

MORE ADO ABOUT MEIER

by Jim Lorenzen

In the August, 1979, bulletin we published an Open letter which dealt with what I considered to be serious oversights in the presentation of the Billy Meier claimed contact case in the book, *UFO . . . Contact From the Pleiades* by the Genesis III group.

Their initial response to me was inappropriate for publishing since it avoided dealing with any of the substantive issues. I wrote to them again, pointing this out, and eventually received a more satisfactory response. (The body of that letter, although addressed to me, refers to me in third person.)

New points raised in the letter and points raised in rebuttal will be printed here in the numbered sequence used by the Genesis III authors (the letter is signed by Wendelle Stevens, but he tells me that it was a joint effort) and my responses will be in Italics:

1. Mr. Lorenzen wrote the statements used on the flyer and in the book in his own hand, and they were printed exactly as written.

Not so. The statement attributed to me in the flyer is abbreviated. While the meaning per se isn't changed I went on to say that I considered the photos to be "art".

I know of no statement attributed to me in the book. There is a blank spot after the mention of my name. Perhaps that is where my statement should have appeared.

2. "His (Mr. Lorenzen's, Ed.) views on any aspect of the case are entirely his own."

Not so. My views are shared by the leadership of several major UFO organizations, besides the APRO Board of Directors: CUFOS, MUFON, and ICUFON in the United States, and BUFORA and The Flying Saucer Review in England, as well as the English lecturer and researcher who personally investigated the case, Timothy Good.

3. We believe the photo book and its limited overview, published as "UFO . . . Contact From The Pleiades" is a fair presentation of the facts as far as they are releasable at this time. We also believe that it was presented in such a way as to allow the reader to draw his own conclusions. As for the proceeds of its sale, anybody who has ever published a book, including Jim Lorenzen, knows that there are no proceeds from the sales until all of the expenses of the publishing venture are paid, and often as not there is none left, especially on a limited printing such as this. If any surplus is ever realized it is most certainly earmarked for further investigation.

I, of course, do NOT think the presentation is fair and factual. That's what this debate is all about. What bothers me more is that there seems to me to be a foresaking of integrity in favor of puffery and salesmanship. There seems to be more concern with selling a product than with projecting the truth. Salesmanship is not part of the scientific method.

Concerning the proceeds, I have been told that the proceeds from volume I will be used to produce volume II. How does that square with your foregoing statement?

4. Meier does not build UFO models as you suggest. He did sketch observed details of the craft and the junior high school son of one of his friends turned a wooden model out on a lathe at school which he presented to Meier. One Sunday Meier and several other friends at Meier's home photographed this model under a variety of conditions. The pictures looked like pictures of a model. They were later thrown out in the family trash, which I suppose is what you are referring to in your statement that, "Meier has been caught in at least one attempt to destroy evidence of the existence (sic!-Ed.) of such models". Later even the model was discarded. They (sic!,Ed.) were recovered by one picking through the Meier trash for evidence and were taken to the Swiss Police. Later when the model was also discarded, it was likewise recovered and turned over to the police. . . .

When we publish these pictures all will be able to see that by no stretch of the imagination could this model have produced the photos you see in the book, We fail to see Jim's motive. Incidentally, for years Jim Lorenzen also has had a wooden model of a flying saucer . . . turned on a lathe . . . on his desk at APRO. Does that make his work invalid???

The destruction of evidence to which I refer is Lou Zinstag's account given to me in person that she had found, on one occasion, a number of burned negatives in Meier's waste basket. One, only partly burned turned out to be a picture of a model. She did not take it to the police.

Another model was found in an associate's [Hans Jacob] barn, according to Ilse Von Jacobi who furnished photos of it.

Timothy Good told me of seeing still another model which Meier had in his possession. It was essentially identical in appearance to the type I craft shown in the book. The segmented band around the base of the cupola, according to Good, was a piece of bell rope such as farmers in that area of Switzerland use to attach bells to the necks of their cattle.

The discarded model to which you refer that was turned over to the police could simply be a "red herring". Meier certainly knows that people are watching him and he is certainly smart enough to dispose of a model without a trace if he wanted to. The model that was on my desk is not german to this discussion, since I do not claim to have photographed space ships nor do I claim contact. If I had made such claims I agree that it would tend to invalidate them.

5. We are still trying to de-blurr (sic!-Ed.) the photo of an alien cosmonaut, very human-like in appearance, and will publish our best effort in the next volumn (sic!Ed.).

6. We are still translating the many pages of manuscript in German describing Meier's trip aboard the spacecraft, and trying to establish verifiable points for follow-up. Release of this material is premature at this time. . . .

An expert known to you, Dr. James Hurtak, has read much of the Meier writing in the original German

the apro bulletin

VOL. 28, NO. 5

THE A.P.R.O. BULLETIN

NOVEMBER, 1979

UFOs and MUTILATIONS — A LINK?

By Coral E. Lorenzen



(Photo of Finnish "Mini-UFO"
see below)

MINI-UFOs, METAL PIECES IN FINLAND

(The following report was forwarded by Suomen Ufotutkijat ry., UFO Research for Finland and attempts are being made to obtain the remaining photos and additional data.)

The most important UFO case discovered by UFO Research of Finland in 1979 took place at Suonenjoki in Central Finland. UFOs were photographed in the same area in 1972, but the most significant events occurred in 1973, 1975, and 1979.

Last winter's events at Suonenjoki contain more documents and more information than any other Finnish UFO case. Twelve photos from one case is a national record and the four landing trace areas coupled with interesting metal retrievals give the local research people a lot to think about.

Mr. Jarmo Nykanen, aged 24, electrician by profession, is the major witness. UFO Research of Finland has, after extensive research work, classified the case as a UFO case and declared Mr. Mykanen a reliable witness. Mr. Reijo Kolehmainen, aged 28, is the secondary witness. He has not personally seen the UFOs, but three of the four landing trace areas were found around his home. The following report is based

(See Finland-Page Three)

For years, lawmen, cattlemen and the just plain curious have been puzzled by the mysterious, apparently surgical mutilation of cattle throughout the Western and Midwestern United States, where the bulk of animal mutilations have occurred. Theories as to the perpetrators have largely been confined to three possibilities: the work of the members of a black magic or "devil" cult who used the parts in their rituals, predators or UFO occupants. The latter has become the more popular, especially among UFO buffs in recent years, and generally because in most instances the animals were found with only certain parts missing and no tracks in the vicinity, indicating the mischief seemed to have been perpetrated from above.

Where female animals were involved, the teats, udders, vaginal area, tail, anus, lips, tongues, eyes, and ears were removed — not all of these in every case, but several in each. Where male animals were mutilated there was the same pattern except for the sex organs. In these cases the scrotum, testicles, penis, or penile sheath were removed. It was and still is a big puzzle but I believe APRO has finally found a definite link between at least three different incidents of mutilations and UFO sightings. But first I would like to cite an article in the National Enquirer of March 13, 1979 which makes the claim that two wallabies, three ducks, two geese and a swan, were found dead, their heads and internal organs missing and their bodies completely devoid of blood, at the Newquay Zoo in Western England. The Enquirer does not give dates, nor does it give any clue as to whether all the mutilations took place on different dates or at the same time. However, it does point out that one of the wallabies was found *outside* the zoo compound. Police Inspector Robert Wilkinson of the Newquay police allegedly said that the wallaby "was taken" from its enclosure without any apparent struggle, over several five foot fences. He was quoted as saying that catching a wallaby is very difficult in the first place, but this deed was done in the dark. Radiation was allegedly found in the area but no mention was made of the amount, or by which means it was determined.

Ordinarily I would take such a report with *several* grains of salt, but APRO had just finished publishing a very long report of multiple phenomena including frequent sighting of UFOs and cattle mutilations on a ranch in Colorado. The investigators were a psychologist, an anthropologist and a seismologist, all of whom were positive of the authenticity of the witnesses' claims. In November, 1978, when Mr.

THE A.P.R.O. BULLETIN
 Copyright © 1980 by the
 AERIAL PHENOMENA
 RESEARCH ORGANIZATION, INC.
 3910 E. Kleindale Road
 Tucson, Arizona 85712
 Phone: 602-323-1825 and 602-326-0059
 Coral E. Lorenzen, Editor
 Norman Duke, Richard Beal,
 Brian James, Lance P. Johnson,
 Robert Gonzales, Artists

A.P.R.O. STAFF

International Director L.J. Lorenzen
 Director of Research James A. Harder, Ph.D.
 Public Relations Hal Starr
 Secretary-Treasurer Coral E. Lorenzen
 Membership Secretary Madeleine H. Cooper
 Staff Librarian Allen Benz
 Office Manager Christine Panter

THE A.P.R.O. BULLETIN is the official copyrighted publication of the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization, Inc. (A.P.R.O.), 3910 E. Kleindale Rd., Tucson, Arizona 85712, and is issued every month to members and subscribers. The Aerial Phenomena Research Organization, Inc., a non-profit corporation established under the laws of the State of Arizona and a federally recognized scientific and educational tax-exempt organization, is dedicated to the eventual solution of the phenomenon of unidentified flying objects. Inquiries pertaining to membership and subscription may be made to the above address.

A.P.R.O. MEMBERSHIP including BULLETIN:

United States \$12.00/yr.
 Canada & Mexico \$13.00/yr.
 (Canadian Currency will be accepted)
 All other countries \$15.00/yr.
 SUBSCRIPTION to BULLETIN only: SAME AS ABOVE

Newswires, newspapers, radio and television stations may quote up to 250 words from this publication, provided that the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization, Inc. (or A.P.R.O.), Tucson, Arizona, is given as the source. Written permission of the Editor must be obtained for quotes in excess of 250 words.

Lorenzen and I were passing through Denver, Co. on a flight to Minneapolis, Dr. Van Arsdale, the anthropologist on the case, brought two of the witnesses to the Denver Airport and we had an opportunity to personally interview them during our one-and-a-half-hour layover. Any lingering doubts were dispelled. Another factor was important: they had absolutely no motivation to perpetrate a hoax and had insisted on complete anonymity.

It now seems as though the pieces of a puzzle are falling into place. APRO has a team of investigators in Albuquerque who have also been investigating cattle mutilations. A preliminary report has come in regarding the UFO activity near a small town outside Albuquerque on the nights of September 12 and 13, 1979. Several individuals including a police officer observed a "phantom helicopter" (these have frequently been sighted in areas where mutilations have occurred) exhibiting various colored lights but making absolutely *no sound*. The investigation is on-going and a final and definitive report hopefully will be presented in the future.

On the 13th the officer was on the alert and prepared with night viewing equipment. When the mysterious lights appeared on the thirteenth and beamed a searchlight onto a herd of cattle, the officer was prepared and with the night viewing instrument plainly saw the lights on a *circular object* as well as the

spotlight. I have not identified the town nor the witnesses for two reasons. The investigation is ongoing and the witnesses are reluctant to be identified at this time, but hopefully when the investigation is completed, we will be able to present a full and thorough report.

But at least the new Mexico report indicates that a circular, lighted craft (a typical UFO type) was interested in a herd of cattle.

When I received the New Mexico report I immediately went to the "Hold for Action" file and pulled two reports — one under investigation in Wyoming and the other by APRO Headquarters in Tucson. They comprised the final links in the chain of UFO-Mutilation evidence.

The first report, the investigator of which is Dr. R. Leo Sprinkle, APRO's consultant in Psychology, involves a rancher and his wife and hired hand who had witnessed UFOs on several occasions in 1976. The most unusual, however, was one day at dusk when, using his "spotter" scope, the rancher spotted a disc-shaped object which descended behind a low ridge near the ranch, blocking his view of one of his cows and her calf which he had just seen grazing in that area. It hovered a few minutes in that area, the top showing above the low ridge, then it ascended into the sky. When it was gone he scanned the area where the cattle had been and saw the calf, but the cow was nowhere to be seen.

Because it was getting dark, and would have been dark by the time he reached the area, he didn't search for the cow that night. However, early the next morning he went to the area and found the calf, bawling for its mother, but the cow was nowhere to be found and has not been seen since. Inasmuch as a cow is not likely to abandon a suckling calf, the rancher assumes that the UFO got the cow, and in view of the evidence it is a logical assumption.

The last link is a case being handled by APRO Headquarters directly because the principal lives in Southern Arizona. It involves a woman and her family who had a close UFO sighting while driving at night in a lonely area, and experienced a loss of time, which is so typical of abductions.

The investigation was carried out by local APRO personnel with Mr. Lorenzen and me cooperating. The hypnotic regression was undertaken by a local medical doctor. The principal witness, the investigator and the doctor do not care to be identified, but their names are on file at APRO Headquarters.

At this time, I will present excerpts from the October 21, 1978 hypnotic regression session. Although all of the abduction material is most interesting we'll confine our attention only to that part which relates to the subject at hand: Animal Mutilation.

Mrs. D.: "But that far. You wouldn't be able to see it. It was . . . it was like a blimp. Kinda like a blimp.

Dr. T.: That shape?

Mrs. D.: At one angle. And when it turned, it was flat.

Dr. T.: Round? Angular?

Mrs. D.: Yes. Kind of round. But it was vacant. There was nothing inside. It was like everything was so clean . . . like everything was just perfect. There was nothing out of order. Everything was just perfect. Now I feel a funny feeling. I don't know.

Dr. T.: Can you describe it at all?

Mrs. D.: Kinda sick to my stomach.

Dr. T.: Do you know why?

Mrs. D.: No.

Dr. T.: Maybe it will go away if you take about three deep breaths. Just relax and (garbled). Take three deep breaths.

Mrs. D.: Oooh, I just . . .

Dr. T.: What's the matter?

Mrs. D.: I don't know . . . I get chills when I think about . . . this thing.

Dr. T.: Chilly?

Mrs. D.: It's so perfect inside. Everything in there is just perfect. The windows are just perfect but they're different.

Dr. T.: If after you wake up, will you be willing to draw a picture of the UFO as best you can?

Mrs. D.: Yes . . . but there's an animal there.

Dr. T.: An animal? What does it look like?

Mrs. D.: It's . . . It's an animal that . . . It's like they're . . . Isn't that something? (voice quavers).

Dr. T.: Where is the animal?

Mrs. D.: It's like it's . . . Oh goodness, I don't know how to explain it. It's like it's floating . . . underneath it.

Dr. T.: Could you draw a picture of that?

Mrs. D.: It's like this (garbled). Isn't that something?

Dr. T.: Can you tell me what kind of an animal it is?

Mrs. D.: It's just . . .

Dr. T.: Does it look like anything you can describe?

Mrs. D.: That's what it is . . . that's what it is. I know what it is. It's a laboratory.

Dr. T.: (garbled).

Mrs. D.: That's what it is. That's exactly what it is! It's a laboratory. I can see it. I know!

Dr. T.: Is the animal in the laboratory?

Mrs. D.: I saw an animal in the laboratory.

Dr. T.: What kind of an animal?

Mrs. D.: I don't know if it's a cat or something like a cat.

Dr. T.: What color is it?

Mrs. D.: It's kind of a tan.

Dr. T.: Was it on a table?

Mrs. D.: That's what they're doing they are cutting it up. That's exactly what it is.

Dr. T.: Is it by any chance a cow or a calf?

Mrs. D.: That's probably what it is . . . that's what it is. That thing floated up to that . . . that thing floated up there.

Dr. T.: Out of the pasture by the roadside?

Mrs. D.: This is when we were stopped.

Dr. T.: Uh, huh. This is on the road . . .

Mrs. D.: But this is all farm area.

Dr. T.: Do you think anybody else saw that beside you?

Mrs. D.: I don't know!

Dr. T.: Do you know why you may have forgotten about that?

Mrs. D.: No!

Dr. T.: Do you think you were inside the laboratory?

Mrs. D.: I don't think so. But I . . . That's what they did.

Dr. T.: How long did you watch?

Mrs. D.: Oh, goodness. I didn't realize we were there that long. I thought we just stopped . . . Just for a second. We were there for a long time."

When all of these cases are considered together, we have a pretty good indication that *some* UFOs are interested in, and probably are responsible for the mutilation of cattle. The biggest part of the puzzle is the reason so many UFO researchers have been hesitant to assume UFOs are involved in the grisly business: *Why* are they doing it? Does the examination of only certain parts of a farm animal's body in some way enhance their knowledge of earth and it's inhabitants? Or are those parts delicacies in their diets?

We may never have the answer to the why of cattle mutilations but we have forged another link in the chain of UFO evidence by establishing a connection between the UFOs themselves and another puzzling mystery — animal mutilations.

Finland

(Continued from Page One)

on interviews and other documents accumulated by the research organization.

THE FIRST UFO

Mr. Jarmo Nykanen's first contact with UFOs took place in the summer of 1973. His diary contains detailed notes about the incident. This is what happened on June 30, 1973:

Jarmo woke up at about 10 a.m. and started planning a visit to his summer cottage. In the afternoon he had a sauna bath. Then he walked through the forest to his cottage which is located on a lakeshore. Soon after the arrival he lit a fire outdoors. He warmed up some coffee and ate a bit. In the evening he listened to the radio and went to sleep two hours after midnight.

A few moments later he woke up and heard a buzzing sound. First he thought he had forgotten to turn off the radio but soon noticed that this was not the case. Then he saw a strong blue light enter the cottage from the direction of the door. Jarmo went out and walked down to the row-boat jetty. Above the lake he saw a blue light. Suddenly the light started to approach him. It stopped above the shallow water.

Now Jarmo realized how huge the light was. It was twice as big as a row-boat. The light seemed to radiate from a jelly of some sort and it glowed in an unusual way. Jarmo thinks he saw a strange being inside the light. And the next thing he remembers is that he found himself lying on the porch of the cottage. The time was 6 a.m. Jarmo went back to bed and slept til noon. The encounter had made him extremely sleepy.

The unusual events started again in June, 1975. Jarmo Nykanen heard strange knocking sounds at night. It happened three times at two-month intervals. However, nothing was seen on those occasions.

THREE LANDING TRACE AREAS

Three landing trace areas were found around Mr. Reijo Kolehmainen's home in February, 1979.

At 6:50 a.m. on February 2, 1979 a 2.5 meter crater was found in the snow at a distance of 5 meters from the house. The snow had melted all the way to the ground in the center of the crater. Strange red liquid, pieces of metal, and later a metallic cup were found within the crater. The red liquid smelled of vinegar. At 8:00 a.m. the police came. They photographed the area and took some samples.

Late in the evening of February 5, 1979 the Kolehmainen family heard a shooting sound. One constable later revealed he had seen a strange light phenomenon at the same time. On the following morning a bigger crater was found in the snow at a distance of 10 meters from the house. Similar things were again found. Later the police came to have a new look at the area. The police suggested that perhaps someone had thrown a home-made bomb in the Kolehmainen yard. However, the Kolehmainens stated that nobody has any reason to throw bombs at them.

On the morning of February 21, 1979 a third landing trace area was found. This time there were three holes in the yard. Red liquid and pieces of metal were once again found in the landing trace. However, no metallic cup was found this time. There was no snow on the electric wires above the holes, but there was lots of snow on similar wires elsewhere.

The Kolehmainens didn't want any publicity, but the news leaked to the press from acquaintances. About ten articles were published by the press about the events.

ATTEMPT TO PHOTOGRAPH A UFO

On March 8, 1979 Reijo Kolehmainen traveled to Helsinki and asked his friend Jarmo Nykanen to guard the house.

In the evening on March 10, 1979 Jarmo started to make rounds around the house. Suddenly he saw a flash of light in the forest and he thought someone was watching him. Soon after midnight a bell-shaped mini-UFO appeared near the house. The UFO had a diameter of half a meter. The upper part of the UFO radiated blue light and the lower part radiated red light. First he thought he was watching the lights of a car, but then he realized he had encountered a UFO. Jarmo had a camera with him and he had a couple of shots at the phenomenon. However, the flash didn't work.

Jarmo walked closer to the UFO and flashed his torch toward the UFO. Now the UFO ascended to an altitude of three meters and made the whole snow-covered area look red. Then the UFO disappeared into the sky. Later he found out that the photos didn't come out.

12 PHOTOS OF A MINI-UFO

A few days later Jarmo Nykanen made a map of the Kolehmainen house and its surroundings. He realized that the three landing trace areas formed a triangle. And he noticed that if you prolong one side of the triangle it leads you to the cottage.

On March 16, 1979 at around noon he walked to the cottage through the forest. He took binoculars, a camera, and some snacks with him. He arrived at the cottage after 80 minutes. Then he ate his snacks and walked around the cottage.

Suddenly Jarmo heard a humming sound obliquely from behind. He turned around. At an altitude of about two meters he saw a bell-shaped mini-UFO. It seemed to be similar to the one he had seen near the Kolehmainen house. Now he started taking photos of the UFO. It radiated blue light and moved forward descending and ascending. After having taken five photos he started walking toward the UFO. Then the UFO disappeared.

Jarmo continued to research the area. After about half an hour he was walking on the frozen lake. Now he heard a humming sound from the shore and saw the same UFO in the bushes. Again he walked closer to the UFO and kept taking more photos. Then he climbed up the cliff. He tried to sneak to the other side of the encounter area. However, on his way he stumbled and inadvertently took a shot of the trees with the camera. Now the UFO directed a red beam of light toward Jarmo's face. The light was so bright that it blinded him for a while.

Jarmo hid himself behind a fir tree. Now he took his last photo, the twelfth. After a while the UFO flew a bit further away from Jarmo. Suddenly there was a flash of light on top of it. Red smoke puffed out of the UFO and a cylinder-shaped object zoomed to the sky. Pieces of metal and some red liquid were left on the ground. Now Jarmo got extremely tired and sleepy. He only took a quick look at the red spot and left for home.

When he reached the road (this time he didn't take the short-cut through the forest) he met Reijo Kolehmainen. Reijo was on his way to the gas station. Reijo noticed that there was blood on top of Jarmo's nose as well as two tiny holes. However, the holes disappeared later. The weather was fairly good. The sun was shining behind a thin cloud cover, and the temperature was a few degrees below freezing. Jarmo told his story to Reijo later.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The police have not been able to explain the strange events. It is highly unlikely that the young men would have made up the story. In fact, they seem to be just as anxious to learn more about the events as the research people are. The camera used by Jarmo

Nykanen was a Yaschica Junior and the film was Sakura, in color.

EVALUATION OF MEDICAL INJURIES RESULTING FROM UFO CLOSE ENCOUNTERS

Richard C. Niemtow, M.D.

and

John F. Schuessler

Many of the witnesses of close encounters associated with the UFO phenomenon report substantial medical injuries. These injuries may be classified into three categories. The first category is of a temporary nature, dealing with paralysis,¹ dizziness, nausea, vomiting, headache, blindness, perception of odors and high frequency audio sounds. The second category deals with the more chronic effects usually associated with skin lesions, which may represent direct pathology through unknown mechanisms. These skin lesions, which previously were described as burns² produced by ionizing radiation, do not compare clinically, as we know it, to ultra-violet radiation or megavoltage photon or electron skin reactions as described in classical radiotherapy. The third category may involve parapsychological manifestations which may interrupt normal emotional behavior.³

The untoward effects of UFO close encounters clearly demonstrates the possible existence of the phenomenon. Because the reports of the selectiveness of the pathological and psychological impact to humans remains poorly studied and understood an in-depth evaluation is suggested.

The purpose of this paper is to recommend to physicians the acquisition of certain data that may be helpful in the clinical setting to evaluate and possibly assess the credibility of an actual close encounter.

The following medical tests are suggested (pathophysiology is supplied where appropriate):

1. COMPLETE BLOOD COUNT WITH DIFFERENTIAL

Net increases in the number of white blood cells have been reported resulting from exposure to weightlessness during various manned space missions.⁴ A reduction in the lymphocyte population will result if exposure to ionizing radiation is encountered. Suppression is also seen under stress situations due to release of glucocorticoids⁵ or weightlessness. One can expect an increase in neutrophils and a decrease in monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils in weightlessness.

2. SERUM CORTISOL

Diurnal fluctuations are known to exist for serum cortisol; as well as in sodium and potassium excretion.

Cortisol tends to peak near the early morning hours. Rapid displacement from geographical location and time zones may disturb this rhythm.

3. WEIGHT LOSS

All but one U.S. astronaut has lost weight in space.

4. SERUM AND URINARY POTASSIUM, SODIUM, AND CHLORIDE

Serum and urinary potassium, sodium and chloride retention have occurred in postflight observations of astronauts; with weightlessness producing an important potassium loss and consequential abnormal cardiac rhythms.

5. URINE CALCIUM AND PHOSPHORUS

Urine calcium and phosphorus may increase.

6. URINARY KETONES

Starvation should cause the formation of urinary ketone bodies.⁶

7. SERUM GLUCOSE

This is a baseline test.

8. CHEST X-RAY

A standard chest x-ray should be taken.

9. BIOPSIES OF SKIN LESIONS

The aforementioned tests are suggested as a baseline for an in-depth study of medical injuries resulting from UFO close encounters. These simple tests, if administered by qualified physicians, can be in the best interest of the witness (i.e. patient), as well as providing valuable data on the reported incident. Because of the lack of data to date, a number of assumptions must be considered — test to determine if the witness was subjected to prolonged weightlessness, moved from one geographical location to another, prevented from receiving nourishment, etc. The results of this study should be quite valuable in assessing the credibility of future close encounter reports.

REFERENCES:

¹Richard C. Niemtow and John F. Schuessler, "Seeking the Mechanism for Paralysis in Close Encounter Cases," *The MUFON UFO Journal*, No. 127, June 1978, p 6-7.

²Richard C. Niemtow, "Paralysis and UFO Close Encounters," *The APRO Bulletin*, Vol. 23, No. 5, March 1975, p 1,6.

³B.E. Schwarz, "Saucers, psi, and psychiatry," In *Proceedings of 1974 MUFON Symposium* (Akron, Ohio: Mutual UFO Network, June 22, 1974), pp 81-95.

⁴James F. Parker, Jr. and Vita R. West, *Bioastronautics Data Book* (Washington, D.C.: NASA, 1973), pp 349-415.

⁵William F. Ganong, *Review of Medical Physiology* (Calif: Lange Medical Publications, 1967), p 306.

⁶*Ibid.*, p 572.

(Submitted by VISIT [Vehicle Internal Systems Investigative Team])

PLEASE SEND ADDRESS CHANGES

PRESS REPORTS SUMMARY

By Iris Maack

NINETEEN SEVENTY-NINE HAS BEEN A YEAR FULL OF SURPRISES, consistent in its inconsistency . . . no major flap but a radiation into the periphery of parapsychology . . . mind-boggling concepts of anomalies . . . perhaps we are getting closer to an answer and, if we keep our paradigms flexible enough to extend an open invitation to our parapsychological fellows, we will find it.

Ufology, with its expansion into anomalous areas which parallel those being researched by Rhine, Roll, etc., is definitely an adjunct to mind development and those other 'strange areas' which we must now confront. This is no 'back-seat' position, but one which is now necessary if we are to continue viable research. WE MUST SHARE.

SHAPES OF 'THINGS' TO COME HAVE HIT THE UNITED STATES RANDOMLY AND CONSISTENTLY throughout late summer and early fall. **STRANGE OBJECTS IN THE SKY** multiply like amoebae . . . **ANIMALS REACT TO PHENOMENA** far more severely than humans . . .

AUGUST 11th, a spoon-shaped object was spotted 'floating across a Somer's, New York sky . . . Westchester County corrections officer Keith Jorgenson said his wife made the first observation, thinking it was an airplane. On second glance, they realized it was 'no aircraft they had ever seen.' The front of the craft was the 'handle' and the spoon area had a 'bubble like appearance'. 'The forward position had a small set of wings, and off those wings came what appeared to be a large antenna system with two or three spherical objects attached.' 'The rear portion or spoon area had a similar set of wings and antenna but much larger. The object was charcoal grey in color and left no visible vapor trail.

AUGUST 22nd, an 'upside-down trapezoid' hung over the Alamogordo, New Mexico area. Sisters Jackie Blessing and Helen Thomas and their mother said they saw the strange object stationary in the sky about 6:30 p.m.

Ms Thomas said she watched the object for 15 to 20 minutes, and that a smaller object appeared to come from underneath.

The information officer for Holloman AFB, Alamogordo, said he had no reports of objects in the sky, but he personally saw a 'weather balloon.'

The Artesia women ruled out the possibility of a weather balloon since 'they had seen a smaller object drop from the belly of the larger one.'

Several relatives supported the sisters in saying they had seen the object drop the smaller one and observed one move northwest at a quick pace, leaving a smoke or vapor trail.

AUGUST 24th, a Colorado Springs, Colorado sixth-grade science teacher reported a 'large comet-like' object near Pikes Peak . . . Bruce Kinney said the yellow-orange object had a tail and appeared to be moving towards the Devil's Playground area of the Peak at about 7:30 p.m. Kinney said he notified NORAD but officers there hung up after taking his statement.

SEPTEMBER 1st, a large white glowing object was reported in the skies over southern St. Johns County, Florida . . . the anonymous witness reported to Acting Sgt. Herbert A. Greenleaf that he and his wife saw a white-colored object in the sky, passing about 200 yards west of their home, located three miles south of the US 1-SR 206 intersection.

The object was described as egg-shaped with a large dome on top and a smaller dome on the bottom, and it dimmed and brightened as it moved through the sky on a straight line at an estimated altitude of one-half mile.

According to the witness and his wife, they watched the object for several minutes. They heard no noise from the object, but a neighbor's dogs began barking 'wildly', they said.

The couple experienced problems with an electric clock and fan which they said kept going on and off during the sighting.

Greenleaf said he contacted Station WTLV and was advised their report was that a deputy there had wrecked his car and was burned, not as a result of the wreck, but after sighting a large white glowing object.

SEPTEMBER 1st, a pair of UFOs were reported by three Green County sheriff's deputies over New Glarus Woods, Wisconsin . . . the deputies, who remain anonymous, said they saw two bright lights below cylinder-shaped objects for a 25 minute period.

The deputies, who made their sightings from the intersection of Legler Road and Wis. 39, said that the objects appeared to be 150 - 200 feet over the woods. They said one of the two sources of light moved quite a bit, while the other did not appear to move. The objects were reported to have disappeared when clouds and rain moved in.

SEPTEMBER 5th, at Rancho del Campo Rehabilitation Center for Delinquent Boys near San Diego, California, 200 head of cattle which normally were bedded down at that time of night were restless and turning in circles, moving as if they were frightened . . . as reported by jogger Bob Smith, night supervisor at the ranch . . .

Three camp dogs, which normally greeted him with wagging tails, charged as if to attack . . .

About 10 miles away, Richard Duncan, a camp counselor, was heading along Interstate 8 towards the camp with a van full of boys. Suddenly, one of the seven boys shouted that there was a strange object flying over a hill to the north. They saw what at first looked like a lighted dome of a water tower. 'There was a band of lights on it and it had a blinking red light in its center.'

What alarmed the group was a stream of intensely bright white light that suddenly shot from the top of the object as it disappeared westward almost faster than the eye could follow.

At about the same time, another camp officer, Marshall Kary, was passing the cows on Bob Smith's jogging route. He also noticed the strange behavior of the cattle.

On another corner of the camp property, Joe Cordova, another officer, was running a night baseball game when he saw 'a glowing kind of light. It wasn't a spotlight. It was just kind of in the mountains southeast of the camp.'

The time sequence of who saw what and when they saw it remains unclear, but all the activities took place between 8 and 10 p.m., several hours before the eclipse of the moon and at a time when the moon was at its brightest.

SEPTEMBER 7th, two south Milwaukee, Wisconsin men claim to have observed a pointed mushroom-shaped UFO hovering over trees at the end of Drexel Boulevard . . .

Bruce Szewcrugs and Randall Proschheuser claim they saw what appeared to be a large UFO hovering over some trees. The object was in the shape of a mushroom with a pointed top bent to the right.

Szewcrugs described the object as 'very bright orange in color, glowing with different shades of orange.' It kept appearing and fading as his truck continued its eastbound direction. It appeared as though it was partially behind some trees as branches could be seen along the bottom of the UFO.

* * * *

MAGNETOMETER AT APRO

APRO is pleased to announce the purchase of a precision recording magnetometer for use as a detector and monitor of UFOs.

The instrument, which will operate indefinitely without human assistance, is specifically designed to measure the magnetic fields believed to be associated with Unidentified Flying Objects.

A completely solid-state device, it will automatically trigger a recording system and a wide variety of external equipment.

The magnetometer has a frequency response of .1 Hertz through 10 Hertz, with a 60 Hertz power line noise rejection of 110 decibels.

This frequency range was chosen to allow high amplification with little interference from man-made sources at the upper end or geomagnetic variations at the lower end, and because the frequencies required to deflect compass needles, trip burglar alarms, and cause steel road signs to vibrate — all of which are phenomena associated with UFOs — typically lie within this response band.

The maximum output of the magnetometer is 800 millivolts per gamma times Hertz (or gamma Hertz) throughout the frequency range. For example, the

maximum sensitivity at 1 Hertz is .05 gamma. (There are 100,000 gamma in one gauss.)

When the unit is triggered by an anomalous magnetic impulse, the amplified and filtered signal modulates a voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO) whose output is recorded on standard cassette tape. The output signal is also supplied to a speaker, which provides an audible indication of magnetic field changes as they occur.

Some additional features of the instrument are: adjustable system gain, adjustable triggering delay, and automatic VCO and recording circuit shut-off seven seconds after the magnetic signal ends.

The magnetometer sensor is attractively housed in a clear acrylic cylinder above a walnut base so that it may be operated while on public display. Its control unit is separated by 100 feet of cable and will be maintained in a secure location.

The system was designed and built by Neil Davis, Robert Gonsett, Jan Eric Herr, and Dennis Kuzara of Precision Monitoring Systems in San Diego.

Review-“Specula”

(*Journal of the American Association of Meta-Science*)

Specula is a far ranging publication dealing with subjects on the far frontiers of science. It covers UFOs, cattle mutilations, hyperspace, neutrenos, and general relativity.

In the July-September, 1979, issue there is also a discussion of two devices; the Priore Machine and the Neurophone. The former is said to be capable of arresting cancerous growth and benefiting victims of cardio-vascular diseases by means of electromagnetic control of cell chemistry.

The Neurophone is a device invented by Dr. Pat Flanagan (of “pyramid power” fame) which is said to be capable of enputting material directly into a person's brain by the sensory system altogether.

Much of the material in the issue reviewed deals with highly technical aspects of theoretical physics. This will undoubtedly bewilder the average person, but should intrigue those with a physics background.

Allen Benz, Staff Librarian, APRO

LEVELS OF REALITY AND THE UFO DISPLAY

By R. Leo Sprinkle, Ph.D.

(*Delivered at the 1st UFO Congress in Brazilia, Brazil - October 26-30, 1979*)

CONCLUSION

Long term contact is maintained with the contactees thru tensor beam communication, telepathy, trances,

repeated abductions and face to face encounters with "extra-terrestrials" living on Earth.

Motivation of the Method: To filter information on a grass roots level to the common people of Earth. Exploitation, sensationalism and misuse of information are controlled by selecting contactees who have little use or understanding for the knowledge. Also, each contactee is given a "bit" of knowledge, "or piece of the great puzzle" requiring that he/she unite with other contactees and combine the knowledge with facts already established by Earth scientists.

A primary concern is to let "earthlings" do the hard work of research, analysis and application of the knowledge so that we do not become "lazy" and sycophantic with relation to the "extra-terrestrials".

Relationship Dynamics Between Extra-Terrestrials and Earthlings: The dynamics of the relationship are similar to a counseling and guidance paradigm. The guidance involves psychological guidance leading to self-determinism and spiritual-metaphysical experiences, along with scientific knowledge and technical application of knowledge.

The Body of Knowledge: The information can be classified into four or five basic areas:

1. Archeological, involving clues to re-study of pre-history events and patterns of society.
2. Geological, involving earthquakes and land mass shifts.
3. Meteorological, involving current weather conditions as they are affected by magnetic forces.
4. Genetic, involving cloning.
5. Biological, involving extension of life-span, regeneration of tissue and general "body-building" of man.
6. Scientific, involving space travel — *Their Way — electromagnetic fields of Earth* and their relationship to *biomagnetic fields* and their combined relationship to electromagnetic properties of *matter, energy, and thought*.
7. Spiritual knowledge involving experiences of consciousness beyond the body: telepathy and other paranormal phenomena.

Each Contactee is given his or her own piece of this vast body of knowledge; she/he is instructed to research the past civilizations of Earth and discover indications that this *knowledge* did, in fact, exist on Earth at one time.

Then she/he is challenged with presenting the knowledge again to mankind, in a way that proves beneficial to *all mankind*, without causing division or exploitation with the people and societies and governments of the world.

If true, this is a brilliant plan and leads us by logic to shift study from UFO sightings and contactees - *to the knowledge presented thru contactees*.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

Now, during the next few minutes, I propose that we take an imaginary journey, through time and space, to your *first* UFO sighting. Perhaps your first UFO sighting has occurred in the "past", perhaps it

will occur in the "future"; perhaps you do not believe in the levels of reality of UFO phenomena, and you will use this exercise as a period of rest and relaxation!

In any event, I will offer some suggestions for muscular relaxation; some suggestions for concentration and imagination; some suggestions for contacting your spiritual guide, or imaginary guide; and some suggestions for experiencing your own UFO sighting; then, when we return to the normal state, feeling alert and refreshed, you will be able to recall your impressions and compare them with other information about UFO observations.

(Several minutes of group instructions for relaxation; concentration; imaginary path in a garden; contact with a guide; and going to a time and place for one's own UFO sighting. Then, suggestions were given for returning to the normal state.)

I thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your attention and your cooperation. I wish you well in your own search for more knowledge about the levels of reality in the UFO display.

A MODEL OF HUMAN CONSCIOUSNESS AND UFO EXPERIENCES

*Levels of Reality	**Levels of Evolution	Levels of UFO Experiences
SPIRIT	Spiritual E.	<i>UFO Messages</i> (Program for Cosmic Consciousness Conditioning)
THOUGHT	Psycho-Social E.	<i>UFO Entities</i> (Messengers of Humans)
LIFE	Biological E.	<i>UFO Entities</i> (Biological Creatures)
MATTER	Physical E.	<i>UFO as Craft</i> (Space-Time Travel)
?		

*P. Teilhard de Chardin, *The Phenomenon of Man*. NY: Harper-Torchbook, 1961.

**J. Huxley, *Evolution in Action*. NY: Mentor Book, 1953.

and calls it "latter-day patch-work". I quote from a recent letter he wrote me:

"As an aside, I have had an opportunity to read large portions of the Semjase correspondence (some 11 volumes of German material via Meiers). From the standpoint of "higher Criticism" --the linguistic use of Egyptian-Aramaic and Egyptian-Hebrew names (of divinities) and stylistic affinities--is "latter-day patchwork."

"All this shifting play of correspondences by which everything, as it were, is cheated of its individual logic creates a mood of pensive jesting, spirited allusions, and even sublime travesty. By all standards of genuine "ancient knowledge" (e.g. Egyptology, Semitics, etc.) --this civilization which lays claim to being 15,000 years into the future-- has not offered much in the way of a quantum jump over what our ancestors had 5 thousand years ago (in the way of intellectual transformation).

"Unfortunately, this case will be highly damaging to American ufology throughout the world unless handled on a scale of citing historical sources (Indo-European documentation) matched with the best efforts of transformational linguistics. Our world--at this point--deserves better than a "minor deity" at best."

7. Meier does not claim extraterrestrial contact going back to age four as Jim well knows. He remembers sighting a strange craft over the family farm in Bulach at about age 5. . . .

Again you are misinformed. Meier first reported his contacts with extraterrestrials and released black and white pictures of 5 glowing egg-shaped objects in a late afternoon sky, which he had photographed over Zurich airport in front of witnesses back in 1959. He also photographed 8 glowing egg-shaped objects as flew over the ashram at Merhuali, India in 1964. We will publish these photos in a future volumn of "UFO . . . Contact".

I stand corrected on a one-year error. However, at age five or six, according to your own account, Meier was invited for a ride with a "very old man" on a "pear-shaped" ship. I ask you to compare this with a statement in the UFO . . .Contact From the Pleiades book on the unnumbered page titled "The Experience --. The Beginning". After a description of a contact claimed by Meier to have occurred on Tuesday, January 28th, 1975, it is stated: "The first contact had begun". Against my error of one year, please contrast your "error" of 32 years.

8. The photos of the spacecraft flying around the tree will be published in full frame format in volumn (sic!-Ed.) II, and many who suggest a model ship and a model tree will have red faces when they can see that the model tree is in fact FULL SIZE. More details will be reported then. The cloud patterns can be connected in most of the pictures, except where the azimuth angle of the picture taking changed. The clouds in this high valley, however, do move quite rapidly because of the venturii effect of the particular topography.

If your intent is to show that the model tree is in fact full size by the same sort of photogrammetry

showcased in the book, I would caution you that the photo consultant that performed that operation for you states [see APRO special report no. 1] that the test is only valid when the original negative/transparency is examined. The version of the catchphoto with the jet plane in it examined for that display was several generations away from the original. In this connection I refer you to another statement in the APRO report: "In the past, poorly understood computer precedures have been used to lend an aura of scientific objectivity to an otherwise worthless opinion. Obviously a repeat of this situation should be avoided."

As to the tree, do you still claim that it disappeared without a trace when the landowner does not remember a tree ever having been there? With a straight face?

I submit, seriously, that you and your associates have rushed to judgment on this matter because of a strong will to believe--a predisposition toward exotic explanations

I think that this, together with a desire to recover a substantial monetary investment in the case, has colored your judgment.

So far, each instance of evidence that Meier has offered, when pursued to its logical limit, ends up being a zero as far as compelling proof is concerned. Add any number of zeros and you still have zero.

Has Meier had motive, means, and opportunity to produce such a hoax . . . Opportunity? Yes. Means? Yes, perhaps with help from cohorts. Motive? You gave me this yourself when on an occasion of our discussing Meier's deserting the French Foreign Legion to return to Switzerland and serve out his jail sentence for thievery, you said "Meier is a sort of person who gets a great satisfaction out of fooling authorities".

[Mr. Stevens adds this comment which I think will come as a surprise to many purchasers of the book. The first sentence would appear to endorse the position I have taken all along]:

"As you well know, Jim, the book was never designed to present any hard facts. It was specifically designed as an overview of the case, in picture book form, to let a few of our friends in on what we were doing. We had spent over \$40,000 dollars of our own money accumulating information and the spectacular photographs, and we used another \$60,000 testing the pictures. Many of our friends wanted copies in large format like we were using, and they were expensive. A custom 11" x 14" print can cost \$13 or more each. We printed 24 of them in large format in the book and we printed 3 of the spacecraft in enlarged close-up insets, all for less than a dollar each with caption . . .

"There is nowhere anyplace in the world that anyone can obtain UFO photographs of this quality for less money. In this sense we believed we were doing a service to our friends, and those really are the only ones we were trying to please. We are not trying to lay any story on anybody, and we do not seek to convince anybody one way or the other."
