PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 2 February 1955	2. LOCATION Miramar NAS, California	12. CONCLUSIONS Was Bolloon Probably Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1150 GMT 02/1950Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION - MCGround-Visual Ground-Radar - D Air-Visual G Air-Intercept Rada	Possibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS D Yes E No	Military (Navy)	D Was Astronomical D Probably Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 9. COURSE	D Other UNIDENTIFIED Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
Observer Navy Comdr,	driving vehicle UNIDENT	TETED
& noticed Navy a/c 1 object below one of	anding, sighted the a/c. Obj was med erratic. However, a steady rate. y polished sur-	
light. Change from who brown color & sudden! a tremendous speed. (brown vapor trail.	hite to a reddish- ly accelerated to	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

3 February 1955

From: To:

Office of Naval Intelligence (Attn: Rm 200 Ft. of Broadway, San Diego, California)

Subj: Observation of unidentified aircraft

1. At 1150 hours the morning of 2 February 1955, this officer departed MAS Miramar in a private vehicle in route to the U.S. Maval Hospital Balboa Park. Upon leaving the station from the east gate and turning right on highway 395 he proceeded due south. When immediately off the east end of the main runway of the air station this officer observed 2 F7U3 aircraft wing to wing and a FJ3 aircraft intersecting the F7U3 aircraft at a distance of approximately 400 feet on the downwind leg in the landing pattern. A second FJ3 aircraft trailing the first by approximately 500 yards appeared to this writer to have only one landing gear wheel extended. Sensing an emergency, this officer carefully observed the FJ3 in flight, as it leveled off on the downwind leg I observed that both landing gear plus the nose wheel were down. Simultainiously I noted an object immediately aft and somewhat below the FJ3. My first thought was a part of the airplane, possibly the canopy, had been ejected and was falling. The motion of the falling object was somewhat erractic, however it continued to decend at a steady rate of approximately 3 to 500 feet per minute. By this time it was obvious the decending object was not a part of the airplane and that it was considerably beyond the point the aircraft just passed. Being unable to ascertain exactly what the decending object was and not discounting spots before the eyes, dirty windshield of the car and eye strain due to concentration on the landing gear of the aircraft, I raised my glasses, rubbed by eyes, looked away for an instant and again concentrated on the decending object. Insofar as I am able I will attempt to describe the location, altitude, description, coloring etc. It was not possible to determine even a reasonably accurate altitude. However, I should say the object when first observed appeared to be somewhere between 10 and 20 thousand feet, probably closer to 20 thousand and decended 3 to 5 thousand feet from the original observed position, ultimately coming to a complete stand still for a period of 5 to 10 seconds. I was able to determine the object appeared to be an off white in color and with an apparent highly polished surface since there was a bright reflection from the sun. I was further able to determine the object itself was not a reflection due to the fact it was reflecting sunlight. The object if considered as a sphere and from my location estimated at 3 to 5 miles distant at the 5 o'clock position a reddish brown color appeared and at the same time the object practically instantaneously accelerated to a tremendous speed certainly not less than 1000 to 1500 miles per hour. A short vapor trail or exhaust of brown hue remained in the approximate position the object had been in prior to the extremely rapid acceleration. The vapor trail or exhaust quickly dissipated due to a fairly highly wind condition. The object took a course of approximately 170 degrees, granting that highway 395

runs reasonably true north and south at that point. It is with extreme disgust that this officer is unable to give you anything like a realistic dimension. I would hazard a guess the object was not less than 25 to 35 feet in diameter and could conceivably have been 100 feet in diameter. The distance involved and the fact that this officer was unable to force himself to properly evaluate the object precludes a very precise description. I believe the object was of spherical or near sphereical shape. Again the distance precluded determining if the object rotated on its axis, or if there were any openings or protrutions. This officer has been serving in Naval Aviation for approximately 26 years and is familiar with the normal illusions one can see in connection with high speed, highly polished or high gloss painted aircraft at high altitudes and other phenomena of a similar nature. The object I observed today was not an aircraft in the normal sense as we apply the term, it was a solid object, a definite mass and was not a reflection or an optical illusion.



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL USAF

18th DISTRICT OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

AF DEPOT, BOX 310, MAYWOOD, CALIF.

18D 24-378

2 March 1955

SUBJECT: Unidentified Aerial Object Reported

to Office of Naval Intelligence by Lt Commander JOHN L. INCERSOLL

SPECIAL INQUIRY

THRU:

District Commander

5th District OSI (IG) USAF Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio

TO:

Commander

Air Technical Intelligence Center

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio

1. Attached are two copies of a self-explanatory memorandum dated 3 February 1955 from Lt Commander Management of San Diego, California.

2. The attached is being made available to your Headquarters for such action as you deem necessary and appropriate. No investigation in this matter is contemplated by the 18th District OSI.

1 Incl. a/n

ARTHUR T. CAMERON

Colonel, USAF

cc: Dir OSI (w/Incl)

District Commander

b/L, DO #18, Maywood AF Depot, Cheli AF Sta, P.O. Box 310, Maywood, California, 24-378, Subj: Unidentified Aerial Object Reported to Office of Naval Intelligence by Lt Commander

5D 24-21-1.66

1st Ind

HEADQUARTERS 5TH DISTRICT CSI (IG), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 14 March 1955

TO: Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio ATTN: ATTAA-20

Forwarded.

1 Indl

ROBERTS P. JOHNSON, JR.

Colonel, USAF

District Commander



Witnes

COMMANDANT'S OFFICE ELEVENTH NAVAL DISTRICT SAN DIEGO 30. CALIFORNIA

ADDRESS REPLY TO COMMANDANT LITH NAVAL DISTRICT AND REFER TO.

1122/9t

13/11M

Ser 9052/1122

22 April 1955

Subj: Observation of Unidentified Object

Enel: (1) U.S. Air Force Tschmical Information Sheet (2) Self-addressed envelope

1. The Air Technical Intelligence Center, Bright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio has received a narrative report completed by you on 5 February 1955, of an unidentified aircraft. The report was comprehensive and well prepared, however, to facilitate Tright Patterson Air Force Base in performing an analysis of the incident, it is requested that you complete the enclosed "U.S. Air Force Technical Information Sheet" and return it to the Commandant, ELEVENTH Bayal District for forwarding to the Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

2. The enclosed self-addressed envelope is for your convenience.

J. T. RASTALLIS
By direction

Copy to

Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio



7	1									
100	Coop						PFICIA	I WILE COPT		
T	COORDINAT					10000	100	ONICS BRANCH		
G		ON .		4	ATIAE5/CAH/wm	1/69216	Office	of Record		
-	ATI						il			
				Constitution of the Consti						
				2 1 MAR 1955						
	ATIM-1	визлест:	(Uncl) Observa	tion of Unider	of Unidentified Object					
	ATIMG	Office of Maval Intelligence ATTN: Rm 200 Ft. of Broadway San Diego, California								
-		1.	The Air Technica	l Intelligence	Center has	received	3.			
	norrative report completed on 3 February 1955 by LCDR									
-	ATIMS	The contract of the contract o								
		hours on	2 February 1955,	just outside	the Miramar	Mayar Mi	2 DES 01	.011,		
		Californ:				3 To		4-0		
	ATIMX	2.	The report was o	comprehensive :	and well prep analysis of	the inc	ident,	it		
		To reaction	ated that ICDR	his Center in performing an analysis of the incident, it that ICDR and the asked to complete the inclosed,						
		TI.S. Al	r Force Technical	Information :	sheet."					
-		3. It is further requested that upon accomplishment of the sheet, it be returned directly to the Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, ATTN: ATIAE-5, Wright Patterson Air Force								
ATIR Litelligence Center, Alliv: Fillus-), alliv: A										
		FOR THE COMMANDER								
	ATIS									
					ozoni.					
	1 Incl				MARY L. STORM 1st Lt. USAF			1091		
	Cuestionnaire 1st Lt. USAF Assistant Adjutant									
	ATIA		.0				Mis	The state of the s		
63	3/13	135 alber	it W. Drepper	K VSGT, AE-5		Sal Ad	IA.			
	Vert	mon .				WAR ON	W			
	184	uela				1000				
					¥					
					4					
	RS									
				V. 1. 7	PE	ERMTE	WP	RETENTION PERIOD		
	-				DE	STROY				
	*				RE	TIRE				
		A (REV 1 PES	s 55) ROUTING	AND COORDINATIO	N SHEET FIL	LE AUTHOR	TY			