PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION Champaign, Illinois		12. CONCLUSIONS D Was Balloon Probably Balloon Possibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local / 2055Z GMT 07/2055Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION — W Ground-Visual — Air-Visual	Ground-Radar D Air-Intercept Radar	Possibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS/ Q Y • •	6. SOURCE Civilian		Was Astronomical Meteor Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	9. COURSE not given	Other Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING		11. COMMENTS	

SECRETARY OF STREET, INCHES SOME BRUNELENDER BY THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

and the state of t

AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE STATE OF THE STATE O

BURELLE BURE TO LEASE TO LEASE TO BE A TRANSPORTED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the s

Film strip forwarded to ATIC which showed an unidentified object on several frames. Source was filming a silhouette of a statue and the object was not seen until the film was being previewed.

See: Film 7-3745-448

CARTO IN PHYSICAL SPECIMEN FILE)

Upon analysis by ATIC it was determined that the object was a light source of considerable intensity which moved thru the camera's field of view in 1 1/4 sec. The extremely high velocity and brightness indicates that the object was probably a meteor of the "fireball" class.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

AFCIN-4E2 /Maj Friend/amc/69216/typed 30 June 60

D. II 11.13

UFO Film (Mr.

SAFOI-3d (L/Col Tacker)

- 1. Mr. hampaign, Illinois forwarded a film strip to the U. S. Air Force which showed a UFO on several frames.
- 2. Mest Side Park in Champaign, Illinois. The filming took place at 2055Z on 7 June 1960.
- 3. The film was analyzed by ATIC and the following concluded:
 - a. The object on the film is a genuine photographic image.
- b. The object was a light source of considerable intensity, due to its being entirely visible within eight degrees of the sun.
- c. The object was traveling at a very high velocity as evidenced by the fact that it moved through the camera's field of view in 14 seconds.
- 4. Image motion during exposure precludes any analysis of object configuration; however, its extremely high velocity and brightness indicates that the object was probably a meteor of the "fireball" class.
- has not given any publicity to this sighting, but Mr did request notification of the result of the Air Force analysis.
- 6. Mr. home and business addresses are as follows:

Home

Business &



7. The film strip is being retained at ATIC as a part of the case file for this sighting, and will not be returned unless in makes this specific request.

FOR THE COMMANDER:


COORDINATION:


CIN-4ER Johnt Rienfly


AFCIN-LE2


MICHOLAS POST Acting Deputy

Science and Components

















-





AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

ATTH OF: AFCIN-4E2

SUBJECT: UFO Film (Miller



to: SAFOI-3d (L/Col Tacker)

- 1. Mr Sphotographer for of Champaign, Illinois forwarded a film strip to the U.S. Air Force which showed a UFO on several frames.
- 2. Mr. Swas filming a routine silhouette of a statue in the city owned West Side Park in Champaign, Illinois. The filming took place at 2055Z on 7 June 1960.
- 3. The film was analyzed by ATIC and the following concluded:
 - a. The object on the film is a genuine photographic image.
- b. The object was a light source of considerable intensity, due to its being entirely visible within eight degrees of the sun.
- c. The object was traveling at a very high velocity as evidenced by the fact that it moved through the camera's field of view in $1\frac{1}{4}$ seconds.
- 4. Image motion during exposure precludes any analysis of object configuration; however, its extremely high velocity and brightness indicates that the object was probably a meteor of the "fireball" class.
- 5. has not given any publicity to this sighting, but Mrs. did request notification of the result of the Air Force analysis.

home and business addresses are as follows:




Business

7. The film strip is being retained at ATIC as a part of the case file for this sighting, and will not be returned unless Mr. makes this specific request.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

NICHOLAS POST Acting Deputy

Science and Components



FilM
June 7, 1960
Champaign, Ill.
Observer

File # 1003554

In custody of Anoia-visual pivision

This ease includes ten (19) 10" x 6" photographs.

Additional to the state of the

\$ FILM in separate foldep

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

REPLY TO ATTH OF:

AFCIN-4E2

SUBJECT:

Request for Photoanalysis

14 June 1960

TO: AFCIN-LDLa

1. Request the attached film and photographs be analyzed, and the results forwarded to this office.

2. Attached is a copy of the cover letter containing camera, exposure, and film data to aid in your analysis.

RICHARD R. SHOOP Colonel, USAF AFCIN-4E2

1 Atch: Cy ltr 10 June 60 fr ATC w/2 atch

Ltr AFCIN-4E2, 14 June 1960, Request for Photoanalysis

1st Ind (AFCIN-4D4a)

27 June 1960

TO: AFCIN-4E2 (Col R. R. Shoop)

- 1. The attached film has been analyzed and the following conclusions reached:
- a. If the data accompaning the photography is true and correct, some very intense light source moved through the camera's field of view in $1\frac{1}{4}$ seconds. The intensity of the light source is indicated by the fact that it is entirely visible when within eight degrees of the sun.
- b. The information given regarding camera tilt is verified by the sun angle at the stated time of photography.
- c. Image motion during exposure precludes any analysis of object configuration.
- d. The photography and exposure data give no clue as to size, speed or distance of the object, however, the camera data indicates that if the object was moving in a horizontal plane its velocity was approximately one mile per hour for every foot of altitude above the camera station, ie, 500mph if at 500 ft. altitude, 1000mph at 1000 ft. altitude, etc.
- e. If the photography is faked, it was probably accomplished by a double exposure in which a light source was noved across the camera's field of view at a uniform velocity.

"COPY TO OF HYNER HEADQUARTERS CHANUTE TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER (ATC) UNITED STATES AIR PORCE CHANUTE AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS REPLY TO ATTN OF: OSD 1 0 JUN 1960 SUBJECT: UFO Sighting TO: ATIC Wright-Patterson AFB Ohio l. This is a combination preliminary report and report of investigation submitted in accordance with AFR 200-2. 2. The UFO sighting occurred at Champaign, Illinois fourteen (14) miles south of Chanute Air Force Base at 2055Z, 7 June 1960. 3. Inclosed is a film that was taken by M of Champaign, Illinois. The filming of the object was accidental. The object was not seen or discovered until the film was previewed at the ! home ad Champaign and his phone number is The address and the phone nu 4. Photographer was filming a routine silhouette shot of a statue in the center of the city owned West Side Park. The camera was mounted on a tripod at an approximate forty-five (45) degree upward angle. The camera was a 16mm Volex Reflex Camera with a 10mm wide angle lens. Estman 7276 black and white film was used with a shutter speed of one sixtieth of a second, filming twenty-four (24) frames per second. Exposure was F 22, using a K-2 yellow filter with the lens focused on infinitive. moned the Information Officer at Chanute AFB to report the sighting and offered the film to the Air Force. Mind Mr. ere most cooperative with Captain requested that he be notified of the results of the analysis of the film strip. No publicity was given by the Chanute AFB on this sighting. FOR THE COMMANDER: 2 Atch R. O. MOSHER Colonel, USAF 1. Film Strip Operations Officer 2. Set of 10 Still Photo FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

f. Microscopic examination of the original film indicates that the image is not caused by flaws in the emulsion, or by mechanical means but appears to be a genuine photographic image.

WILBER PRICE, JR. Chief, AFCIN-4D4

1 Atch No change

LORGE THE BLUE BY OF THE LORD

ZIRTSTRIGATION DIGITAL INCLESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

NNNNZCZCSQPØ32CZCSQEØ58ZCNUA726

RR RJEDSQ

DE RJEDNU 20

R 151400Z

FM CHANUTE TECH TNG CEN

TO ATIC

BT

UNCLAS OSD-17F

YOUR MESSAGE AFCIN-4E2-6-1794-E, 14 JUNE 1960. UFO SIGHTING INVESTIGATION COMPLETED 11 JUNE 1960. REPORT BEING PREPARED AT THIS TIME. WILL SUBMIT TO YOU 16 JUNE 1960.

BT

15/1704Z JUN RJEDNU

13/4×200 31-4×200

OS-S PRINCIPLE BY AND STANDAND HEAD

oi-3d/mt Col Tacker/am/72291

8 July 1960

Dear Mr.

This is to acknowledge your recent submission of a film strip to the Air Force which evidently showed a UFO on several frames. The filming took place on 7 June 1960 at Champaign, Illinois.


The object on the film is a genuine photographic image. It had a light source of considerable intensity, due to its being entirely visible within eight degrees of the sun. The high velocity of the object is evidenced by the fact that it moved through the camera's field of view in $l^{\frac{1}{4}}$ seconds. Image motion precludes any analysis of object configuration. However, the high velocity and brightness indicates that the object was probably a meteor of the "fireball" class.

With your permission, the Air Force would like to retain the film strip in this case for the official file. If this is not possible please let me know and I will return the film to you immediately.

Thank you very much for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information



oi-3d comeback oi-1 reader