

## MILITARY SENTRIES

## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 1 Jul 60	2. LOCATION Vicinity Biggs AFB, Texas		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 02/0346Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	6. SOURCE Military		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical Meteor <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5-10 sec	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS four	9. COURSE NW-SE	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Four objects size of a baseball in formation closely resembling a diamond in appearance. Fire trail about twice as long as UFO. Color of trail was dark red close to the object and a white glowing neon appearance as trail extended. Just prior to instantaneous disappearance objects appeared to separate.		11. COMMENTS The reporting officer stated that he saw several meteor trails during his investigation shortly after the sightings. The witnesses probably saw a meteor in its first stages of disintegration.	

111 Nightingale Drive  
San Antonio 11, Texas  
July 16, 1960

Office of Information Services  
Office of the Secretary of the Air Force  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

A few weeks ago I participated in a local sighting of two unidentified flying objects. About ten neighbors and myself saw these objects. Later, we attempted to relate our independent sightings and observations with known or familiar aerial phenomena of every conceivable nature; we were unable to classify the objects as peculiar to earth.


My personal interest in interplanetary flying machines was stimulated, and I subsequently took the opportunity to examine the many volumes written on UFO phenomena at one of the local libraries. I'm sure your office is quite familiar with the contents and conclusions of the many books available, both of foreign and domestic publication.

The evidence cited by the great majority of the authors appears overwhelmingly in favor of the existence of these machines and their intelligent control. A good bit of the evidence cited also appears overwhelmingly in agreement that the U. S. Government in particular, and others, has not played fair to the PUBLIC...the people who foot the bills.... by informing the PUBLIC with realistic and knowledgeable information.

It is alleged that the Air Technical Intelligence Center of the USAF is interested in getting sighting reports from the PUBLIC as well as military agencies, but is NOT INTERESTED in informing the public. I certainly hope this is not true. American prestige around the globe is low enough without the American PUBLIC losing faith also.

I can't remember having seen a newspaper reference to UFO phenomena in over a year; yet, it is improbable that sightings have decreased when the American public is becoming ever more space conscious. Has the Government resorted to requesting the news services to not carry references to UFO sightings?

I would like to request of the Air Force its position as to the existence of interplanetary flying machines, and where they are coming from. What is going on in our skies? I will be looking forward to receipt of your evaluation.

Sincerely,  




PERSONNEL ASSIGNED 95TH COMBAT DEFENSE  
SQUADRON, BIGGS AFB, TEXAS. DUTY: SENTRIES AND DOG HANDLERS.  
OBSERVERS CONSIDERED RELIABLE BY SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL. REFERENCE  
PARA 15G OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ALL OBSERVERS DESCRIBED WEATHERD  
AS CLEAR WITH EXCELLENT VISIBILITY. (2) SURFACE WINDS 140/05.  
6000-140/05. 10000-150/05. 16000-120/15. 20000-320/05. 50000-  
150/05. (3) CEILING: 8000 FEET HIGH SCATTERED. (4) TWENTY MILES  
VISIBILITY. (5) NOT APPLICABLE. (6) NOT APPLICABLE. (7)  
TEMPERATURE EIGHTY SEVEN DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. REFERENCE PARA 15H,  
15I, 15J: NONE. REFERENCE PARA 15K OF CITED REGULATION. MAJOR  
JAMES W. AMIS, 95TH DCOIO, BIGGS AFB TEXAS. THE INFORMATION  
RECEIVED FROM OBSERVERS APPEARS TO BE REASONABLY RELIABLE AND THE  
INCIDENTS APPARENTLY OCCURRED AS REPORTED. POSITIVE EVIDENCE IS  
LACKING TO CONFIRM THE REPORT. THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER WAS  
PRESENT SHORTLY AFTER THE OBSERVATIONS WERE MADE. NONE OF THE UFO'S  
WERE OBSERVED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER. SEVERAL METEOR TRAILY  
WERE OBYERVED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER DURING THE COURSE OF THE

PAGE FOUR RJWBBG 50C

INVESTIGATION. IT IS POSSIBLE THAT THE SIGHTINGS AS REPORTED MAY  
HAVE OCCURRED AS A PECULIAR METEORITE DISINTEGRATION. NO SUBSTANT-  
IATION OR CONFIRMATION OF THIS SIGHTING AVAILABLE FROM OTHER  
SOURCES.

BT

05/2314Z JUL RJWBBG



PAGE TWO RJWBBG 5;C

WERE ALL IN THE VICINITY OF THE ACTIVE RUNWAY ON WHICH A T-33 WAS MAKING ITS TAKEOFF ROLL. SOUNDS OF UFO COULD HAVE BEEN MASKED BY AIRCRAFT NOISE. UFO FORMATION APPEARED TO SEPARATE AND DISAPPEAR AS THE T-33 COMPLETED ITS TAKEOFF AND BECAME AIRBORNE. REFERENCE PARA 15B OF CITED REGULATION: (1) TWO OBSERVERS NOTED UFO DUE TO TRAIL LEFT BY THE OBJECTS. ONE OBSERVER HAD HIS ATTENTION DIRECTED TO THE AREA BY THE IMMEDIATE RADIO CALL MADE BY THE FIRST TWO OBSERVERS. (2) ELEVATION WHEN FIRST OBSERVED WAS APPROXIMATELY SEVENTY DEGREES BY ALL OBSERVERS. (3) ELEVATION REMAINED AT APPROXIMATELY SEVENTY DEGREES DURING THE ENTIRE PERIOD OF OBSERVATION. (4) UFO OBJECTS APPEARED TO MOVE IN NORTHWESTERLY TO SOUTHEASTERLY FLIGHT PATH. JUST PRIOR TO THE UFO DISAPPEARANCE THE OBJECTS APPEARED TO SEPARATE. (5) UFO OBJECTS APPEARED TO DISAPPEAR INSTANTANEOUSLY TOWARDS THE SOUTHEAST. (6) ESTIMATED TIME OF OBSERVATION WAS FROM FIVE TO TEN SECONDS. REFERENCE PARA 15C OF CITED REGULATION: (1) GROUND VISUAL. (2) NO OPTICAL AIDS UTILIZED. (3) NOT APPLICABLE. REFERENCE PARA 15D OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ZULU TIME GROUP 020346Z. (2) NIGHT. REFERENCE PARA 15E OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ALL OBSERVERS LOCATED IN AREAS NEAR OR ADJACENT TO ACTIVE RUNWAY AT BIGGS AFB TEXAS: 3151N 10621W.

PAGE THREE RJWBBG 50C

REFERENCE PARA 15F OF CITED REGULATION: (1) NOT APPLICABLE (2) SNOW, THOMAS J., AIRMAN THIRD CLASS, AF25256640. WHITE, CHARLES W., AIRMAN SECOND CLASS, AF16611775. WINCH, NED H. JR., AIRMAN

[REDACTED]

SQB113CDA 571VBGB230

PP RJEDSQ

DE RJWBBG 50C

P 052300Z

1960 JUL 6 01 41

FM 95BW BIGGS AFB TEX

TO RJWFAL/ADC ENT AFB COLO

RJWFHW/33RD ADIV OKLA AF STA

RJEDSQ/ATIC WPAFB OHIO

RJEZHQ/HQ USAF (AFCIN) WASH DC

RJEZHQ/SAFIS WASH DC

INFO RJWBKN/15AF MARCH AFB CALIF

RJWXBR/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

BT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

[REDACTED] FROM DCOI 267. EXPEDITE  
FOR THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE. UFO. REFERENCE PARA 15A AFR  
200-2, 14 SEPT 59. THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED. (1)  
UFO, OVAL. (2) THREE OBSERVERS ESTIMATED SIZE AS COMPARED TO  
BASEBALL. (3) THREE OBSERVERS STATED UFO HAD NO DISTINGUISHABLE  
COLOR. (4) FOUR UFO WERE OBSERVED. (5) OBJECTS APPEARED TO BE  
IN FORMATION CLOSELY APPROXIMATELY A DIAMOND IN APPEARANCE. (6)  
NO DISCERNABLE FEATURES. (7) FIRE TRAIL AS OBSERVED APPEARED TO  
BE APPROXIMATELY TWICE AS LONG AS THE UFO. COLOR OF TRAIL WAS  
DARK RED CLOSE TO THE UFO AND A WHITE GLOWING NEON IN APPEARANCE  
AS TRAIL EXTENDED BEHIND THE UFO. (8) NOT OBSERVED. (9) OBYERVERS

UFO ANALYSIS SHEET

Location Vicinity Biggs AFB, Texas

Date 2 July 1960 Hour (Z) 0315Z

WX Scattered clouds, 20 mi vis, winds SE at 5 to 15 knots

Description Small, size of baseball, had no distinguishable color, four objects in diamond formation, fire trail dark red close to object and white glowing neon in appearance as trail extended behind ufo, when first seen was at about 70 deg, elev remained same during observation, (over)

Direction of Motion Southeast

Satellite: (AFCIN-4F3, Phone 69219) Ruled out

Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) Poss meteor (fireball)

Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) None

Natural Phenomena (Ball Lightning, etc) -----

Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. Ruled out

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation of Source Reliability Probably reliable

Analysis and Conclusions: The reporting officer stated that he saw several meteor trails during his investigation shortly after the reported sightings. It is possible that the witnesses saw a meteor in its first stages of disintegration, although the reported duration is excessive, probably due to the inexperience of the witnesses. The pilot taking off apparently didn't see it, or he saw it and knew what it was as he didn't report it. ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~  
XXXXXXXXXX

Description cont:

Just prior to disappearance the objects appeared to separate, moved in a NW to SE  
flight path, disappeared instantly to the SE., duration was from 5 to 10 seconds