██████ would greet him whenever they met, which was quite frequently. ██████ would greet him (██████) as if they had not seen each other in months when in fact it may have not been over a day or two since they had spoken to each other. Mr. ██████ further advised that he did not know just how to express what he meant, but that ██████ was peculiar in a way and might exaggerate the truth or supplement it through his imagination.

Mr. ██████ advised that he had known Mrs. ██████ for many years and believed her to be completely reliable, honest, trustworthy, and that any information she related would be credible.

8. On 21 May 1952, Mrs. ██████, Secretary, Chamber of Commerce, Gonzales, Texas, was interviewed and stated substantially the same as Mr. ██████ concerning the honesty, character, and credibility of Mr. ██████ and Mrs. ██████. Mrs. ██████ further advised that Mr. ██████ was honest, trustworthy, and reliable, but that he had changed considerably since he had been injured in a fire and that at present, she was somewhat doubtful about the credibility of information he might relate because he seemed to read and think a great deal and then use his imagination to supplement the facts. Mrs. ██████ further stated that she did not wish to imply that anyone of the three (3) persons would make up a complete falsehood or that they were not basically honest, truthful, dependable, and reliable.

CLOSED