

## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 27 September 1962	2. LOCATION Los Angeles, California		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 28/0030Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	6. SOURCE Civilian		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 6 seconds	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	9. COURSE East	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING White oval shape object observed to fly over mountains. Sun set but light still visible in West. Observer looking away from sun to North. Flight straight & smooth. Object size of dime. WX given as cloudless, temp 72 dgr. Motion straight & smooth, speed estimated at 250 mph. Object did not seem self-luminous, had dull finish, did not reflect light.		11. COMMENTS Object did not reflect light, was not self luminous. Difficult to guess at source of light. Had dull finish. Was white. Moving at slow speed of 250 mph & disappeared over mountains in 6 seconds. Much contradicting information included in letter. Request for additional info was sent to witness(UFO Fan) but no reply received. Based on duration & general appearance, possible meteor sighting. Case listed as insufficient data.	

Flying Saucer Report

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Severly Hiss, California

9/27/62

1. Time- 4:30 p.m., Duration- 6 seconds, Circumstances- sunset.
2. Location- [REDACTED] Ridge (Trousdale Estates), Altitude- 10,000 feet above ground.
3. Appearance of saucer- oval shape and white in color.
4. Direction- facing North- saucer in North direction also.
5. Weather- no clouds, Temperature- 72°, Humidity- 55, Barometer reading- 30, wind- 0, Haze- none.
6. Sun shining, but couldn't see it.
7. Saw saucer travel over mountain, then disappeared.
8. Apparent size of saucer- about a dime.
9. Motion- straight and smooth.
10. Estimate rate of motion- going about 250 miles per hour.
11. Did not seem self luminous.
12. Possess dull finish.
13. Did not reflect like mirror.
14. Did not seem transparent.
15. Observation- confirmed by parents and sister.
16. The saucer did not behave as I moved in various directions.
17. The saucer was not near sun or moon.
18. No halo around sun.
19. No cobwebs in sight.
20. General remarks- looking at the sky, when suddenly appeared, and then disappeared behind mountain. I have done much research on flying saucers, and I would appreciate your opinion on this particular matter.

HEADQUARTERS  
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



2 October 1962

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: TD-E/Col Wynn

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information ( [REDACTED] )

TO: Hq USAF  
SAFOI-3b (Major Hart)  
Wash 25 DC

1. Reference the attached letter from [REDACTED] requesting information on unidentified flying objects. This letter is forwarded to your office for whatever action you deem necessary.

2. It is suggested that Mr. [REDACTED] be forwarded a copy of the DOD Fact Sheet and that the USAF position in regard to "flying saucers" be explained.

3. Request that a questionnaire be forwarded in order that a more complete account of his sighting of 27 September 1962 be obtained. Also, information as to how his estimations of speed and altitude were determined would be helpful in a more complete analysis.

Based upon the very limited information presented in his letter, a tentative analysis would be a meteor sighting. Suggest Mr. Lesser contact Mount Wilson or Palomar observatories in the Los Angeles area for a possible correlation with other meteor sightings.

FOR THE COMMANDER

*Edward H. Wynn*  
EDWARD H. WYNN  
Colonel, USAF  
Deputy for Science  
and Components

1 Atch  
Ltr fm [REDACTED] dtd  
27 Sep 62

Our position: we are primarily interested in the "immediate threat" potential of an unidentified aerial phenomenon as it relates to our national security. Secondly, we are interested in the "long range threat potential" and third we are interested in possible additional scientific knowledge to our space program that might result from an investigation in depth.

- over -

We are not ~~at all~~ interested in  
philosophical Martians or Venusians that  
~~might or might not be~~ are alleged to be  
flitting about in our atmosphere.

27 SEPT 62

FS

October 5, 1962

Dear Mr. ██████████:

The Air Force is primarily interested in the "immediate threat" potential of an Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon as it relates to our national security. Secondly, we are interested in the "long range threat potential", and third, we are interested in possible additional scientific knowledge to our space program that might result from an investigation in depth.

We are not interested in philosophical Martians or Venusians that are alleged to be flitting about in our atmosphere.

May I suggest that you contact Mount Wilson or Palomar Observatories in the Los Angeles area for a possible correlation of facts on meteor sightings.

Sincerely,

W. J. LOOKADOO  
Lt. Colonel, USAF  
Public Information Div  
Office of Information