1 APRIL 1952 ATIC UFO BRIEFING

RETURN TO USAF Historical Archives ASI(ASHAF-A) Maxwell AFB, Ala 36112

7-3745 - 381 1003835 A SGE HE UNCI



which we know nothing. But at this point we enter a field of

speculation so limitless that we can no longer pursue it at all.

I should perhaps also mention the space-satellite, the

"artificial moon" as it is sometimes called, which involves the

placing of a man-made and perhaps man-occupied object in space

outside the gravitational field of the earth. Many of you may

have read the article on this subject in a March 1952 issue of Collier's

Magazine. It's a very good article, by the way, and scientifically

sound.

The idea of the satellite also exerts a powerful pull upon

man's imagination.

But, so far as our investigation is concerned, we can find

no reason to believe that such a thing as a man-made satellite

exists now. It may in the future, probably will. It may exist

now, in the sense that anything is possible. But we can find no

evidence to this effect. And lacking evidence, without proof,

ATIC - 1 April 1952

.

we must disregard the satellite possibility in our investigation.

To come back at least a little closer to earth, we will discuss

for a moment some of the other lines of inquiry that have been

followed. I will give over now to Mr. , of the Aircraft

and Propulsion Section, Technical Analysis Division, ATIC. Mr.

is an aeronautical engineer. He will give you an account of develop-

ments in other fields, again in consideration of all possibilities,

however remote. Mr.

Mr. :

Let me speak first about guided missiles. The early history

of the operational use of this weapon is now quite well known. Its

first application was during World War II by Germany, with the

launching of the 1944 V-1 or "buzz-bomb" attack on London. These

missiles, although strange and fearful enough in many ways, did

resemble aircraft in basic configuration. Their speed was about three

hundred and fifty knots, and their range not more than one hundred

and forty nautical miles.

The German V-2, a "ballistic" or bullet-like missile as con-

trasted to the aircraft-configuration type, was a much larger weapon.

Its range also, however, was short, being about one hundred sixty

five nautical miles.

In the United States, work on guided missiles has been going on with ever-increasing rapidity. The near-operational state of

the MATADOR is probably well known to you all. This, a winged,

non-ballistic missile, is given a range of five hundred nautical

miles. Others of generally similar type, such as the SNARK and the

NAVAHO. are projected for development between 1954 and 1958, and will

have greatly increased ranges up to five thousand five hundred miles.

Also, in the U.S. program are rocket-powered ballistic missiles

of the same general type as the V-2 but with much greater range. It

is estimated that with continuing development effort we will have

by 1960 a multi-stage ballistic missile capable of carrying a mass

destruction warhead five thousand five hundred nautical miles.

Guided missile work is also going on in other countries, of course.

The Soviets are known to have shown great interest in the German V-1

ATIC - 1 April 1952

.

and V-2. It is estimated that Russian development of the V-2 may have

increased its range to about 350 nautical miles. Some increase in

range may be expected in V-1 development, but no details are known.

And the Soviets are conceded to have the capability to build

missiles of the MATADOR and SNARK types, although there is no

positive evidence that they are doing so. There is, however, con-

siderable reason to believe that they are working hard on large

rocket engines for missile application. And it is estimated they may

have ready for use by 1957, a two-stage ballistic missile with a

range of twenty five hundred miles. They very likely have, today, a

rocket engine of 120 metric tons.

And now, no discussion such as this would be entirely complete

without at least some mention of the Sanger rocket drive. Dr.E. Sanger,

a German, performed his experiments on the rocket drive, or rocket-

bomber as he himself calls it, during the period of 1939 to 1941. His

is a highly theoretical and as yet unrealized proposal for a rocket

of extremely long range and possessing, oddly enough, both ballistic

and aircraft characteristics. It cannot really be considered a guided

missile at all, in fact. It has wings, and, moreover, is designed to

carry a pilot and to be recoverable, that is, landed, at the end of its

mission. And yet its range is so great and its methods of operation

so unusual, that many of its design features come within the ballistic

missile category.

What Dr. Sanger theorized was a vehicle powered by a rocket engine of some one hundred tons thrust, to be about one hundred

feet long, with a wingspan of seventy feet, a takeoff weight of

approximately one hundred tons, a takeoff speed of around twelve

hundred miles per hour, and capable of attaining an altitude of

one hundred miles. Its maximum volocity would be about 15,000 miles

per hour. Its range is so great as to carry it more than half

way around the earth. To explain its operation and rather than

trust my interpretation, let me put it in Dr. Sanger's own words.

He says, "... the rocket-bomber ... climbs at full motor drive to

a height of fifty to one hundred fifty kilometers. At the end of

the climb the rocket motor is turned off and the aircraft, because

of its kinetic and potential energy, continues on its path in a

sort of oscillating gliding flight with steadily decreasing amplitude

of oscillation. Because of its wings the aircraft descending its

ballistic curve bounces on the lower layers of the atmosphere and is

again kicked upwards, like a flat stone ricocheting on a water surface

.... the initially big jumps steadily become smaller and finally

go over into a steady gliding flight the bombs are released at a

predetermined moment, and the craft returns to its starting place or

some other landing field in a wide arc...during gliding flight the flight

speed decreases from its high initial value to normal landing speed.

It is completely independent of weather and time of day at the

target, and of enemy counteraction, because of using astronomical

navigation in the stratosphere and because of the height and speed of

flight." End of quote.

I repeat that no working model of the Sanger rocket-bomber

has ever been built so far as is known. But Dr. Sanger is still

13

living, and still at work -- presently for the government of France.

To depart now from the missile field and discuss aircraft, let us consider the unconventional aircraft configurations. A great deal of interest has been shown by many designers in low aspect-ratio all-wing configurations as a means of obtaining compact, low-drag aircraft. The elimination of fuselage and tail surfaces in such designs would decrease the total surface area exposed to the airstream and thus lower the parasite drag. And the low aspect-ratio normally associated with an all-wing design makes it feasible to design an efficient light-weight structure.

Work in all wing configurations has been carried on by various

designers in the United States since the early 1930's. Of all of

these designs, the most interesting is probably the Zimmerman wing

aircraft which was proposed by Chance-Vought in 1939.

The aircraft was to have a span of 23.3 feet and was to employ

a Zimmerman wing (see NACA report TN No. 539). The aircraft was to be

powered with two P&W engines of 1350 H.P. each which were mounted at

the wing tips. The take-off distances of this aircraft were very low,

ATIC - 1 April 1952

being 230 feet over a 50 foot obstacle. An experimental model of the aircraft was built for the Navy and designated XF5U-1. The rate of climb obtained during flight tests did not meet expectations due to the extremely high-induced drag inherent in low aspect ratio wings. The take-off distance did check out to be very low. The project was dropped with the advent of high speed jet aircraft.

The pure delta-wing in which many U.S. companies have shown an interest also appears to have a high induced drag factor although

there is some evidence that this can be alleviated somewhat by round-

ing the wing tips. Convair is carrying on an active project

(F-92) to determine the flying characteristics of a pure delta-wing

aircraft. To date, fairly successful results have been obtained and

they have been awarded a development contract for a delta-wing

all-weather fighter.

Names always good for a look of interest in aeronautical

circles are those of the Horton Brothers and Herr Lippisch. These

two design teams began in the early thirties to build and fly various

all-wing gliders in Germany.

ATIC - 1 April 1952

The Horton Brothers are now separated, one of them being still in Germany and the other in South America. Lippisch has been in the U. S. since 1946. The rather unusual appearance of their designs accounts for much of the interest they arouse. Before I give you a quick run-down of the Horton all-wing aircraft series, permit me to make a few remarks regarding the general history of wing shapes. It is a matter of fact that saucer-shaped airplanes are not quite as new as is sometimes thought. Quite a number of aircraft have

been constructed and flown with wings of the ring or disc type which

could well have been mistaken for saucers, hat brims, doughnuts, etc.

Aeronautical engineers have always toyed with such queer wing shapes.

Disc wings, for instance, permit certain disadvantages of conventional

wings to be overcome.

When the sail-plane movement proved that slender wings were a necessity for soaring, designers began striving for "good" aspect

ratios. A good aspect ratio for gliders would be in the neighborhood

ATIC - 1 April 1952

of 10 and higher. The higher the better. A representative value

would be 15 to 20.

While the glider enthusiasts were always striving for higher aspect ratios (and in that category fall the "all-wing" enthusiasts), the circular airfoil designers were dabbling with aspect ratios of 1 to 4. This so-called "battle of the aspect ratios" was decided in favor of slender wings (that is, high aspect ratios) in spite of the fact that Prandtl was eager to point out that his airfoil theory did not hold water for very small aspect ratios. That, in fact, the

induced drag of disc wings was less than his theory suggested.

Some early tail-less airplanes had rather stubby wings and

heavily reflected airfoil sections. A 1929 design by the Frenchman,

Abrial, showed an aspect ratio of 2.88 with, however, substantial

wing tip discs (which have the effect of increasing the aerodynamic

aspect ratio). Wind tunnel experiments of this model indicated a

17

creditable performance.



1003835

Harris V

RETURN TO:

Director Aerospoce Studies Inst ATTN: Archives Branch Maxwell AFB, Alabama

UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL PHENOMENA

٠

1

A BRIEFING



THE AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base

Dayton, Ohio

Prepared 1 April 1952



The Lippisch delta wing designs are very similar in their basic aerodynamic aspects to those of the SF5U. Both the disc and the delta shape make for high structural rigidity and, consequently, very thin airfoil sections can be employed in these designs. Furthermore, tests have shown that wings with high sweepback plus low aspect ratio will give safer stalling characteristics. The

delta wing plan form, of course, combines both the sweep angle and

the low aspect ratio feature in one package.

And now here are some illustrations.

SLIDE NO. I

This is the XF5U-1 referred to previously.

SLIDE NO. 2

This is a table summarizing characteristics of the Horton

designs.

SLIDE NO. 3

This is the glider with the two-parabola plan form, referred

to previously.

4

ATIC - 1 April 1952 18

SLIDE NO. 4

This is the Horton H-VIII transport. It was deisgned to

carry 60 passengers in the trans-atlantic run. The facilities at

Gottingen limited the wing span to 158 feet although the original

plans called for a larger aircraft.

SLIDE NO. 5

The Horton H-VIII had characteristics and performance as

shown on this slide:

Wing span

158 ft

0

Wing area	2500 ft ²
Aspect ratio	10
Sweep at leading edge	28°
Gross weight	33,000 lbs.
Wing loading	13.2 1b/ft ²
Power plant	6 BMW engines rated at 600 hp each
Power loading	9.17 lb/hp
Cargo	60 passengers
Vmax	175 knots
Rate of climb	1000 ft/min
Service ceiling	15,000 ft
Range	2500 NM

ATIC - 1 April 1952

SLIDE NO. 6

We have made a projection of the Horton design which may be of interest. Development of the Horton H-XVIII. A possible development as to performance and characteristics is shown in this slide.

Assuming an aircraft with a span of 1.53 feet, wing area of 4000

square feet, and a gross weight of 200,000 pounds powered with

4 x 10,000 pound thrust engines, the aircraft could have a range of

approximately 5000 NM.

SLIDE NO. 7

Of the German all-wing designs, the U.S. showed the greatest

interest in the Lippisch delta-wing DM-1 glider.

The DM-1 was to be used to test the flying qualities of the delta-wing planform, in preparation for construction of a supersonic fighter of that planform. The DM-1 had a span of 19.7 feet, a very low aspect ratio of 1.81, and a gross weight of approximately 1000 pounds. The pilot was housed in the root of the large vertical fin. This aircraft was to have been developed into a supersonic fighter powered with a coal fuel ram-jet.

A comprehensive wind tunnel test program was run on the DM-1 by the NACA at Langley Field. The original tests were started upon the recommendations of Theodore Von Karman in answer to a request as to whether or not it would be safe to flight test the DM-1. Considerable work was done by the NACA to find the maximum lift coefficient available for landing and to determine sinking speed of the glider. With triangular wings of aspect ratio of about 2, maximum lift coefficients of the order of 1.2 can be obtained. The corresponding

angles of attack, however, will be considerably greater than those

for conventional airplanes. Furthermore, since the lift-drag ratio

at high angles of attack is approaching 1, the angles of descent without

power are likely to be prohibitive and airplanes using this type of

wing probably will not land safely without power.

SLIDE NO.9

The Lippisch P-12 delta wing aircraft was designed as a high

performance interceptor and was to be powered by a liquid fuel

ram-jet.

٠

There is a large air intake in the projecting nose and the pilot is seated above the combustion chamber forward of the large vertical fin. The undercarriage is composed of a single central wheel with a skid projecting downward from each wing tip.

SLIDE NO. 9

The only known characteristics are as follows:

Wing span 13.2 ft

Wing area 130 sq ft

Aspect ratio 1.33

Power plant liquid fuel ram-jet (rocket for

initial acceleration)

(Note: At present, no country is known to have an operational

liquid fuel ram-jet: The leading powers are conducting research

and development on a limited scale; no immediate estimates on

performance are available.)

ATIC - 1 April 1952

4

SLIDE NO. 10

Results of tests conducted with the DM-1 glider were to be

utilized in the design and construction of the P-13 fighter.

The P-13 incorporated a delta planform with a 60° swept-back

leading edge. A ram-jet power plant was proposed but no information

is available regarding its development except that several coal

burning types were being considered.

This slide shows another projection, of the same kind done

previously with the Horton design. It is a projection of the possible

of the P-13 design.

If a development of the P-13 project were actively pursued it

would probably follow along the original German thinking. Such

an aircraft is estimated to have the following characteristics:

23

Wing span	20 ft
Wing area	220 sg ft
Aspect ratio	1.8
Sweep at leading edge	60°

Gross weight	7700 lb
--------------	---------

SLIDE NO. 11

Assuming a typical present day installation of a 6000 lb.

thrust jet engine, the estimated performance would be as follows:

Rate of climb at sea level

21,000 ft/min

Vmax

Mach No. 1.0

Endurance

30 min

Range for this aircraft would not be outstanding, probably

about 300 nautical miles.

Lights on now, please.

(In-flight refueling)

Speaking as we have been of long-range possibilities, we

might mention that we have also given consideration to in-flight

refueling and the possibility that our aerial phenomena may be

due to conventional aircraft from a foreign source, using the range

extension to be gained from recent refueling techniques. It is

quite true, of course, that in-flight refueling has greatly

ATIC - 1 April 1952 24

extended the range of present-day aircraft. This applies to conventional aircraft, however, and since our reports indicating aircraft point to a highly unconventional type, it is felt that in-flight refueling as a possible explanation for the phenomena offers little promise.

(Jet Engine Development)

To complete our consideration of the field of aircraft

development with special emphasis upon the possibilities of

increased range, let us review for a moment the aircraft gas turbine.

It is a truism to refer to jet engine development as sensational,

but I wonder if that tired word "sensational" really expresses

just how remarkable that development has been. In some ten years

we have gone from less than nine hundred pounds thrust to more

than ten thousand. Turbojets with dry static sea level ratings of

about ten thousand pounds thrust are already installed in aircraft

and undergoing flight test. And we have currently in bench testing

engines with thrust ratings of up to eighteen thousand pounds.

ATIC - 1 April 1952

5

American and British developments have been about parallel.

Both have gone from centrifugal flow to axial flow compressors,

to performance augmentation by use of afterburners and other devices,

to improved operations at ever higher altitudes. The Soviets have

apparently followed much the same trend. They are now developing

engines of upwards of ten thousand pounds thrust, and they have at

least one, the Lyulka, that is rated at eleven thousand five hundred.

And we have every reason to believe that their development program is

continuing at a rapid pace.

There is no indication, however, of any radically new principles

in jet propulsion that would account for the reported behavior of

some of the unidentified objects as to maneuverability and acceleration

rates.

will take over again now.

(Briefing Officer)

PART 5

Thank you, Mr. Kobernuss.

(Briefing Officer)

.

As we have seen from what Mr. Kobernuss has told us, there

is no real evidence pointing to unconventional aircraft, range

extension techniques, or developments of known power sources, as

a possible explanation of the unidentified aerial phenomena. We do

not say that we cannot find any evidence to support them at present.

And now as to the present operation of the investigation,

specifically as to methods of collection and methods of analysis. I shall now introduce an aeronautical engineer

who is project officer in charge of the investigation, who has been

working with it for quite some time and is thoroughly familiar with

all of the operational details.

PART 1 INTRODUCTION

(Briefing Officer)

.

This briefing is classified "Continuented"

, Gentlemen. My name is . I

represent the Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson

Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio. The Air Technical Intelligence Center

is a field extension of the Directorate of Intelligence, Headquarters

United States Air Force.

The mission of the ATIC, in the fewest possible words, is the

prevention of technological surprise in the air. As a part of that

mission, the ATIC is charged with the responsibility of investi-

gating unidentified aerial phenomena.

PART 6 COLLECTION

(Project Officer)

To tell you how we actually operate, suppose we take a

hypothetical case. We'll get a telephone call, or perhaps a

wire, say from an airbase in the Middle West where a pilot or

some other military personnel has seen an unidentified object in

the air. If it's a telephone call, we will try to get as much

information as we possibly can right then, using for that purpose

a check-list of questions we have developed. If it's a wire, of

course we take what we get. Or perhaps we'll put in a telephone

call ourselves for more information. In any event, the first

thing we try to establish is who witnessed the sighting. This

determines whether or not we make a field trip. Since we cannot

personally contact every observer, we attempt to evaluate the

preliminary information to determine whether or not a field trip

will be practical.

The preliminary report will give some point from which to start the investigation. First we attempt to eliminate the factor of mis-identification of known objects. We check the time and place of all weather-balloon launching or of any research balloons. And we use the Air Almanac to check the current locations of any exceptionally bright and easily visible planets. You must understand that this work is not at all an attempt to prove that checking, to see whether we should eliminate certain of the most

obvious possibilities.

If a field trip is necessary, we take off as soon as we can

and conduct a personal interview with the witness or witnesses.

In this connection, a very important thing is getting to witnesses

guickly, while their experience is still fresh in their minds.

We also ask the local intelligence officer to make out a

standard Intelligence Form 112 on the incident and forward it through

channels. This gives us a pretty complete picture, as a rule.

29

Leaving our hypothetical airbase sighting case now, suppose the incident was reported not by a military person or organization but by a private citizen. In this case many of the procedures are exactly the same, but of course we don't have the support and assistance of the military, which means no Form 112 and often a lot more difficulty in finding the witness and getting him to hold still for questioning. In cases of civilian witnesses, we sometimes ask the assistance of other military agencies in making

a fast check on the citizen's local standing as to reliability

and so forth, to try to rule out any possible practical jokers

or otherwise doubtful sources of information.

PART 7

ANALYSIS

And now, what do we do with the information when we get it?

Well, we make record of it, of course. We have a cross-index system

of filing now in use. And we plot sightings on maps, in different

ways and for different purposes, as I'll show you in a moment.

And then when we have the information organized as well as possible,

we begin the analysis.

It is here that we call upon the varied talents of a considerable

number of other people and other activities. The problem is passed

around, so to speak, within the entire ATIC organization for everybody

to have a crack at it. And since the Air Technical Intelligence

Center does have a considerable number of highly qualified personnel

in almost any given field relating to aircraft, the problem gets

a pretty good going-over right here within our own organization.

We don't stop there, however. You will recall that when the

early history of the investigation was discussed, you were told of the scientific advisors employed. The same thing is being done

31

now, although upon a somewhat different basis. Now, instead of

dealing with individuals working apart, we have an arrangement

with a civilian research institution of top standing and reputation,

to handle this part of the analysis for us. This institution has

a group or "panel" of scientific personnel in many differing

specialities -- astronomers, physicists, chemists, even psychologists --

who work in close collaboration in considering incidents that are

brought to their attention. This work is done upon a consultant

contract basis. It is a relatively new departure -- one of the

improved methods we are putting into operation as rapidly as

possible -- and while it is not in full swing as yet, we have every

reason to entertain high hopes of this analysis method.

PART 8

VISUAL ILLUSTRATIONS

You may be interested in how we make us of visual methods of

analysis, as a part of our effort. I'll show you now some plots

we have drawn up, and keep current. The first of these is a plot

of sightings for the year of 1947 through 1951.

SLIDE NO. 12

This plot indicates only the locations of sightings during the

years considered. No differentiation is made as to types of objects

sighted, final evaluations, or any other consideration. The sole

purpose of this and other plottings of its type, is the attempt to

establish some sort of pattern as to where these things are seen,

find out whether they're concentrated near key defense installations

or any other significant areas. You can't tell from this map,

of course, what pattern obtained for the different years, but I

will tell you as I go over it. The nineteen forty-seven map showed

concentrations of sightings in the Northwest. This was to be

expected, of course, since it was in that year that the phenomena

first leaped into the public consciousness; during July and August of that year after Mr. Arnold's incident in June, everybody in the Northwest of the U. S., it seems, saw something similar. In 1948

the sightings were moving eastward, and were quite scattered --

that year gives us less of an indication of pattern than any other.

In 1949, late in the year, and in the early part of 1950, a con-

centration began to show up in the Southwest. Virtually all of the

indications in this area that you see on the map were put there in

late 1949 and early 1950. There's a reason for this, which I will come

to in a moment. In 1951 no outstanding pattern is observable, the

only things of note being a continuation of the eastward movement

and an increase of concentrations near centers of population. Also,

during 1951 the Middle-western section of the country began to produce

a greater number of sightings than previously. It is of course yet

too early in 1952 for any pattern to have been established.

To go back now to the remark I made a while ago about the concentrations in the Southwest, this is as good a time as any to explain something that I believe we have not touched upon before. Ordinarily we lump all unidentified aerial phenomena together, especially necessary since there are so many variations in the size and shape and color of the objects reported seen. But there is one distinction that was made early in the investigation, and that relates especially to phenomena noted in the Southwestern

part of the United States. This phenomenon is the so-called

"green fireball". Occasional green fireballs have been seen for

many years and in several different parts of the world, but never

that we know of has there been such a concentration of them as in

the Southwest in recent years, especially since late 1949 and early

1950 as stated previously. And in this phenomena, unlike other

reports of unidentified objects, the description of size and shape

and color and behavior is almost always pretty much the same.

These "green fireballs" are similar to meteors except that they

have a definite blue-green color, appear to be much larger or lower

than a common meteor, and have a horizontal trajectory. Some have

been seen to explode but no pieces have ever been found. At any

rate, the differences between the green fireballs and the other

manifestations were so distinct that it was decided to consider

the fireballs in a separate category, and have the investigation of

them conducted by another organization. This job was turned over

to the AF Cambridge Research Laboratories, then an activity of the

Air Materiel Command and now under the Air Research and Development

Command. The Air Technical Intelligence Center has accordingly had

no connection with this particular investigation, although we

have naturally been very interested in following it as well as

possible.

I am not empowered to speak for the Cambridge Lab. and have no official knowledge of the results of their green fireball project. I wouldn't want to try to steal their thunder by talking about a

project that belongs exclusively to them, anyway. But I think

I'm safe in saying that I understand informally that the project has been completed, that a report will be published soon, and that it will probably in inconclusive. I may well be wrong, but I'm afraid the green fireball phenomena is going to remain, for the time being at least, a mystery.

Now to get back to our analysis methods, as another example of how we attack the problem I'd like to show you another chart.

SLIDE NO. 13

This slide shows the frequency of sightings from 1947 through

1951. You will see that there is some regularity in the peaks. The

large peak in 1947 followed the first sighting, by Mr. Arnold,

cheers the

mentioned before.

5

So far, we are unable to explain an apparent seasonal increase

in sightings, which you will see occurs in the spring, in the

middle summer, and along about December of each year.

ATIC - 1 April 1952

PART 2 HISTORY

Aerial phenomena are not new. For some three hundred years now, men have seen in the sky, at one time or another and in one place or · 1 · · another, almost everything imaginable. Newspaper files are filled

with reports of strange objects, lights, and other phenomena seen by

reliable witnesses -- but never adequately explained -- over the years.

But let us skip lightly over a few hundreds of years, and take

up our more recent experiences in the field.

The present era -- if one may call it that -- of the un-

identified objects began in June of 1947 when a man named Kenneth

Arnold, a business man of Boise, Idaho, reported seeing from his

private plane a chain of nine saucer-like objects near Mr. Ranier

in the state of Washington. Mr. Arnold's report set off a sort of

chain-reaction of similar reports. I think we need not dwell here

on that period -- as newspaper readers, you are all probably quite

familiar with it. What I would like to review briefly, is the

action taken by the Air Force and the results of it.

ATIC - 1 April 1952

SLIDE NO. 14

This shows the sort of people, within certain limits, from whom these reports of sightings come. You'll note the preponderance of civilian observers, concerning whom we have attempted no separation or classification. As to military observers, however, we did think it might be useful to classify them as you see here (ad lib from chart on different classifications)

SLIDE NO. 15

This slide shows reported shapes of objects. We had hoped to

narrow down a little this matter of shapes, and learn something of

real significance. But, as you see from the chart, this effort has

so far not been very productive. Considering viewing angles, times

of day, condition of darkness, weather, all the other factors that

are involved, it is extremely difficult to establish any sort of

pattern as to shape.

٠

<u>SLIDES 16 & 17 IN TURN</u>

(Photos. Discuss each extemporaneously)

(Briefing Officer) will take over again now.

ATIC - 1 April 1952 38

PART 9 DISPOSITION

(Briefing Officer)

2

You may well ask at this point, what do you do with all

this material when you've finished with it -- what happens to

it, and what good does it do? To answer my own question, a "status

report" is published once a month. This report covers all incidents

currently under investigation. These status reports are given a

fairly wide distribution, going to many activities of the Air Force

as well as to the major commands. In addition to the more formal

status reports, special reports are made from time to time on

individual incidents when required by higher headquarters.

And it goes without saying -- or should -- that we are set

up to issue a special report at any time and on short notice,

if there is any indication at all of the technological development

of a possible hazard to the security of the United States.

PART 10

EVALUATIONS

And now for our evaluations to date. We have considered

six hundred ninety-eight incidents, since the beginning of the

investigation in 1947. The breakdown or probable causes of these

incidents is as follows:

a. 237 sightings - or about 34 precent - were of celestial

bodies (stars, planets, etc)

b. 70 sightings - or about 10 percent - were balloons.

c. 84 sightings - or about 12 percent - can be accounted

for by aircraft, birds, airborne debris, etc.

d. 35 sightings - or about 05 percent - were rockets and

flares.

e. 91 sightings - or about 13 percent - were not evaluated

due to insufficient data for analysis.

f. 181 sightings - or about 26 percent - could not be

evaluated and remain unexplained.

This means that counting both the 13 percent on which we

had insufficient data for analysis and the 26 percent which we

could not attribute to any known cause, there have been 272 sightings

of which we have record that we are unable to explain.

With these -- nearly forty percent of the total -- we have

run up against a completely blank wall.

We don't know what is causing this unexplained forty percent.

Since we don't know, we keep trying to find out.

And that about expresses the whole philosophy of the Air

Technical Intelligence Center. We keep an open mind, and we keep

trying to find out. So long as there remains even a possibility

that there may be something here that could develop into a hazard

to the security of the United States, we will continue the

investigation.

PART 11

FUTURE PLANS

And now, in conclusion, let us describe our plans for the future.

The present methods of conducting the investigation will be con-

tinued, with special emphasis upon use of scientific consultants. In

addition, however, we will soon establish -- are already in the process

of doing so -- a new procedure involving both radar-scope and

direct photography.

As to the radar-scope photography, we have made an arrangement with

the Air Defense Command to maintain a special alert for aerial

phenomena and to take radar-scope photos of any unidentified objects

that may be sighted on radar screens. This is a one-in-a-million

chance, and we are well aware that the probability of results from

this method is very small. But we believe it is worth trying,

especially since the ADC alert can be maintained without any inter-

ference with normal operations.

And there is another photographic procedure which we will soon put into use, again with Air Defense Command assistance. In this we will employ ordinary still cameras equipped with a special diffraction grid. Any photographs thus secured will be subjected to spectrum analysis in an attempt to analyze light source.

I believe that is all. We will remain here for as long as

you like for questions. Are there any questions?

Thank you.

(P)



SLIDES

- 1. XF5U-1 (Pancake)
- 2. Table Summarizing Horton Designs
- 3. Horton 2 Parabola Glider
- 4. Horton VIII
- 5. Horton VIII Performance Figures
- 6. Horton Design Projection Figures
- 7. Lippisch DM-1 Research Glider
- 8. Lippisch P-12 Delta Wing Design
- 9. Lippisch P-12 Characteristics
- 10. Lippisch Design Projection
- 11. Lippisch Design Projection Performance
- 12. Plot of Sightings
- 13. Frequency of Sightings
- 14. Sources of Reports
- 15. Shapes of Objects
- 16. Photograph
- 17. Photograph
- ATIC 1 April 1952

It was realized early that a special effort would have to be made by the Air Force to deal with the situation into which we had been plunged with such abruptness. Accordingly, the Intelligence Department of the Air Materiel Command -- from which the Air Technical Intelligence Center has since evolved -- set up a special project to handle the investigation. I should like to emphasize here that this investigation was undertaken without bias and in all seriousness. It was not "slanted", that is to say. Its object was not to either prove

or disprove the existence of the phenomena, but rather to learn the

truth of the matter. And, of course, if the truth were learned, to

determine whether any possible hazard to the United States was involved.

It is perhaps worthy of note here that a particular effort was

made to enlist the services of not only military and civilian govern-

mental agencies, but also of civilian scientists and "disinterested"

authorities. The consulting authorities were as follows:

1. Dr. J. A. Hynek, an astronomer of Ohio State University.

2. The Air Weather Service.

ATIC - 1 April 1952



3. Dr. G. E. Valley, of the Scientific Advisory Board.

4. The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California.

5. The 3160th Electronics Laboratory, Cambridge Field Station, at that time an activity of the Air Materiel Command.

6. Dr. P. M. Fitts, of the AMC Aero-Medical Laboratory.

7. The U. S. Department of Commerce Weather Bureau.

In August 1949 an official report was published that summarized

the project up to that date. It represented the final conclusions of

all the agencies and individuals concerned in the investigation.

This report covered some two hundred fifty separate incidents that

had been reported and evaluated up to that time.

The findings stated in the August 1949 report were that the

phenomena did not represent a threat to the security of the United

States. This was in 1949, remember, and the report was based upon

evaluation of only 250 sightings.

The general conclusions of the official report were announced

publicly in December 1949, in response to a growing demand from

press and public for an official Air Force statement on the subject.

PART 3

PRESENT STATUS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The original special project for the investigation of

unidentified aerial phenomena was discontinued, as has been

stated. However, public interest in the matter did not entirely

disappear, and incidents themselves didn't stop occuring, either.

So the investigation has been continued, no longer on an acceler-

ated special project basis, but as a normal and routine part of

5

the technical intelligence function.

This is its status today.

ATIC - 1 April 1952

.

PART 4

LINES OF INQUIRY

It may be of interest to you to know that we by no means confine

our efforts to just the analysis of reports of actual sightings that

reach us. Instead, we have deliberately enlarged the scope of the inquiry

to include consideration of all possible sources for these sightings,

regardless of whether any actual evidence points directly toward any of

them. Statements have been made that these incidents might be caused

by visitors from other planets, or developments of guided missiles, or

unconventional aircraft of designs unknown to us from a foreign power,

or more conventional aircraft with great range or unusual power sources.

We agree that these possibilities are worthy of serious consideration,

so we have gone into them carefully and in some detail. We want to

leave no stone unturned to get at the cause of these phenomena. In

that spirit, we will discuss some of the possibilities here.

Let us first take up, as a possible explanation, the interplanetary

vehicle, the space-ship. The idea of space travel is one of the most

challenging ever to occur to the imagination of man. I hasten

ATIC - 1 April 1952

to say that we have considered it very seriously. Seriously

enough to have asked for, and received, an authoritative report on

the subject from scientific sources.

This report considered as one example the possibility of space

travel from the planet Mars. Mars in particular was selected because,

of all the known celestial bodies, it is thought the most likely to

be able to support higher forms of life.

It is quite possible that a trip from Mars to the Earth and

back could be made, using a rocket-powered vehicle and assuming the

employment of nuclear energy for power. It is estimated that such

a vehicle would have to be a four-stage rocket, would weigh at

least fifteen thousand tons, and would have to attain a velocity of

roughly ninety thousand miles per hour.

It is also theoretically possible to reach the Earth from other

planets. And it is by no means left out of consideration that another

"race" on another planet may have evolved another power source of

7