

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 6 June 60 07/0155Z	2. LOCATION Union City, Indiana (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION PHOTO: No discernible can be identified.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object was flying W to E. It was quite high. Flying high fast and made no condensation trail, but the pattern that appears around it was not visible to the naked eye. The sun was behind the observer when when he took the picture. Observer stated the photo was not a double exposure.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE E	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes In File <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

~~NO CASE~~

~~TOTO~~

Union City, Indiana

6 JUNE 1960

FTD (TDETR)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433
14 October 1966

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] 47390

Dear [REDACTED],

Please excuse the delay in replying to your letter of 25 April 1966. Your photo and camera data was sent to photo analyst personnel, here at Wright-Patterson AFB. Their only comments were that there was no discernible object that could be identified on the photograph and that the light colored areas on the photograph could have been caused by sun flare.

Since you stated in your letter that we may keep the photo, we are placing it in the case files with your report. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

Sincerely,



HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Project Blue Book

PHOTO JOURNALISM

Major Hector Quintanella Jr.
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

Dear Major Quintanella,

After trying in vain to find an explanation to this photograph I am sending a print of the slide to you. I hope you will be able to explain it to me.

I am a member of the Professional Photographers of America and the National Press Photographers Association. I was recently employed by the local news paper, for 8 mo.

DATA: Object was flying west to east, camera was looking east-south-east; so object was going from right to left in the fram, and was photographed after it reached the far left side of fram. It was quiet, high flying, fast, and made no con trail; but ofcourse the pattern that appers around it was not there to the naked eye. The sun was behind me. This is not a double exposure. You may keep this print if you like.

Film; Kodacrome Type F 35mm

Filter; Daylight (color corection)

(Kodak Pony IV; Camera)

Date; June 6, 1960

Time; 7:55 P.M.

Place; Courner of Columbia and Pearl st, Union City, Indiana.

I personally have given up trying to explain the pattern that developed on the film and hope you can help me.

Thank you,

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 66-32

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 1 August 1966

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION UNION CITY, INDIANA

DATE 6 JUNE 1960

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY _____

P NRS _____

1 color print (5 X 7), letter from Mr. [REDACTED]

1. PURPOSE: This report is a joint response by the Photo Processing and Photo Analysis Divisions to Work Order Number 66-61 from Major H. Quintanilla, Jr. (TDEW/UFO) who requested photo analysis of one color print taken in Union City, Indiana on June 6, 1960 by Mr. [REDACTED]

2. ANALYSIS: No discernible object can be identified on the attached photograph. The light colored areas on the photograph could have been caused by sun flare.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

APPROVED BY:

Gerald A. Scheiman
GERALD SCHEIMAN
Intelligence Research Specialist

Richard L. Chance
RICHARD L. CHANCE
Capt, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Division
Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Director,
Photo Exploitation Directorate

June 6, 1966

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS
 PHOTO ANALYSIS
 MACHINE COMPUTATION

PPT NUMBER: A6010301

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Request photo analysis be performed on the attached print. What are the possible causes for the images in this print.

REFERENCE MATERIAL: One colored print, letter from [redacted] 25 Apr 66. Request all material be returned upon completion of analysis.

REQUESTED BY Maj H Quintanilla, Jr SYMBOL TDEW/UFO PHONE 70916/78678

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.

_____ 27 May 66 _____
 PRIORITY DEADLINE DATE SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF DATE

ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR _____ ASSISTANT _____

FINAL WORK TO BE IN FORM OF :

ENGINEERING NOTE
 COMPUTATION
 PI REPORT
 DRAWING
 PROGRAM

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY: _____ DEADLINE DATE _____

PRIORITY NUMBER
 ONE
 TWO
 THREE

WORK ORDER NUMBER

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

MACHINE COMPUTATION

FIELD ANALYSIS

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

DESCRIPTION OF WORK:
 Please state the possible causes for the failure in this detail.
 Present photo analysis is performed on the attached

This case includes one (1) 5" x 7" color photograph.

PHONE NO.

SYMBOL

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION

DATE

SIGNATURE OF RECEIVING DIVISION CHIEF

DEADLINE DATE

PRIORITY

PROGRAM

DRAWING

REPORT

COMPUTATION

ENGINEERING NOTE

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY

DEADLINE DATE

TURN

TRD

ONE

WORK ORDER NUMBER

