

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

<b>1. DATE</b> 25 Aug 60	<b>2. LOCATION</b> Sioux Narrows, Ontario, Canada		<b>12. CONCLUSIONS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon
<b>3. DATE-TIME GROUP</b> Local <u>2216</u> GMT <u>260416Z</u>	<b>4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft
<b>5. PHOTOS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<b>6. SOURCE</b> Civilian		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical
<b>7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION</b> 1 1/2 min	<b>8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS</b> one	<b>9. COURSE</b> E	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING</b> Bright object spotted at zenith on 105° course. Disappeared behind tree. Seemed too fast to be Echo. Object 75% as bright as Jupiter.		<b>11. COMMENTS</b> The sighting was made in Aug 60, but ATIC did not receive report until Feb 61. The report contains insufficient data for a valid conclusion, and the time lapse between the sighting and receipt of the report is too long for an investigation to be initiated. Therefore, this report is evaluated as insufficient data.	



February 9, 1961.

AIR MAIL

Mr. ██████████  
██████████  
██████████  
Ontario, Canada

Dear Mr. ██████████

Thank you for your letter describing your observation of the flight of an illuminated object on the night of August 25, 1960. As you may know, a number of similar observations have been reported for which there is no particularly satisfactory explanation. This agency has neither the assignment nor the facilities to investigate such occurrences. We have, therefore, forwarded your letter to the Department of the Air Force which has the primary responsibility for investigating this type of phenomena.

Very truly yours,

James A. Hootman, Secretary  
Inventions and Contributions Board



NATIONAL  
AERONAUTICS  
AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION



NASA HEADQUARTERS  
1520 H STREET NORTHWEST  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.  
TELEPHONE: EXECUTIVE 3-3260 TWX: WA 755

IN REPLY REFER TO

February 10, 1961

Commander Air Tactical Intelligence  
Center, Wright Patterson  
Air Force Base, Ohio

SUBJECT: Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Observed on Night of August 25, 1960

Forwarded herewith for whatever action is deemed advisable is a letter received by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration from Mr. H [REDACTED] of Box [REDACTED], Sioux Narrows, Ontario, Canada. A copy of our reply to Mr. [REDACTED] is attached.

*James A. Hootman*

James A. Hootman, Secretary  
Inventions and Contributions Board

Encls:

1. Ltr fr Mr. [REDACTED]  
dtd 9/13/60
2. Cy of reply to ltr  
fr Mr. [REDACTED]



Long 94  
Lat 50

214-9 and 8

[Redacted]

Joplin, Mo.  
U.S.A.

[Redacted]


Box 805

Ontario Canada

Dear Sir:

On the night of August 25 Friday 1960  
22.16 o'clock

I spotted at Zenith a Bright object traveling  
Easterly on 105° course 90 or 100 seconds later,  
after I snapped it with Linear Camera 3" 25"  
It disappeared behind a tree. Near Aric



RECEIVED  
NASA  
1960 SEP 16 AM 9 16  
INVESTIGATIONS AND  
CONTRIBUTIONS BOARD

1002638

This seems to fast for Echo satellite  
as it should take 12 minutes for this distance  
Object was 75% as bright as Jupiter I think  
it is Jupiter 210° against the 12° altitude anyway  
Maybe you can use this information What did I