PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 9 November 1957 3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local GMT 10/0147Z 5. PHOTOS	Amarillo AFB. 4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION D'Air-Visual 6. SOURCE	SERVATION /isual Ground-Radar		Was Balloon Probably Balloon Possibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
□ Yes □ No	Military		000	Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2 - 3 minutes	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE West	000	Other Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
Two or three red lights on bottom looking like a or a flood light, 50 ft Was over car then starts toward West.	searchlight off the ground.	Probable a/	C	sighting.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 23 November 1957	Amarillo AFB, Texas		12. CONCLUSIONS D Was Balloon Probably Balloon	
SMT_23/1045Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Description of the Control	D Ground-Radar D Air-Intercept Radar	Possibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft Was Astronomical Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical Possibly Astronomical	
5. PHOTOS O Yes O:No	6. SOURCE Military			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2-3 minutes	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	9. COURSE NE	Other	
Round, white, glowing, of half dollar. Moved then veered up and to	toward observer		ably saw an a/c which cinity of the sight- me.	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

688TH AIRCRAFT CONTROL AND WARNING SQUADRON (ADC) United States Air Force Amarillo Air Force Base, Texas

OPS

₹ NOV 1957

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object Report

TO:

Commander

Air Defense Command Ent Air Force Base

Colorado Springs, Colorado

- 1. In accordance with paragraphs 905 and 906, chapter IX, of CONAD Manual 55-1, the following report of sighting of an unidentified flying object is hereby submitted:
 - a. Description of object;
 - (1) Round.
 - (2) Half dollar
 - (3) White.
 - (4) One.
 - (5) N/A.
 - (6) It glowed.
 - (7) None.
 - (8) None.
 - (9) None.
 - b. Description of course of object:
 - (1) Bright light.
 - (2) 090° elev. 20° (2)
 - (3) 120° elev. 60°
 - (4) Moved toward observer then veered up and to right.
 - (5) Faded.
 - (6) (Two or Three minutes.)

688th ACW Squadron; OPS, Subject: Unidentified Flying Object Report

- c. Manner of observation:
 - (1) Ground visual.
 - (2) N/A.
 - (3) N/A.
- d. Time and date of sighting.
 - (1) 1045Z 23 Nov 57. 1045-6 = 0445-
 - (2) Night.
- e. Location of observer(s). Exact latitude and longitude of each observer or georef position, or position with reference to a known landmark.
 - (1) GEOREF position FJDF 2017.
 - f. Identifying information of observer(s):
 - (1) N/A.
 - (2) Fletchall, R.D. A/3C 688th AC&W Squadron, Air Policeman. Fairly reliable.
- g. Weather and winds-aloft conditions at time and place of sightings.
 - (1) Clear and cold.
 - (2) Surface 280 DEGREES 06 KNOTS 5
 6,000 FEET 050 DEGREES 05 KNOTS NE
 10,000 FEET 050 DEGREES 15 KNOTS NE
 16,000 FEET 050 DEGREES 25 KNOTS NE
 20,000 FEET 060 DEGREES 50 KNOTS NE
 30,000 FEET 050 DEGREES 85 KNOTS NE
 50,000 FEET 280 DEGREES 10 KNOTS NE
 80,000 FEET NOT AWAILABLE
 - (3) None.
 - (4) 15 %.
 - (5) None.
 - (6) None.

23/10452 Withus

688th ACW Squadron, OPS, Subject: Unidentified Flying Object Report

h. Any other unusual activity or condition, meteorological, astronomical, or otherwise, which might account for the sighting.

(1) None.

- i. Interception or identification action taken (such action may be taken whenever feasible, complying with existing Air Defense directives).
 - (1) None.
 - j. Location of any air traffic in the area at time of sighting.
 - (1) One Piper Tri-Pacer in area.
- k. The following comment is submitted by 2/Lt. Richard P. Henderson, Director on Duty at time of sighting.

According to the observer he heard no sound and the object moved very fast, thus it is doubtful that the sighting was that of a TRI-Pacer.

PAUL J. QUINLAN Major, USAF Commander

ADODI-B

1st Ind

5 DFC 1957

Hq Air Defense Command, Ent Air Force Base, Colorado

TO: Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, ATTN: AFCIN-4E4, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

ATIC comment:

If the object moved "very fast" as reported, it is doubtful it would have stayed in eight for 2 or 3 minutes.

The observer is unreliable in reporting elevations and azimuths, he is probably first as unreliable in reporting speeds.

Under the circumstances the observer no doubt saw the tri-pacer that was in the areas why for we therefore usould report it, we cannot understand.