

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. DATE 29 October 1962 | 2. LOCATION Moorehead, Minnesota | 12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |
| 3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 29/2200Z | 4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar | |
| 5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 6. SOURCE Civilian | |
| 7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 1-2 minutes | 8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one | 9. COURSE ENE |
| 10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Witness could not describe obj, only that it was comparable to a small a/c in size, had blue white flames and a lot of smoke. Obj was believed to hit ground in the ENE flight had slow descent. | | 11. COMMENTS Total duration assumed to include the obsvation of the smoke. Believed to be meteor or decay by the investigating officers. No decays for this date associated with the time of sighting. Possibly a daylight meteor; however, the data is not sufficient for this evaluation. Case listed as insufficient data. |

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

2200Z / 29 OCT

31 Oct 62 23 20z

PRIORITY

~~SECRET/GERDE~~

~~REF ID: A6533ZC0QH334ZCYYE122~~

PP RUCDSQ

DE RUCDAF 7

ZNR

P 311420Z

FM GRAND FORKS ADC GRAND FORKS AFB NDAL

TO RUMCAL/ADC INT AFB COLO

RUMGKF/29A AIR DIV RICHARDS GIBBAUR AFB MO

RUCDSQ/AF SEC TEC DIV WRPAFB OHIO

RUEAHQ/USAF WASH DC

RUEAHQ/SEC OF THE AIR FORCE WASH DC

BT

UNCLAS GFODC-OIN 934 ATTN: AFCIN SAFOI

UFO REPORT. REFERENCE AFR 200-2, SECTION C, PARAGRAPH 14.

A. (1) COULD NOT DESCRIBE. (2) COMPARABLE TO SMALL AIRCRAFT AT DISTANCE OF TWO MILES. (3) BLUE-WHITE WITH FLAMES AND A LOT OF SMOKE. (4) ONE. (5) N/A. (6) NONE. (7) SMOKE TRAILING FROM OBJECT. (8) NONE. (9) NONE. B. (1) OBSERVOR STANDING OUTSIDE AND JUST HAPPENED TO LOOK UP. (2) TWENTY (20) TO THIRTY (30) DEGREES, NORTH. (3) APPEARED TO STRIKE GROUND OVER THE HORIZON, EAST-NORTH EAST. (4) DESCENDING STRAIGHT FLIGHT PATH SLOWLY WITH NO MANEUVERS. (5) SLOWLY BEHIND HORIZON. HORIZON CONSISTED OF MOUNDS OF ICAR

1
2
3
4 X 2a
E (UFO)

[VEGA]
CL-31523

PAGE TWO RUCDAF 7

DEETS. (6) ONE TO TWO MINUTES. C. (1) GROUND - VISUAL. (2) NONE.

(3) N/A. D. (1) BETWEEN 23/2200Z TO 23/2250Z OCTOBER 1962.

(2) DAY. E. AMERICAN CRYSTAL SUGAR COMPANY ON NORTHEAST EDGE
OF MOORHEAD, MINN. F. (1) OBSERVOR REPORTING: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] MAPLETON, NORTH DAKOTA. PHONE NUMBER: FARCO,
NORTH DAKOTA, [REDACTED] LABORER. RELIABILITY FAIR. OBSERVOR
NOT ABLE TO CONTACT BY TELEPHONE [REDACTED] MOORHEAD, MINN.

LABORER. OBSERVOR: NAME UNKNOWN. RESIDENT OF MINNEAPOLIS
MINN. (2) NONE. G. (1) GOOD, CLEAR. (2) SFC WINDS MNW 8-12.5
WINDS ALOFT: 6,000 - 320/35; 10,000 - 320/40; 16,000 - 320/45;
22,000 - 320/55; 30,000 - 330/65; 38,000 - 330/70; (3) NONE.

(4) FIFTEEN (15) MILES PLUS. (5) SCATTERED CLOUDS BASED 14,000
FEET. THIN BROKEN BASED APPROX 25,000 FEET. (6) NONE. (7) SFC
TEMPERATURE - PLUS 69 DEGREES. 5,000 - PLUS 10 DEGREES; 10,000 -
PLUS 1 DEGREE; 15,000 - MINUS 8 DEGREES; 20,000 - MINUS 15
DEGREES 25,000 - MINUS 25 DEGREES; 30,000 - MINUS 40 DEGREES
35,000 - MINUS 49 DEGREES; 40,000 - MINUS 61 DEGREES; 45,000 -
MINUS 65 DEGREES; 50,000 - MINUS 64 DEGREES. H. NONE, I.
NONE. J. NO BALLOONS IN AREA. HECTOR AIRPORT TOWER STATED NO
AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS OR INCIDENTS IN VICINITY. K. INTELLIGENCE

PAGE THREE RUCDAF 7

REFID: A71514 THIS OBJECT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN A LARGE METEOR OR

POSSIBLY A DECAYING SATELLITE. THE ACCURACY OF TIME AND ALTITUDE
INFORMATION IS QUESTIONABLE. AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS AND WEATHER
SOURCES WERE INVESTIGATED FOR POSSIBLE CORRELATION WITH NEGATIVE
RESULTS. NUMEROUS PHONE CALLS, ALONG WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF LT
HERBERT E. PORTER (ANG FARGO) FAILED TO LOCATE THE SECOND WITNESS,
OR OTHER WITNESSES. THE FIRST WITNESS WHEN CONTACTED INITIALLY
BY THIS OFFICE, DISPLAYED A BELLIGERENT ATTITUDE AND IT WAS VERY
DIFFICULT TO EXTRACT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. 1. NONE.

BT

31/2029Z OCT RUCDAF