PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

	2. LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS	
12 July 1962	Los Angeles, California		□ Was Balloon □ Probably Balloon □ Possibly Balloon	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION PXGround-Visual Air-Visual	Ground-Radar Air-Intercept Radar	Was Aircraft CXXProbably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft	
5. PHOTOS OX No	6. SOURCE Civilian		Was Astronomical Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE	Other	
not rptd	two	circling		
T				
Two objs shaped like a sufficient size to carrobserved at might. Obse & not together. Objs had flashed with the frequential tight only much bright charged in a direction of flight. Sound similar	erved individual ad light that ency of a Grimes er. Flames dis- opposite to that	y Nothing is observation analysis as sound rptd a/c and fla	cated 4 miles South ed air terminal. n description of in conflict w/ a/c except that as propeller dreven me indicates jet. Grimes light can	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Ltr, 195TH ATS (H)(MATS), 24 Aug 62, Report of Unidentified Flying Objects

1st Ind (WOP)

5.4021-3UR-15

146TH ATW (H) (MATS), Van Nuys ANG Base, California

TO: Air Technical Intelligence Center Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio

Forwarded in accordance with Par. 14 AFR 200-2.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

WILLIAM H. PENDLETON

Lt Col, USAF

Director of Operations

1 Atch

n/c

195TH AIR TRANSPORT SQUADRON (H) (MATS) UNITED STATES AIR FORCE VAN NUYS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE 8030 Balboa Boulevard Van Nuys, California

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: 195-0

24 August 1962

SUBJECT: Report of Unidentified Flying Objects

TO: Commander 146th ATG(H) Van Nuys, California

- 1. In accordance with AFR 200-2, subj: UFO, dtd 14 Sep 59 and AFR 200-2A, subj: UFO, dtd 2 Feb 60, the following information is submitted.
- 2. On 12 July 1962, Mrs Avenue, North Hollywood, California, reported to the Federal Aviation Agency that she had seen two unidentified flying objects. The information in this report was determined from her statements.
 - a. Description of Objects:
 - She described the objects as being in the shape of a hugh yo-yo. The objects were of sufficient size to carry 40 to 50 passengers.

 As the objects were observed at night, no color was determined.

 There were two objects observed.

 The objects were observed individually.

5) The objects displayed flashing lights which flashed at approximately the same frequency as a Grimes Light, except they were much brighter.

(7) The objects discharged flames opposite to the direction of flight.
(8) She stated that from the sound of the objects they were engine driven similar to that of a propeller driven aircraft, but the sound was quite different from that made by a helicopter.

b. Description of Course of Objects:

(1) Her attention was called to the objects by the sound.
(2) The objects were approximately 1000 feet in altitude, azimuth not reported.

(5) The objects were headed west, in the first case circling in a counter clocknise direction, in the second instance in a clockwise direction. At one time the object hovered approximately 20 to 30 seconds. In both instances, the object was partially obscured by trees and houses.

(4) It was not reported in what direction or manner the objects disap-

peared.

- The total time of visibility of each object was not reported.
- c. Manner of Observation:
 - It was a ground-visual observation with no optical aids used.

- d. Time and Date of Sighting:
 - Observations were made on 13 July 1962 at 0420 and 0445 Zulu. The observations were made at night.
- e. Location of Observer:
- (1) 34-10N 118-15W, approximately four miles southwest of Lockheed Air Terminal.
 - f. Identifying Information on Observers:
- North Hollywood, California; Housewife.
- (2) The observations were confirmed by her husband, age 48 and her daughter, age 19.
- Mrs was reported by the FAA examiner to be a rational, intelligent observer.
 - g. Weather and Winds:
- (1) Clear with 15 miles visibility, winds on surface were southeast at 5 kmots.
 - h. Conclusions:
- (1) We were unable to contact Mr. Dersonally nor the FAA examiner who forwarded the report. The Lockheed Air Terminal reported no unusual sightings at the time of the observation. There were no photographs or other physical evidence presented. Therefore, we are unable to make any conclusions as to the cause or true nature of the objects.

FOR THE COMMANDER

James R ROLLIES RICHARD & KOLLER

1 Lt, USAF

UFO Project Officer

1 Lt, USAF

UFO Project Officer

Atch:

FAA Rept of a/s

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

REPLY TO ATTN OF

SUBJECT:

Report of Unidentified Flying Object

17 July 1962

146 ATW 8030 Balboa Blvd. Van Nuys, Calif.

> The attached memorandum regarding the siting of an unidentified flying object is forwarded for your action in accordance with the provisions of AFR 200-2.

FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF:

M.C. Kronshage

Atch

* Pour Legressitables

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

RECORD OF VISIT, CONFERENCE, OR TELEPHONE CALL

CONFERENCE	NAME OF PERSON CONTACTED AND LOC	CATION	DATE	ROUTING
VISIT	Nirs .		July 13, 19	62 REFERENCE INITIALS
TELEPHONE			3:50 i	ANG 1-1/1
NECT				
Report of	E Unidentified Flyin	g Object	2	
ACLESION "ANDION" ACT				_
L'ITS	ION IN ON THICO	venue, forth I	tollywood, called to	
The second of th	n unlicent If led flyin	g object. ne stated		The second secon
		identified flying obje		
		She stated that both		
		appearance of a huge y		У
		t. In both instances,		
		for approximately 20 t		
		which flasher at poro:		
sest frequenc	y as a Grimes light	except it me in ch lir	ighter. The objects	were,
accord in	g to the witness, of	sufficient size to ca	arry from 40 to 50 p	passengers.
In both	instances they disch	arged Tland opposite	to the direction of	flight.
Doth tim	es the objects were	headed week, in the fi	irst case circling i	rom a
counterc	locknise direction,	In the second instance	e from a clockwise o	irection.
l.rs.	buseared to be	rational, intalligent	observer. She st	Fed Plat
The second secon	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	eraft and belieppters		
		em by sound and pager		
the scun	d of the objects the	y were encire driven	similar to that of	a propoller
driven a	ircrnit but the sour	nd was quit different	from that made by	a helicopter.
The with	ess could not be sur	re of the citamen of	the object but she	offered the
		each time to be approx		
ground.	Her observations wo	ere confirm by her h	usband, age 45, and	a daugater,
age 19.	She stated that all	three with seen agre	ed on the above det.	1115.
the stat	ed that she had call	led the Gril ith Obser	vatory and that the	y were not
interest	ed in the incident.	She folt that what s	he had seen was of	such a
startlin	ginature that it sho	ould be reported. It	old her that I knew	of no
coverme	nt office that might	t be making a study of	this type but that	I would
make a r	ecord of her observa	ations and give them a	ppropriate dissemin	ation
uithin t	he Alancy. I sugges	sted that she submit h	er report to the lo	cal news-
	cas: ner observation	ons had been confirmed	by other residents	III CIIG
area		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
			•	
CC. III. 1				
CC: WI:-1				
1111-5				
WI:-5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	
	YOY			
Je	ss R. Speckart	Airsp c: Speciali	st, 1/E-220.5 Ju	ly 16, 1962