


UFO

The Truth is Already Here

monthly.com

ISSUE NUMBER 34

MARCH 2007



ISLINGTON 'FLEET FOOTAGE' SENSATION

Archway, Islington, U. K. - © Alix McAlister

Available in the UK and Overseas with direct purchase via PAYPAL

CONTACT DETAILS

You can contact the magazine by regular mail or by telephoning:

01924 864614

or

07791 525355

(GMT office hours please).

The postal address is:

**UFO Monthly.com
8 Ashdene Drive
Crofton
Wakefield WF41PQ**

Or by email at:

heseltinegary@hotmail.com



For more information visit the website:

www.ufomonthlymagazine.co.uk

With your help and input my aim is to bring you a low cost quality publication that represents value for money.

GARY HESELTINE Editor

WE ARE NOT ALONE.



SUBSCRIBE FREE AND LEARN THE TRUTH ON

www.interseti.com

EDITORIAL



Welcome to the 34th issue of UFO Monthly.com. This issue contains a variety of articles for your enjoyment.

I have reviewed the final part of Nick Pope's interview with Miles Johnston. His answers are highly significant.

Enjoy the magazine.
GH.

CONTENTS

4. The Miles Johnston with Nick Pope— part 3 I've saved the best until last—complete with my analysis of his replies.



9. The latest batch of UFO photos from over Portsmouth.

11. The latest batch of UK sightings including an excellent fleet footage sequence from Islington, London.



Archway, Islington, U. K. - © Alix McAllister


13. Fleet footage gallery from Mexico.



15. 'To be seen' by James Weller. First time writer for the magazine weighs in with a thought provoking article.

20. The latest batch of UFO sightings from around the world.

26. UFOs and the web.



BILL CHALKER



NICK POPE

THE MAN FROM THE MINISTRY— PART THREE BY MILES JOHNSTON

EDITORIAL COMMENT

On November 19th 2006 Nick Pope gave a video interview to researcher Miles Johnston. This was just days after he had quit his job with the Ministry of Defence.

So far in the last two issues we have had two instalments from that 57 minute interview. Now, in this final piece, Nick gives us the most in depth analysis of the UK UFO movement ever. For me this last section is by far the most interesting. I have highlighted in bold the things I find particularly telling. **GH.**

MJ Are UFOs stories brought in to cover other activities because if you blame UFOs you can't really investigate it etc?

NP The CIA were happy, certainly the UFO cover to be put on various projects, be they the SR71, be they stealth. We don't really subscribe to that view. It's a nice idea but if you think about it, it doesn't work because certainly now in a multi-media society, the idea of using a UFO story as a cover to make people go away and it's just stuff of nonsense—well the media...

MJ Like a red rag to a bull..

NP Exactly, the media are extremely interested. It is far more likely that people will pay attention to the incident so no, I was never part of using the UFO umbrella, as it were, to cover black projects.

MJ Obviously we have our own triangular aircraft, things, tests and stuff like that. In my experience in Northern Ireland certain researchers were pre-warned that there would be a test going on, so that if you did see a triangular aircraft, it wasn't a UFO, just ignore it. Is that kind of cooperation leaked to certain researchers so that if there is a particular exercise in an area then—'OK it's secret' and we all have to have our secrets and fly our 'toys'?

NP No, I would never have leaked any information about black projects to any ufologists.

MJ In so far as...

NP Whether it be information or disinformation, it isn't the way I operate. But yes of course, we've got our prototype aircraft and UAVs—we know where we fly them.

MJ And if you get a series of reports...

NP Well, of course, so as I say, this isn't an area I'm going to go into for obvious reasons but if you'll forgive the soundbite and I've used it before but 'we know where we fly our own kit'.

MJ And recently in 2003 there was a radar lock at RAF Milford Hall I think it was?

NP *Well UFOs are tracked on radar all the time. I think there's...*

MJ But they don't always lock on your computer equipment and.....

NP No, there's a separate issue, in fact, the problem, if it is a problem, is not with the radar, it's with the operators because of course there's a classic story I tell when I was speaking to someone at Fylingdales (RAF) and they said, '**we track fireballs all the time on our radars, we see them go across the screen at speeds of 17,000, 18,000 and 20,000 mph. It's incredible!**' **I said, 'It's very interesting but how do you know they are fireballs?' He said, 'Well because they go very fast, what else could they be?'**

So that mindset is the problem—you'd actually have to re-write the training manuals and I did

some work to try and address that issue but again some radar systems, **for example, use filter programmes to filter out objects that are either too fast, or too slow—typically ATC radars and things..**

MJ They just want to concentrate on aircraft....

NP ***They just want to concentrate on aircraft. The consequence of this is interesting. The consequence is that anything that doesn't generally behave like an aircraft won't be picked up, either because the filter programme will have filtered it out altogether or the operator, even if it's in, he will filter it out with the critical faculties.***

MJ So you could have a UFO tracking a civil airliner and the UFO wouldn't come up on civilian radar?

NP ***Absolutely.***

MJ Wouldn't it come up on military radar?

NP Well again, I'm not going to get into any discussions about the capabilities of radar in terms of ranges, sensitivity or anything, you'll understand that. I'll only make that general point.

MJ We had the Mexican case where they had many UFOs that were not visible in the visible spectrum but were visible in the infrared spectrum but they were not necessarily also on radar...

NP ***Yes, radar is not, radar is a useful tool for the UFO project. Some UFOs, some visual sightings are correlated with radar data but then some UFOs that we're pretty sure are big and solid, structured craft aren't necessarily detected on radar.*** It's not a universal

panacea as it were.

MJ And we can't discuss whether a 'stealthy' aircraft is 'stealthy' or a level of 'stealthy'?

NP Well you can discuss it all you like but I can't I'm afraid.

MJ The Ray Santilli alien fiasco has raised its head again recently but it implied that it did have real film of extraterrestrials. Is there anything in our country which has been dealing with.....?

NP ***Not in the UK.***

MJ No defence significance. You were in the MOD—in Britain our UFO Monthly magazine is run by a police officer. That seems to imply an official level by some mechanism or other to tell the public about UFOs. Can you extrapolate, perhaps in a few years when the equivalent of a Tony Blair will stand up and say that we do have UFOs?

NP I think that this is going to be events led. ***Clearly we are seeing an ongoing program of disclosure by the MOD, both on its website and in its archives at Kew. For example, we*** have released the Project Condign Report, 464 pages of formerly 'Secret UK Eyes Only' classification. A few years ago it would have been unheard of for material like that to be disclosed.

MJ That's exactly the point...

NP ***And more to come.***

MJ Eventually there is going to be a time when someone is actually going to say we do have them.

NP Well I think there will be a point of critical mass.

MJ Do you think we are anywhere near that?

NP ***Whether there is a smoking gun I'm not really going to comment.*** What I will say is this. Let me put it this way, it depends on how the project goes in 2014 when the next generation radio telescopes come on line.

It will then take a number of years after that for it to be fully functional—about 2020. Scientifically, whilst its primary role is not CETI, scientific opinion is that if within 100 light years of Earth there is another civilisation, this next generation will be powerful enough to detect the radio signals of an industrial society. I think, I believe one should focus on that as a potential trigger point because it will be then that if they are out there in our little corner we will have the scientific proof. I do feel, sad to say, with the greatest respect to ufologists, that proof of the existence of an extraterrestrial will not come from ufology but it will come from mainstream science. Now of course, between now and 2014 we should be seeking to position ufology as mainstream science or as near to it as possible. I'm playing a part in that. I speak regularly at science conferences, I've spoken at the Cheltenham Festival, the Newcastle Science Festival. I've debated at the science museum's Dayna Centre. I'm both openly and behind the scenes forging links there. I think there should be bridge building between ufology and mainstream science.

MJ I think there's a lot of scientists who are very keen to find out what the evidence is in ufology but they don't want to get involved because reputations are at stake.

NP ***Well they should—someone could win the Nobel Prize off this if they are just courageous enough to actually look at the data.***

Someone is going to win it.

MJ What about portal technology?

NP *Well of course I've made no secret that I have always felt that the UFO issue raises various defence and national security issues and don't agree that UFOs aren't of defence significance. I mean how could they not be?*

MJ The implication being that if they aren't of defence significance and they acknowledge they are there, it will imply that we are somehow in bed with these extraterrestrials in some description.

NP *No, I think the 'no defence significance' soundbite and it's the MOD's soundbite not mine, is just a very quick and easy way of saying we don't know and we don't think that anything we've seen thus far constitutes proof— though admittedly it may constitute evidence.*

MJ If we are losing aircraft...

NP If, that's a big if....

MJ If we are losing aircraft, surely it is of defence significance—we just won't admit it because we can't come up with any answers.

NP *Well, one could look at cases like, Captain Mantell, one could say clearly there was an aircraft that was lost chasing a UFO, that's a matter of public record. Whether that UFO was an extraterrestrial or inter-temporal or inter-dimentional craft or not is not known so I'm not necessarily agreeing with the idea that we've lost aircraft as a result of hostile, intelligently controlled UFOs. But, going back to your point about portals and*

things, it's very interesting that at the end of 2006 CERN, the Large Hagon Collider, the largest machine ever built by humanity will be switched on. Now there are many research scientists who believe that it will actually show us hidden dimensions so I think that the idea you'll be able to collide particles and create such energy that rather than decaying you may actually see these particles simply disappear into one of the hidden dimensions is hugely exciting and it means some of these theories around 'portals', whether you like to say, will I

or the case in Belgium when F16 fighters were scrambled to intercept a UFO that was being tracked on radar. There are cases of that level, unfortunately all I can really do is.... I'm working with a lot of researchers.

MJ You said that you wanted to see those cases...

NP *What I can't do is, as it were, forestall the MOD on this. When the MOD decide, either proactively under the publication scheme or reactively in response to an FOIA request,*



think, as we reach the run up to turning on the LHC (Large Hagon Collider) see that this subject becomes more respectable and more mainstream. That's a good thing because all sorts of things we label—ghosts, spirits, portals, we may find there's good science behind it and with the LHC we may begin to understand some of that science.

MJ Are there other cases which you can discuss that actually deal with UFOs?

NP *There are some cases which I would say, on reflection are probably as good and as significant as the Rendlesham Forest case, the overflight of RAF Cosford and RAF Shawbury in 1993,*

the time is right to release those cases then that's when we will all get to know about them.

What I can't do is sit here and prejudge and don't forget as I was saying—every single case file has to be examined to see if any of the exemptions of the FOIA in terms of say, national security, international relations, data protection, *so I'm not able to talk about those cases before the MOD releases them, that would get me into trouble.*

MJ So one implies there is a lot of data just sat there waiting to be given to the public, in other words—the Ministry is going to give it if you ask the right question, then certain

cases will become....

NP Yes. Don't forget it's a massive job. ***The MOD since 1950 has received 10000 or more UFO requests*** and it's a massive job. There are ***some case files, the more detailed investigations that will have more than 100 pages*** so there is a vast amount of information and it's a massive job to go through all that. To check page by page, line by line, word by word to make sure there isn't anything in there that shouldn't be released.

It is a huge job, the MOD, despite what some conspiracy theorists say, it is hugely committed to FOI and open government and there are many people who are interested and believe in the UFO phenomenon and want nothing more than to see all the files released.

The UFO phenomena, does to my mind raise defence and national security issues—how can it not, these things are seen by trained observers, are tracked on radar, sometimes they land, so given that, my only point was it should be the subject of proper scientific study.

I don't see how anyone can argue that. My point was, because the MOD has so many requests under the FOIA, it is the number one subject the people write to the MOD about, it's not Iraq or Afghanistan, not what my granddad did in the second World War, the number one subject the people contact the MOD under the Act is—UFOs.

So there's a vast amount of work in dealing with all of this. Because of those people running the UFO project they've turned into an FOI cell, indeed if you look them up in the MOD directory the section is now renamed as 'The Directorate

of Air Staff—FOI. It's not investigating and researching the UFO phenomenon, so all that investigative output that was there in the nineties when I ran the UFO desk has gone and I was saying that's where we're missing a trick. What that is, even if you are a sceptic, is low probability, high consequence. You might convince yourself that like the threat to the Earth by say a planet killer asteroid, you might convince yourself that statistically the chances of being hit by a planet killer asteroid are very small but if it does happen the consequences would effectively mean the end of the world. The UFO issue is the same—low probability, high consequence. ***The high consequence could, if you believe in science fiction, could end up with an 'Independence Day' type scenario. The high consequence could also be missing out on the opportunity to acquire and back engineer technology that could... let's face it, will be the dominant nation on Earth for 10,000 years. These are high stakes.***

MJ There have been cases in Portugal, Venezuela, USA and South Africa where UFOs have been fired on by military jets. Are there any cases like that in Britain?

NP ***Not in recent years. We've certainly fired on them with gun cameras—I'd better not answer that question.***

MJ Are there any final words you want to say?

NP It's no secret I'm demonised by some people in ufology. Even though I've left the MOD I'm going to have this tag...

MJ That your still secretly working for the MOD?

NP I hear this all the time that I must be the friendly face to

peddle, put out disinformation and to prepare the public for the definitive announcement and it's not true, I'm not a man in black. I'm not a disinformation agent and I do wish that people would not have this knee jerk reaction that, because I worked for the government that somehow I must be evil and I must be lying and everything must be disinformation. I mean please, judge me on the data, not the sort of prejudice. That's what I want to say to the world of ufology.

MJ Thank you very much.

NP Thank you.

EDITORIAL REVIEW

*There has been some minor editing of questions.

In this part of the interview Nick has given some very compelling and yes—defensive answers (I shall come back to that later in the review).

It may seem an obvious point to raise but when Nick says that UFOs are tracked on radar all the time, it is easy to miss the implication of that statement.

He is stating the obvious—objects are detected all the time that could be anything? In other words 'unidentified'.

He then expands the radar debate by mentioning that most civilian ATC systems have filter systems that are designed to weed out anything too slow or too fast to be 'conventional' aircraft.

Think about those comments and then relate them to the known characteristics of genuine UFOs. Silent, hovering objects with the ability to make incredible bursts of acceleration way beyond that of known aircraft performance. So the direct

implication of those systems is that UFOs can evade normal ATC radars at will, simply because those systems will not pick up on their unique flight characteristics.

Significantly, when Miles picks up on that very point and asks about military capabilities Nick clams up big time. Why such an adverse reaction? In effect he became very defensive with that question. It implies to me that he knows a great deal more about military tracking systems and their actual ability to detect genuine UFOs?

The fireballs thing could be of real interest. Now I do not know if there are fireballs that are regularly caught travelling at such high speeds in our upper atmosphere but it would be nice if someone could tell me if Nick was relating genuinely known capabilities of fireballs. I'd like any of our readers to put me right on fireballs if they know the answer.

What fascinates me is the comment about them being tracked all the time by radar operators at RAF Fylingdales.

Are such high speed objects so common?

Now the next point that interests me is the fact that having worked for the MOD for years it is clear that Nick certainly knows a hell of a lot more about genuine UFOs and their capabilities that he lets on. For example, when he comes out with a comment like *'some UFOs that we're pretty sure are big and solid, structured craft aren't necessarily detected on radar'* it is really interesting to me. Do you see what I mean?

'We're pretty sure.... Who is we? The only logical answer is the MOD. Indirectly isn't he admitting that the MOD knows full well that there are genuine

'nuts and bolts' solid unidentified craft operating in UK airspace!

He then goes on to state that he believes that there is a definite ongoing program of disclosure by the MOD. Think about that. This interview was made within days of his decision to quit the MOD. If anyone should know the truth about the MOD stance on disclosure it has to be Nick Pope. Is it not then logical then to assume that he was 'indirectly' telling everyone the **actual** position of the MOD on disclosure?

Now the word disclosure can mean two things in ufology. One, that it just relates to the MOD simply cooperating within the terms of the Freedom of Information Act or...two, as most followers of this subject long for—a disclosure leading to a full admission by governments that some UFOs are real and are of a likely extraterrestrial origin. I suspect that Nick is talking about the latter, after all he is a committed researcher in his own right.

Now when Nick answered 'not in this country' to the question about alien post mortems/ examinations in Britain, I found that curious. He could have said, 'Don't be silly, nobody has bodies'. but he didn't say that did he. He said, 'not in this country. In effect he implied that other countries may well have bodies and performed examinations on them?

Moving on. Nick goes on to make his most forthright condemnation of the MOD's ludicrous 'no defence significance' stance. Now over the years I've heard him speak at several lectures and I have never heard him speak with such damning words about the MOD's position on NDS (no defence significance). Why now? Is it just because the shackles of his former employer have been

taken off him? I don't know the answer to that but I particularly liked the bit where he said, *'we don't think that anything we've seen thus far constitutes proof—though admittedly it may constitute evidence.*

He then makes another startling admission of sorts when he clearly confirms that the Mantell case was indeed a pilot chasing a UFO and not the likes of Venus as many a sceptic would have you believe. The only thing that puzzled him was whether the object he was chasing was ET or an inter-temporal/dimensional machine. All the answers are extraordinary for a former senior MOD official. No mention of plasma balls there!

He then admits that there are 'several' other cases that he was aware of that were of equal standing to the likes of Rendlesham Forest case! Wow! All we have to do is ask the right question and the MOD will deliver the cases. If we accept that he is not directly allowed to release or pre-empt the information himself does it not beg the question that he could and should be pointing researchers (in general terms) about some of the details of these cases. Can you imagine knowing the six winning lottery numbers and not being able to tell anyone about it. It strikes me that he is totally aware of the content of these cases, otherwise how could he assess their significance?

He is giving researchers nothing as far as I can see unless he gives them some help in this regard. Otherwise it is just a wild goose chase.

Then there is the curious phrase '10,000 requests for information. A slip of the tongue, did he really mean 10,000 sighting reports?

Continued on page 30

PORTSMOUTH UFOs BY G WARNER

14-1-07



Two more strange objects caught on camera by George Warner. Both pictures were taken above Portsmouth on 14/15th January 2007.

15-1-07
15-1-07



10.1.07




Two more images by George Warner, both were taken over Portsmouth in December 2006 and January 2007 respectively.

14.12.06

11.53

©erniesearssufoq
photo: George Warner





January 14th 2007 Corby Northamptonshire

UK: Rectangular "UFO" over Corby (14/01/07) Corby, Northamptonshire - January 14th 2007, 15:05.

K.D. - a Polish skywatcher living in UK took a photo of a strange object while photographing birds in Corby on 14th January 2007 at about 15:05. According to his relation he didn't notice neither the object itself nor things that could be responsible for the image (for example, kites). The rectangular object appeared on one photo from the series. He applied Samsung i6 camera.

<http://www.npn.ehost.pl/>



January 30th 2007 Near Radstock, Bath, Somerset, England

Location: Open countryside in village near Radstock, Bath, Somerset, England.

Date: 03/30/07 Time 7.10 p.m. GMT. Approach Direction: South

West. Departure Direction: Just vanished. Witness Direction: South West.

Description: I had took my dogs across the lane into the field and as they were hunting around I looked up at the sky and noticed what I initially thought was a perfectly circular cloud, which I thought was very odd. I continued to look at this and noticed that there was what looked like 2 perfect circles of white vapour trail. I also noticed that the inner circle was rotating but not moving, I was fascinated by this so continued to watch.

After a few minutes the whole thing moved firstly diagonally to my right (Northwest) and then to my left (Southeast) after it did this a couple of times it

started going around in circles and between the 2 circles of white vapour trail it looked transparent and it looked a bit like a mushroom shape. It then just vanished. I was on my own but I know that I really did see this and just wondered if anyone else has seen anything like this at all.

Colour/Shape: Looked like 2 circles of white vapour trail, but when it moved it looked transparent between the 2 circles of white vapour trail.

Height & Speed: No good at judging, but it seemed huge, especially when it started moving.

TV/Radio/Press: Not reported.

February 3rd 2007 Huddersfield, Yorkshire

Huddersfield Yorkshire, England
Bright Object And Helicopter

Date: February 3, 2007
Time: 1:23 a.m.

Location of Sighting: Over the moors in Huddersfield Yorkshire, England.

Number of witnesses: 2

Number of objects: 1

Shape of objects: Really bright with tail like a star but green and pulsating.

Full Description of sighting: I was sitting in living room and saw what I thought was a strange star. So a friend and I went to investigate. It was very bright green and pulsating. I tried to video tape it, but would not show up. The next thing we see is a helicopter arriving and tries to get close to object. The helicopter was circling and trying to get close to it from different directions. The object starts to move further away and approximately an hour or so later it's gone completely.

Brian Vike, Director HBCC

February 1st 2007 Islington, London England

UFOINFO Not: The Islington



Gazette dated February 2nd 2007 gives the day of the report as Thursday making the sighting as February 1st. Wikinews which uses the same report currently gives the date as January 29th 2007 which was a Monday.

At 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, 10-15 UFOs were spotted over north London in the inner-city district of Islington. The Islington police said they started receiving phone calls only minutes after the objects started appearing.....the witness had told them, "He told me he was picking his daughter up from school and he saw many people looking up in the air. Traffic had stopped and people were staring. He said he saw between 12 and 15 orange lights travelling across the sky. Then they would stop and then they went upwards." The full original article with photo can be found at the [Islington Gazette](#) website.

Editorial Comment: There is an excellent mobile phone sequence on the Islington Gazette website. The sequence clearly shows at least 12 objects all holding a tight fixed formation. **GH.**

February 3rd 2007

Portrack, Stockton On Teeside, Cleveland

Portrack Stockton On Teeside, Cleveland An Orange Ball Shaped Object

Date: February 3, 2007
 Time: 11.30 a.m.
 Number of witnesses: 5
 Number of objects: 2
 Shape of objects: Ball shape.
 Full Description of event/sighting: Saturday 3rd February at 11.30 a.m. my colleague and I went out side of work for a break whilst sat down. My colleague noticed something unusual in the sky. I looked up to where he was pointing in the sky and witnessed an orange ball shaped colour. It was rising up into the air in a very straight line suddenly it made a 45 degree left hand turn and continued on that route for 5 minutes. By this time there were 3 other people watching this.

We saw a jet fighter plane going on the same course. We looked to our left again to look at the unusual orange light still travelling along the sky. Another colleague of mine noticed another strange object

in the sky. This was of the same shape but pulsating a green light and in a stationary position. We were all watching these unusual lights for at least 15 minutes when eventually they both disappeared

Brian Vike, Director HBCC UFO Research.

February 21st 2007 Sholing, Southampton, Hampshire, England

Location: Sholing, Southampton, Hampshire, England. Date: 21/02/07 Approx 21.30

Approach Direction: Coming towards us. Departure Direction: Flew off west.
 Witness Direction: Travelling up Botley Rd towards Bursledon Rd (whatever direction that is).
 Description: Square object with many lights. Hovering, changing direction several times then speeding off. Seen above Botley Rd. Also seen above M27 a pink/red sphere hovering then disappeared.

All sightings courtesy of UFOINFO and BRIAN VIKE of HBCC Research

FLEETFOOTAGE GALLERY

MARTIN VALENCIA

LEON GTO. 18 MAYO 1997

TOMAS ISLAS

CD. NEZA 24 OCTUBRE 1994

ALL IMAGES COURTESY OF JAIME MAUSSAN

PROGRAMAS
DE INVESTIGACION

ALEX FERNANDEZ C.

7 DE DICIEMBRE 1994 TAMPICO

TULA HIDALGO.

18 DE MARZO DE 1998

ALL IMAGES COURTESY OF JAIME MAUSSAN

To Be Seen

BY JAMES WELLER



EDITORIAL COMMENT

I am always keen to promote readers to send in articles. On this occasion James sent me the following article and I want to thank him for an interesting piece.

Introduction

First of all, let me introduce myself. My name is James Weller. I'm a twenty-three year old civil servant from Kent.

Ever since I was a child I have had an interest in the paranormal. Around the ages of ten and eleven my attention focused upon the UFO phenomenon. This is where it has remained ever since.

The 23rd of February 2007 marks twelve years to the day that I personally witnessed undeniable proof that UFOs exist. From that moment on, all those years ago, the question of whether or not UFOs were real was answered for me. Instead, the question became: what are they?

For me personally, continuing to question the existence of

these mysterious objects was a pointless waste of time. I knew that they were real and, as I delved more deeply into the witness reports, the photographic and video evidence, I could no longer understand how anyone could doubt their existence. Do UFOs exist? Yes.

Do we know what they are? No. The purpose of this article is not to provide more accounts of UFO sightings, photos or video footage of these objects in action, or to look once again at well known cases to see what more we could learn. Instead I want to look at sightings of UFOs in general and question their true purpose. How is it that we see these objects and, most importantly, why do we see them?

This article outlines my theory that a percentage of UFO sightings are not simply chance occurrences but pre-planned encounters. And that not only are these encounters planned in advance, but that steps are taken to ensure their purpose is fulfilled – the purpose of simply being seen by the chosen witness/witnesses.

50 Years On – What Do We Know?

We talk about the 'modern UFO era' beginning with Kenneth Arnold's famous sighting in June 1947. But what have we learned since this pivotal moment in UFO history? We have plenty of theories, but what can we be *certain about*? Well, from my own perspective I can say that I *know* UFOs

exist. I am also quite certain that there is an intelligence behind them. We also know that these UFOs can display capabilities that are currently out of reach of our own technology.

Obviously, the most popular theory is that UFOs (or at least a percentage of them) represent an extraterrestrial intelligence that is present here on Earth. When I first started my own research into UFOs, I immediately assumed that we were dealing with extraterrestrial visitors. But as I looked deeper into the reports and began to branch out into other areas of the paranormal (and also through my own experiences), my belief that we were dealing with extraterrestrials swung back and forth wildly.

Were we really dealing with aliens in the 'conventional' sense of the word or were we dealing with some kind of inter-dimensional entities? Were they even 'aliens' at all? Maybe they'd been here all along and were as much a part of this planet as we are? I got stuck in the middle ground – maybe they were entities from another planet, yet not reliant on physical transportation as we understand it? Things didn't seem to add up one way or another. There was evidence pointing to a multitude of possible answers.

Even now, I still wouldn't put any money on what the intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon is. Fifty years have passed and I don't think we can

say with any certainty the exact origin of the UFO phenomenon. All I'm sure about is that there *is an* intelligence (or intelligences) behind it. However, where this intelligence originates is not what I want to speculate about here.

Are UFOs Pointless?

We know for sure that UFOs exist. We have our many theories regarding their origin. But now I want to look at individual sightings. What is the point of the majority of UFO sightings? Think about it. How many sightings do you read about that describe UFOs engaged in some sort of logical behaviour? Not many I'd say.

Perhaps looking for human logic in a phenomenon that may have a non-human intelligence behind it is irrational. But still, how many sightings would you say feature objects that seem to have no specific purpose whatsoever? Ninety percent? More? Or at least, no purpose other than *to be seen*. *So did these objects appear solely for the purpose of being observed by the witness?*

Can We Predict A Sighting?

If we collected data on every sighting in the last fifty years, would it be possible to predict UFO behaviour? Would it be possible to calculate the odds of experiencing a UFO sighting depending on sex, age, location, etc? I can't say for sure. But I do know that UFOs do not appear to follow distinct patterns.

I do not believe that any one person is more likely to witness a UFO than another. But if we did collect and analyze such data, what would the statistics reveal? The most likely time of a sighting? The most likely

place? You could camp out all day and night and see nothing. Yet someone several miles away, with no interest in the subject, may suddenly encounter a spectacular craft. I speculate that it may not be down to you, but rather down to 'them'.

Right Place, Right Time?

Over the last few years I have begun to look back upon my own encounters and question whether I was simply lucky to have had them, or whether there was some sort of purpose behind them. When people witness UFOs, is it really just because they are in the right place, at the right time? Or is the intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon planning these encounters – hours, days, perhaps weeks in advance?

I've read a lot of UFO reports over the years, and one thing that's always stood out to me is the fact that the objects people describe seem to have no specific purpose other than *to be seen*. *Of course, sightings vary. Sometimes we're dealing with extremely close up sightings of detailed craft, while at other times it may just be lights in the distance. We have single witness to multiple witness encounters. However, the question remains the same.*

Is it just a coincidence? Are these sightings simply chance encounters with the unexplained? I do not believe so.

My Own Experiences

I'll briefly relate a couple of my own sightings to you now in order to demonstrate my point. Back in 1995 I was becoming more interested in the UFO phenomenon. I was only eleven at the time and I figured that the best way to determine if

UFOs actually existed would be to undertake a few sky watches and see one for myself. (After all, if other people had seen them, then why shouldn't I? I do miss that kind of childish logic.)

'They' could ensure I would witness the object?

Crap, now my head hurts.

Chosen?

Can we really dismiss these sightings as simple luck? The thought of being chosen to witness such an object is certainly an appealing one – although the methods being employed to arrange such encounters are somewhat sinister if they are indeed accurate. However, I do not believe this is simply a case of wishful thinking. If you have witnessed a UFO yourself, think the sighting over once again – not just the sighting itself but *the events leading up to the sighting*.

Did the object suddenly appear in your field of vision? Were you 'compelled' to look up to the skies above? Think about your actions carefully. Were they outside of your ordinary 'routine' and did they lead to your sighting?

I have read many UFO reports, but not many go into detail regarding what the witnesses were doing prior to the sighting. Most UFO reports are concerned more with the descriptions of the objects witnessed. But are we overlooking something when we do not examine the events leading up to the sighting?

I've read reports, however, that recount tales of people simply 'waking up' during the night and then going to a window and observing a UFO. There are also those reports that mention the witness first

noticing something else in the sky. And sure enough, after just a few sky watches I had a black, triangular-shaped object hovering several metres above my roof. Now at the time, this seemed quite reasonable to me.

Do remember, I was only eleven and, although the sighting was an exciting moment for me, I did not consider the strange odds of it occurring. As I got older, however, I thought more about it and wondered – what were the odds of me seeing that object? It just happened to show up right above my house, when I was alone, looking up at the sky? Right place, right time? Or something else?

Another sighting occurred in 1998. I was staying at a friend's house one night and we were downstairs watching a film. I was a little bored of the movie and I looked out of the window opposite me. I noticed a light moving above some houses across the street, but pretty much ignored it, figuring that it was a plane.

But as I watched, the object flew back – and then flew back again. It was almost as if the thing was trying to get my attention. Sure enough, when I got up and called my friend over to look, the object stopped what it was doing and started doing some pretty amazing things (which I won't go into here).

The point is that this object showed up in that place, at that time – in fact at the exact moment I was looking out of the window – and then appeared to try and get my attention. Another case of right place, right time? My friend, by the way, wasn't much of a believer before that night. He is now.

Another thing that struck me about this sighting was the

impression I had that the area outside, where the object was flying, felt 'dead'. One way of putting it is that I felt we were watching a show that was intended for us alone. I guess I just knew instinctively that nobody else was going to see this thing.

UFO sightings – a case of right place, right time? Or is it more than luck?

Advance Planning?

Mass sightings (the sphere formations over Mexico being a recent example) are seen by hundreds and sometimes thousands of people. It seems that in cases like these the intention is still solely to be seen – but on these occasions it is on a larger scale with no particular witness in mind.

But what about the individual sightings? Do 'they' choose witnesses in advance? When it comes to the more personal sightings it seems there may be some kind of pre-planning. The question is: how much?

Are our actions being manipulated so that we enter a situation where we will observe these objects? Are our thoughts/dreams being altered in some way (scary thought, huh?). Are we being observed prior to a sighting so that 'they' (whatever/whoever *they* are) can be sure that we will see what 'they' want us to see (for whatever reason that may be).

I look at my own sightings and wonder. The second one in particular stands out. I arranged to stay over at my friend's house that night. Now, assuming that the sighting was not just a case of right place, right time; then it means that whoever was controlling the object must have been aware of this. Further to that, they

must have known the exact time that I would be in a position where I could see outside.

And it gets even more complicated. I have already stated that the object appeared to be attempting to gain my attention. Once my friend and I were both standing up at the window and watching it, only then did it begin to start its 'performance'. Were we targeted specifically to see this object? Was it aware of our movements in the room – in the house – so that it could appear in a part of the sky that was visible to us?

I got bored with the movie – that's why my attention wandered to the window. If the movie had been a good one (oddly enough, I did watch it again a few years later and enjoyed it more) I may not have seen the object. The curtains of the window I was looking out of had also not been pulled (and there were no net curtains either).

When all these things are added up, what are the chances of the object showing up in that exact place at that exact time – and of me seeing it? And was I influenced somehow into doing so? Or at the very least under some form of observation so that – perhaps a conventional aircraft – and then noticing the UFO. Each sighting seems to be unique with regards to the events that led up to it. So are we being nudged (perhaps subtly, perhaps sometimes quite forcefully) to witness these objects?

But why would anyone/anything go to so much trouble simply to be seen?

But Why?

The fact is that whatever

intelligence(s) lies behind the UFO phenomenon, it has not stepped forward to reveal itself. It has not landed on the White House lawn, poked its head out and said 'hi'. It seems to want to keep its anonymity. But then why do people witness these objects?

Luck? Are they simply in the right place at the right time? I do not believe so. UFOs could probably go about whatever business they have here totally unseen. I think the technology they have demonstrated would allow for this. But they don't.

They want to be seen. They are seen because they allow it. And perhaps a large percentage

groups. But maybe the intelligence behind the UFOs sees that uniqueness. Maybe that is what interests them the most and perhaps this is why they operate not on a societal level, but on an individual basis.


Rather than revealing themselves to the world – to a nation – they choose to appear to people. The governments of any nation, remember, however powerful, are still collections of unique individuals. It seems to me that the UFOs are here and are *choosing* to reveal themselves to us as *they* see fit – not as *we* would like or expect them to.

Since the 1940s UFOs have

within it. One by one we as a species are opening up to possibilities beyond what science tells us is 'possible'.

Despite all institutional (either scientific or governmental) attempts to debunk and disprove the UFO phenomena, it simply will not, has not, gone away – because of the *individuals* it has affected. More than anything, seeing is believing when it comes to UFOs.

The UFO phenomenon has been with us a long time and it's not going to go away. Maybe eventually enough people will have seen a UFO that their existence cannot be



of sightings have no purpose other than for the witness (chosen in advance or not) to observe the UFO.

In our modern society, perhaps we do not always recognise each person as unique – obsessed with numbers and statistics and the need to conform as we are. We pigeon-hole people into easy-to-identify

become an accepted part of our popular culture the world over. From inspiring movies and books to toys and music, the UFO phenomenon has slowly integrated itself into our daily lives. Perhaps this extends to the sightings themselves. Slowly these mysterious objects are altering people's perceptions of reality, our world, of the universe and our place

denied – hell, maybe *that's* the whole point. After all, a good, personal sighting of a UFO beats any amount of video or photographic evidence.

Seeing really is believing.
© James Weller 2007

EDITORIAL COMMENT
A thought provoking article, well done James. GH.

3RD PARAQUEST ANNUAL CONFERENCE

**Saturday
28th April
2007
Sale**

**Masonic Hall,
Tatton Road,
Sale,
Cheshire, M33
7EE.**

Alan Murdie

Alan is the chairman of the world famous ghost club, and a renowned speaker on the subject of the paranormal. Alan is also a prolific writer, publishing many local ghost guides and is currently researching Victorian and early 20th century ghost cases.

Clint Denyer

Clint is a qualified Parapsychologist, Psychic Practitioner, and Remote Viewer, Writer, and Presenter. A Researcher in Egyptology, Ufology,

Ancient Civilisations, and the Universe we live in. He has lectured at many of the top UFO conferences around the UK, and presents his own weekly radio show on the Paranormal.

Ian Morison

Ian Morison works on the SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) project at Jodrell Bank Observatory, part of the University of Manchester. He believes that the Galaxy is teaming with extraterrestrial intelligence - it's just a question of finding it.

**Dr Jackie
Jones-Hunt**

Jackie has researched the experiential and theoretical aspects of shamanic paranormal phenomena, Out of body experiences (OBE's), Near Death experiences (NDE's) and mediumship for over 25 years. She has taught Psychical Research and Consciousness Studies at Warwick University

and other colleges in the UK intermittently between travel and research for over twenty years. She is author of *Séances with God: God through the Ages* and teaches Consciousness Studies/Psychical Research at Strathclyde University and Paisley University, Glasgow, Scotland.

**Paraquest
Conference**

**Saturday
28th April 2007**

Venue:

**Sale Masonic Hall
Time : 10am to
5:30pm**

**Tickets £12:50 in
advance £15:00 on
the door**

**Make cheques
payable to:**

Paraquest

**Send an A5 stamped
address envelope
(for programme,
tickets ,etc)to:**

John Slater

Tel 0161 969 870624

Broad Road

Sale

Cheshire

M33 2AL

THE BIG PICTURE: WORLDWIDE UFO SIGHTINGS

CANADA

February 20th 2007 Kootneys, British Columbia

Kootneys In British Columbia
Pulsing Colored Light
Date: February 20, 2007
Time: 10:30 p.m.

Location of Sighting: Kootney's
Number of witnesses: 3
Number of objects: 1
Shape of objects: Looks like
star that pulses coloured light.

Full Description of event/sighting: My children and I have been observing objects in the sky that looks like stars in the sky until closer observation when you see pulsing coloured light. They can stay in the same place for hours, sometimes jumping left and right quickly. In Burnaby we observed them frequently. Sometimes they appear triangular when viewed through a telescope. When we just travelled to the Kootneys two days ago, we observed many of them in the sky along the highway to the Kootneys.

It was as if they were travelling the highway out in front of us like a guide or something. Tonight, there has been one posted above the house we are staying in. I'm not sure what they are or what they are waiting for, but when we ran into the field back in Burnaby to get a video of them and we heard a melodic tone. It showed up on the video when we viewed it. You have to listen close but you can hear it. It was kind of like tone from the mother ship in the old movie "Close Encounters of

a Third Kind"
Brian Vike, Director HBCC UFO
Research.

CHILE February 6th 2007 Villarrica

Inexplicata
The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
February 8, 2007
SOURCE: Diario Austral de
Temuco
ATE: Thursday, 02.08.07

UFO reported over downtown Villarrica: Witnesses tell their stories. The community of Villarrica is stunned by the sighting of an unidentified flying object on Tuesday morning over the city's downtown district. The sighting took place at 0700 hours on Tuesday (02.06.07) while a group of workers waited for the bus at the Rural Terminal and vendors for the International Fair set up their stands for the day's displays.

They looked up to the sky to see an enormous object that hung in the air above for nearly 5 seconds. The situation was such that all are in agreement over seeing a large UFO, and that the object was also pursued by other yellow lights at the same time over Villarrica.

The Witnesses Speak

Luis Obregon was one of the first to see this UFO. According to his account, it was after 7 a.m.. "I was waiting for the bus with some friends to get to work. At that time we saw a giant light in the sky, orange in colour, with several lights behind it. All of us who were at the Terminal

saw it." The appearance of this UFO at the intersection of Matta and Vicente Reyes streets left everyone astonished. "I was staring at it and couldn't believe it. It was a large vessel with very powerful lights. Behind it were some 15 smaller lights that glowed brightly. It was evidently a UFO because it was broad daylight and that was the only thing in the sky," added Obregon.

But he and his friends weren't the only ones to see the craft. Workers for the International Fair of Native Products, operating two blocks away from the bus station, also saw the craft. "I was with my dad and we were going to the countryside to look for stuff. Then we saw something in the sky that we at first took for a flare, but that was impossible at the time. It was an orange-coloured craft with several lights, that remained sort of parked in the sky and then fell," said young Andres Gutierrez. The 15 year-old says that while he felt no fear, he was impressed by the object's speed and its quick movements in the air. "It was a fast ship with powerful movements, like a comet or a flare," he said.

Another worker, who preferred to remain anonymous so as to avoid problems with his co-workers, saw the craft at that same time. "It was after seven o'clock in the morning, perhaps 7:10, when I was off to take the bus. That's when I saw the UFO. It was a huge ship and it stopped right above us. Before that, I wasn't much of a believer, but after I saw it, I had no doubt that there is life on other worlds."

But this wasn't the only case. Even the community of Quino, 5 kilometres from Victoria, was buzzed by a UFO that caused consternation among locals.

(translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Raul Nunez, IIEE)

MALAYSIA

February 18th 2007 Jalan Ipoh, Kuala Lumpur, Selangor

Location: Jalan Ipoh/Kuala Lumpur/Selangor/ Malaysia

Date: February/18/2007 Kuala Lumpur, 1.30am, 3am

Approach Direction: north. Departure Direction: east. Witness Direction: north.

Description: My father and I were having a midnight snack at one of a stall at housing estate. Once we thought it to be a few stars as they were bright, but then we realise they could not be because they produce any sound and had five to six sparkling orange in colour at each object and they were moving quite far and fast.

They were moving in an alignment in three and four in a shape like 'semi rainbow' about thirteen of them. When one of them moves out of alignment the rest would with for it until they form back in the old position. Finally they all rose higher and higher until they were out of sight. And then one object was flying quite fast from north and rose quite near from those previous objects but I guess few people around would not realise this as there were few fireworks during this festive season. The object rose higher and higher and moves in a circle before flying to the east.

At 3 am, one more orange sparkling object came from the north. It rose high up and came into a stall in the air before continue to fly to the east. Finally it change direction and rose higher up and out of sight. I know there must be a report on this sighting but eventually it will be classified, beyond the public aware.

Colour/Shape: More than 15 objects, each object has five to six bright glowing orange light.

Height & Speed: at about 40,000 feet, more faster than F16, from north rose high in the sky, then stall in the middle, finally change direction to the east and rose higher until it disappears.

TV/Radio/Press: I guess they were classified. Hope you could look into it.

MEXICO

January 28th 2007 Near Popocatepetl and Iztaccíhuatl Volcanoes

February 2, 2007 SOURCE: www.analuisacid.com DATE: 02.01.07

Airliner Crew Records UFO on Cellphone**A report from Ana Luisa Cid**

On January 28, 2007, flight mechanic Enrique Morales Piedras recorded—on his cellphone- a spherical object crossing the skies over the region of the Popocatepetl e Iztaccíhuatl volcanoes.

According to a report given to researcher Alfonso Salazar, he was aboard a Boeing 737-200, registration XA-MAC, flying between Mexico City and Puerto Vallarta.

The witness says the flight took off at 9:20 am toward Texcoco on runway 5 right. The airliner

began to rise and at an elevation of 10,000 feet followed the Toluca VOR. At this time Mr. Morales Piedras began to make a recording with his cellphone, since the view was inspiring, the volcanoes were covered in snow and the weather was completely clear and sunny.

As he recorded the scenery, the airliner's captan said: "Look, what's that over there?" pointing straight ahead. It was at that moment that he recorded – in the eleven o'clock position – a spherical, seemingly metallic object at an estimated distance of 200 meters. The time was 9:23 a.m.

"What is most relevant of this evidence upon reviewing the video, aside from seeing the sphere, the audio picks up the TICAS alarm, which repeats: "Traffic, traffic..." meaning that the on-board collision avoidance radar picked up the flying object, which then moved to the left and sped away quickly," concluded researcher Alfonso Salazar, adding that as soon as he has the witness's authorization, he will release the recording.

(translation (c) 2007 S. Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid and Alfonso Salazar)

PUERTO RICO

February 2007 : Various Locations in Puerto Rico

February 17, 2007 SUMMARY OF UFO CASES IN PUERTO RICO Reported to www.ovni.net and www.andrewalvarez.net By Lucy Guzman, Ovni.net Revised February 14, 2007

February 4th 2007 Mayaguez Zoo

UFO REPORTED OVER MAYAGUEZ, P.R.

Date: 4 February 2007

Location: In front of the Mayaguez Zoo.
Witness: Pedro Navarro
Time: 11:10 AM

Object Description: Shining metallic oval (glowing silver) like two superimposed plates. Material glowed, no lights.
Evidence: None.

Summary: When Mr. Navarro drove past the Mayaguez Zoo, he saw a plate-shaped UFO in the sky. He described it as a shining metallic oval flying under the clouds, thus placing its altitude at some 3000 feet. Approximate angle of altitude: 15 degrees; Compass direction: SW. Movement: SE. Material was shiny and without lights.

When the witness saw it, it was static and in a matter of seconds (15 sec. Approximately) it zig-zagged and headed toward a cloud, where it hid. Mr. Navarro did not get out of his car to follow its manoeuvres, as he was late for an activity. Nor could he take a photo, as he didn't have his camera with him.

He said that the object had the apparent size of a birthday balloon. Note: could there be any relationship between this object and the video recorded at the zoo an hour later?

Remark: This was the first experience by Mr. Navarro with a UFO. He was pleased to see one. Evidence analyzed: N/A
Conclusions reached: None.
No further reports on the same object.

Source: Ovni.Net
www.ovni.net

February 4th 2007 Mayaguez Zoo

ENTITY CAPTURED ON
VIDEOTAPE,

Date: Sunday, 4 February 2007
Time: 12:40 pm
Place: Mayaguez Zoo.
Witness: Jessica Walker, her husband Misael Calderon and their 5-year old son. Witness lives in: Canóvanas.

Brief description of the creature: Egg-shaped head, two black eyes, small mouth and nose. Creature appears to have luminescence or irradiates light in its surroundings.

Summary: Jessica's 5 year old had been given a class assignment regarding animals. He had to take a photo and say something about the animal in question in class. Jessica took him to the Mayaguez Zoo, because the child selected elephants. When they reached the house and began watching the video, they were stunned that in the area where there a wooden monkey and chickens can be found, there stood a strange creature they believe "not to be from this world."

They rewound their video camera and were able to see it, freezing the moment where the creature can be seen. Without saying a word, as the boy was present, the child said, "that looks like an alien." Jessica was so frightened that she didn't sleep in her house that night. She wants to know what that thing could be, because it ***was not there*** when the video was taken. There was no one around beyond the wooden monkey and chickens. What appears on film does not look like anything from our world.

Note: Jessica brought the video camera on Tuesday, 6 Feb. to Lucy Guzman's house to have the video copied onto the computer and have it analyzed. Misael took the video. Jessica's mother was near the monkey cages at the time (the animals were disturbed, restless and

suddenly bumped into something before retreating into the shelter) and complained about not feeling well. The other animals were lying down and inactive. Misael felt that very same sensation in the area where the wooden monkey and chickens are located, where the strange image was captured. The mother is not sensitive; this is the first time she has felt this sensation.

Video pre-evaluation: A change of light is evident, like a silhouette. Lucy Guzman, Orlando Pla and Andrew Alvarez also saw a dark, humanoid image that they believe could be the manifestation seen by the couple. There also appears to be a face on the tree. Better analysis is required. Source: <http://www.andrewalvarez.net> and www.ovni.net

February 4th 2007 : Vega Baja

UFO OVER VEGA BAJA, P.R.
Date: Sunday, 4 February 2007
Place: Vega Baja, Puerto Rico

Witnesses: Rita Rivera and her sister. Time: Night. Exact to be verified from the video.
Object Description: White light with horizontal motion.
Evidence: Yes, video.

Summary: A UFO was seen and recorded. They noticed a light in the sky behaving oddly and decided to observe it and videotape it. The light was following a horizontal route and suddenly shut off. They say it was not a satellite because they are familiar with satellites and their behaviour. Reported in person by both sisters to Lucy Guzman on 8 February 2007.
Conclusions: Pending. Ovni.net is waiting for the video to subject it to analysis.
Source: Ovni.Net
www.ovni.net

February 8th 2007 Moca

STRANGE OBJECTS APPEAR IN RESEARCHER PHOTOGRAPHS

Date: Thursday, 8 February
2007

Location: Moca, Puerto Rico

Time: 12:34 p.m.

Witness: Orlando Pla and Lucy
Guzman on site, but the objects
were seen in photograph form
only. Object description: 4
photos showing white objects
in the sky. Another with the 4
objects darkens in the middle
and the other 3 move to the
right of the photo. A whitish
face with dark eyes appears
on the second tree from left to
right. Evidence: Yes, digital
photos.

Summary: Lucy and Orlando
visited la Casona as tourists. The
sky was completely clear. Photos
and video were taken. Returning
home, and seeing the photos
taken by Lucy, they noticed that
images IMG_0637, IMG_0638,
IMG_0639, IMG_0640 (taken in
sequence at 12:34 pm.) show
objects that shouldn't be there.

Photo # IMG_0637 shows
nothing in the sky or on the
tree. Photo # IMG_0638 shows
an apparent whitish face with
large black eyes concealed
among the foliage in the second
tree to the left; IMG_0639
shows 4 amorphous white object
in the sky to the right of the
photo and an apparent white
face with large black eyes, and
photo IMG_0640 displays one
of the 4 white objects in the
same place but with a darkened
middle. The other three in the
area above the foliage show the
apparent white face with dark
eyes.

Note: Only Lucy Guzman's
photos and video have been
subjected to pre-evaluation.
The ones taken by Orlando Pla

have not. It should be noted
that poltergeist phenomena
have been reported in the house
(dark shadows, opening doors,
loud noises, chains, almost all
of them at night). Upon
reconstructing the house Indian
artefacts were found, suggesting
the possibility of native burial
grounds. Source: Ovni.Net
www.ovni.net

February 8th 2007 Mayaguez Lions Club

BRIGHT OBJECT OVER MAYAGUEZ, P.R.

Date: Thursday, 8 February
2007 Location: Mayaguez Lions
Club, P.R. Time: 7:00 p.m.

Witnesses: Lucy Guzman,
Orlando Pla and another
gentleman.

Object Description: Very bright
white light, under the clouds,
with an apparent size twice
that of Saturn.

Evidence: An attempt to
videotape it was made, but it
was not picked up.

Summary: Lucy was in the
parking lot of the Lions Club
and upon looking at the sky,
noted that there was a very
intense white light following an
east-west trajectory under the
clouds, at an approximate
elevation of 1,500-2000 feet.
Lucy first thought it could be
the ISS, but the cloud level
dismissed the possibility. The
light dimmed, losing its
brilliance. Later it recovered
until it became even more
intense and larger. The
gentleman present insisted it
was just an airplane, but the
fact that it disappeared and had
no navigation lights caused him
to dismiss the possibility.

Remarks: The night was very
clear with few clouds. No taste
was perceived, no sounds were
heard, no peculiar odours
detected, no impact on the
electric grid. Reported by: Lucy

Guzman.

Video analyzed; N/A

Conclusions: Based on
observation, behavior, altitude,
it was not a known aircraft,
artificial satellite, meteorite or
space junk. It was not possible
to determine the light's
nature. Source: Ovni.Net
www.ovni.net

February 9th 2007 Route 52 from Caguas to San Juan, near Lake Carraizo

UNEXPLAINED LIGHT OVER LAKE
CARRAIZO Date: Friday,
February 9, 2007

Location: Route 52 from Caguas
to San Juan, near Lake Carraizo

Witness: Lucy Guzman Time:
2:00 a.m.

Description of object: A very
bright white light with the
apparent size of a ping-pong
ball.

Evidence: None could be
obtained. Vanished before
camcorder could be secured.

Summary: Lucy Guzman and
Orlando Pla were returning from
Mayaguez, had paid the last toll
near Caguas and were near Lake
Carraizo. Lucy noticed that to
her right, above the lake, there
was a very bright ball of light
approximately 1000 meters
high, moving from east to west.
It was slowly heading toward the
expressway. She had her gear
in the back seat; she reached
for the camcorder, but by the
time she removed the lens cap,
the light was gone. She looked
all around for it and it was no-
where to be seen. She doesn't
know if the object made any
noise, as the car radio and air
conditioner were on. Reported
by: Lucy Guzman.

Video analyzed; N/A

Conclusions: Known objects
were discarded. It was not

possible to identify the source of the light. Source: Ovni.Net www.ovni.net

February 9th 2007 Gurabo towards Montanitas de Guaynabo

SINGLE WHITE LIGHT SEEN
FROM GURABO, P.R.
Date: Friday, 9 February 2007

Location: Montanitas de Guaynabo, the ones nearest Route 52 from San Juan to Caguas.

Witness: Edwin Fontanez (civil engineer, amateur astronomer, pilot and paranormal researcher).
Time: Between 2 and 2:15 a.m., approximately. Object description: A single white light emerged from a cloud and headed toward San Juan.
Evidence: None.

Summary: Edwin was in Gurabo with some friends. He was outdoors and, as an amateur skywatcher, he looked at the sky. Between 2:00-2:15 a.m. he saw a single white light emerging from a cloud and heading toward San Juan. As he was in the town of Gurabo and the light was over Guaynabo (above the small hills that face the expressway from San Juan to Caguas) he could see the small light, looking like a star. When the light made a sideways move (route change), a single light remained visible. As a pilot, he says that it wasn't any known aircraft. The light went away from his field of vision.

Note: these small hills the witness refers to are exactly on the opposite site of the expressway, where Lucy saw the light over the lake. Could it be the same light that Lucy reported in the area at 2:00 a.m.? It was logical that he should see it smaller due to

the distance of his vantage point. Reported by: Edwin Fontanéz to Lucy Guzmán, Orlando Pla, and G.M. at Lucy Guzman's house on February 12, 2007. Video analyzed: N/A
Conclusions: Light could not be determined. Source: Ovni.Net www.ovni.net

February 10th 2007 Barrio Sabana Hoyos, Arecibo

RED OBJECT OVER ARECIBO, P.R. Date: Saturday, February 10, 2007

Location: Barrio Sabana Hoyos, Arecibo

Witness: Mr. Berrios (pseudonym at his request) and one more. Time: 8:30 p.m.
Object description: Circular, shiny object like a star. Brilliant red. Evidence: None.

Summary: Circular, shiny object like a star, brilliant red, at very high altitude, moving horizontally. Strange luminous objects have been reported lately in different parts of the sky. Reported to: Ovni.net
Analyzed: N/A
Conclusions: N/A
Source: Ovni.Net www.ovni.net

(Translation (c) 2007, Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic Ufology. Special thanks to Lucy Guzman).

USA February 10th 2007: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Three Disc Shaped Craft Rotating

Date: February 10, 2007
Time: 5:00 p.m.
Location of Sighting: Pittsburgh, PA
Number of witnesses: 1
Number of objects: 3
Shape of objects: Disc Shaped.

Full Description of event/sighting: It was bright daylight when I saw three disk shaped craft in the air, against a bright blue sky. They were black and flying at a very low altitude. They weren't moving fast, in fact unusually slow for any aircraft, slower than even a bird would normally fly. One could say they were hovering but moving at a very slow pace. I was in the library studying for school at the time the sighting occurred. I just happened to look out the window I was sitting next to, and there they were.

I also saw a light on the object. I couldn't tell if there was a light on all three of them, but I only saw one light appear at a time. The light may have been blinking, or I may have only seen it every so often because the object was rotating. It was hard to tell what exactly happened although these objects were very close to me. They changed directions like this while all three of them remained in a very tight formation all moving the exactly the same speed. They did not slow down to move around one another or anything. What really seemed out of the ordinary was that the objects were extremely close to one another. At times it was hard to tell if there was more than one of them; not saying that they became one at any point, but that is how close they were. The rotated around one another, perhaps in a circle each one taking turns being the lead craft.

They left my sight however as my view became obstructed by the edge of the building. I then moved to another window to see them, but I did not see them again. After I saw this occur, I went out to see if anything else was out there, but there was no sign of any strange objects. I could not unfortunately get a picture of the objects as the

camera I have on my phone could not take a clear picture of the bright sky.

February 10th 2007 Warwick, New York

Warwick, New York A Bright Red Pulsing Light
Date: February 10, 2007
Time: Approx: 7:00 p.m.
Location of Sighting: West
Number of witnesses: 4
Number of objects: 1
Shape of objects: N/A

Full Description of event/sighting: At around 7:00 eastern on Saturday, February 10, 2007, my mother urged me to take a look out on my back porch to see a mysterious object in the sky. It was a very chilly night so I put on a coat and went outside. Immediately I can see the object that she was referring to, a bright red pulsing light just over the horizon in a westward direction.

At first glance the object seemed to be a plane flying toward us because there was no movement from right to left. The light was way too bright to be a star. The light also seemed too bright to be a plane or a helicopter because I was viewing this object from a great distance away. It didn't get any bigger or any smaller as would be the case if a plane was flying toward or away from me. Whatever this light was it remained quite still. After approximately 15 minutes of viewing this object it suddenly turned off. Maybe one minute passed and it turned on again and remained completely still yet again. I viewed this object for perhaps another 20 minutes until it finally disappeared. Has anybody else in New York or close to New York (Northern Jersey, eastern Pennsylvania) seen anything remotely similar to what I described ?

February 13th 2007 Woodland Hills, California

Location: Woodland Hills,
CA - USA
Date: Tuesday, February 13th
5:15pm PST (local time).
Approach Direction: East.
Departure Direction: East.
Witness Direction: East

Description: My vantage point was from outside my office in Woodland Hills, CA. I noticed a large (747) FedEx jet flying over my office and I thought it was strange for such a large jet to be headed towards Burbank Airport, as this airfield is generally for smaller commercial aircraft. As I watched to see if the plane would turn into the landing pattern for Burbank Airport, I noticed a bright point of light in the cloud directly behind the FedEx jet. At first I only saw one object then I noticed there were at least 2 others. The lights I saw were an amber colour. Almost as if the object was reflecting the setting sun to the west. I believe I saw 3 distinct objects. But they were only visible as a group for a short period of time. Each point of light would vary in intensity.

From very bright (they seemed to expand as the light got brighter), to barely visible. The lights had to be quite bright because the objects were clearly visible while being either in the cloud or behind it. And the cloud itself was rather dark. Now, these lights were moving in some rather strange patterns. I would describe the flight patterns as parabolic. They would move in one direction and perform what I would describe as a 'U-turn' and move back in the other direction. These 'U-turns' were executed at a high rate of speed. At one point I saw multiple lights performing these manoeuvres.

It resembled two squirrels chasing each other around and up a tree. At one point one of the lights was performing the parabolic manoeuvre (on an off axis) and it stopped in its tracks. It hovered in that position for roughly 10 seconds. Then without a noticeable acceleration period, continued the manoeuvre at the same high rate of speed.

All of the movement I witnessed was at a relatively high rate of speed. I would estimate the altitude to be about 10,000-15,000 feet and the distance from myself to the objects at about 20 miles. I have never seen an object in the air travel this fast or manoeuvre like these objects did. At no time were these objects visible outside the cloud that was moving past. The whole episode lasted 8-10 minutes. At which point the cloud had changed its shape and had moved off into the distance and the objects were no longer appearing. They may have still been there but the cloud had thickened and may have obscured the view at that point.

Summary - Bright points of light in the sky executing manoeuvres that conventional aircraft (at least any aircraft I am aware of) could not. Executing these manoeuvres at a high rate of speed and stopping/starting on a dime. Colour/Shape: Amber coloured round object. Seemed to be reflective.

Height & Speed: (altitude) 10,000-15,000ft - (speed) Hard to say.. I have never seen anything travel this fast, especially something that was 20 miles away. I would estimate it over 1000 mph.

TV/Radio/Press: Not that I have seen/heard.

All sighting reports courtesy of UFOINFO and BRIAN VIKE of HBCC Research

Could we have hitched a ride on UFOs?

UFOs AND THE WEB

Newly released files may put one mystery to bed, but in doing so others are left unanswered

James Randerson
Thursday February 22, 2007
[The Guardian](#)

It is not the sort of discussion you imagine among the grey-suited ranks of Whitehall - defence analysts debating the existence of little green men and speculating about whether they have visited Earth. But a set of newly released internal Ministry of Defence documents gives a fascinating insight into the military's interest in UFOs. They tell the story of the MoD's decision to investigate the threat they might pose and whether alien military technology could be used in the defence of the realm. They also reveal the conflicting attitudes within Whitehall to the subject and the lengths that officials went to in order to keep the project secret.

The documents, many marked "Secret UK Eyes A", lay out the rationale for the three-year Project Condign report which analysed more than 10,000 possible UFO sightings collected over several decades - many from military personnel. The existence of the 460-page report was revealed last year following freedom of information requests by David Clarke, a lecturer in journalism at Sheffield Hallam University, and his colleague Gary Anthony. It was more FOI sleuthing on their part that turned up the current slew of papers. The documents show that the internal lobbying effort for a UFO study began in 1993. In a briefing note from the secret UFO investigation branch of Defence Intelligence - called

DI55 - an unnamed author wrote: "The national security implications are considerable. We have many reports of strange objects in the skies and we have never investigated them. **Paranoid response** "I also believe that it is important to appreciate that what is scientific 'fact' today may not be true tomorrow ... If reports are taken at face value then devices exist that do not use conventional reaction propulsion systems, they have a very wide range of speeds and are stealthy. I suggest that we could use this technology, if it exists."

And he speculates: "If the sightings are of devices not of the Earth then their purpose needs to be established as a matter of priority ... possibilities are: 1 Military reconnaissance. 2 Scientific. 3 Tourism." According to a former MoD intelligence analyst who asked not be named, the MoD was paranoid in the late 1980s that the Soviet Union had developed technology that went beyond western knowledge of physics. "For many years we were very concerned that in some areas the Russians had a handle on physics that we hadn't at all. We just basically didn't know the basics they were working from," he said. "We did encourage our scientists not to think that we in the west knew everything there was to be known."

Material that was held back from the original FOI release of the Project Condign report, but which was published in October after an appeal, suggests that the MoD suspected that this scientific knowledge came from

studying UFOs - or unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) as the MoD prefers to call them. "Russian, former Soviet republics and Chinese authorities have made a co-ordinated effort to understand the UAP topic. Several aircraft have been destroyed and at least four pilots have been killed 'chasing UFOs'."

One of Project Condign's conclusions was that UAP events could be put down to poorly understood phenomena called plasmas. The report says that the Russian military was doing research using plasmas as reflector antennas, aerodynamic drag reducers, stealth absorbers and using them to produce "saucer-shaped volumes".

The initial request in 1993 for an MoD research project into UFOs was shelved, but in a later memo dated June 19 1995 following a surge in UFO reports, the same unnamed wing commander at DI55 wrote: "Until we conduct some analysis of the files we will not have any idea what the many reports represent. If at any stage in the future UAPs are shown to exist then there is the potential for severe embarrassment."

Clarke, whose book *Flying Saucers: The Social History of Ufology* will be published in April, says: "They knew that because no detailed study of the subject had ever been carried out - and consequently they had no idea what UFOs were - they could not justify the claim they were of no threat."

Nick Pope, who worked on the

MoD's public UFO desk until 1994 and features in the correspondence, adds: "This was always the big debate. How could you possibly fulfil the remit of looking at the issue properly to see if there was anything of defence significance, without carrying out research and investigation? I think it is one of these subjects where it is low probability, high consequence," he adds. "For the want of spending a little bit of money, the potential wins if there were anything of any defence significance here would be worth having."

But how much money did the MOD spend? One document refers to a £35,000 cost estimate, while in another from 1996, the head of Defence Intelligence (Scientific and Technical) estimated £80,000 for a year-long study. Project Condign when it was eventually begun took more than three years to complete. So does that mean a price tag of at least £240,000?

No, according to the MoD, although it would not release the true figure. "This assumption that the sum will tally up to £80,000 a year isn't supported and is inaccurate. It was funded from an existing contract within existing budgetary levels," it adds. The project was given to a trusted defence contractor and although details of the contract have not been revealed, the documents suggest that it was handled so as not to expose Project Condign to scrutiny. In the initial 1993 correspondence on the subject, a memo from DI55 refers to the potential "political embarrassment" of the project becoming known.

It goes on: "I believe that opening a new contract especially for this study and using competitive tendering would potentially expose the

study to too wide an audience."

But Pope believes this was simply a practical measure. "Using an existing contract is always going to be easier than actually commissioning a new one," he says. "It wasn't an attempt to take it outside scrutiny. It was a quick fix."

Suspicious minds

The internal memos and briefing notes are peppered with hints of the considerable scepticism the DI55 wing commander encountered from superiors. In the original August 1993 brief he writes: "I am well aware that anyone who talks about UFOs is treated with a certain degree of suspicion. I am briefing on the topic because DI55 have a UFO responsibility, not because I talk to little green men every night!"

And in a later document he describes a briefing by DI55 on the subject. "The scientists and engineers present treated to [sic] topic seriously while non scientists (or those without a physical science background) made the usual jokes about little green men and mass hallucination!"

When Project Condign was eventually completed in 2000 it concluded that there was no evidence that UAPs were of extra-terrestrial origin. But there was a limit to what the author could do, because he was not allowed to interview people who had witnessed UAP events or talk to experts.

"The nature of the security classification meant he was unable to discuss the study with scientists who might have been able to advise him on the credibility of the conclusions he reached," says Clarke.

This explains Project Condign's baffling conclusion - that UAPs are real, but caused by strange

plasmas, which are on the fringes of scientific understanding. "He ended up trying to explain one mystery by reference to another," says Pope.

Chilean Army Discloses Secret UFO Contacts

Santiago de Chile - The Chilean Army revealed to the UFO community classified material regarding recordings and encounters between the military and UFOs over the past 30 years, according to the El Mercurio newspaper today. The evidence was presented at the 10th International UFO Congress, highlighting the display of a video that captures a UFO pursuit by Navy vessels and helicopters of the Chilean Navy seven years ago, according to Rodrigo Fuenzalida, director of the Agrupacion de Investigaciones Ovnilogicas (AION).

Another pursuit took place on March 27, 2000 when a Citation 2 military aircraft crossed an unidentified flying object, measuring 40 meters in length, at an altitude of 1000 meters and 100 kilometers away from the Chilean capital.

Disclosure of this information was authorized by Oscar Izurieta, Commander in Chief of the Armed Forces, and forms part of an official investigation undertaken by Rodrigo Bravo, captain of the Army's 5th Division, who participates in the congress. Chile, with 15.5 million inhabitants, ranks fifth in the world when it comes to the highest number of UFO sightings, after the United States, Peru, Brazil and Russia. Thanks to Diario Milenio, translation (c) 2007, S. Corrales, Guillermo Gimenez, Planeta UFO, <http://www.milenio.com/index.php/2007/02/07/38887/>

Shuttle Films Caribbean "Divers"

Jeff Challender writes, "On Discovery's STS-116, twelfth day in orbit, December 21, 2006, she made a pass over the central United States, then out over the Atlantic Ocean near local midnight." We were treated by the INCO to a now rare block of "live" downlink from Shuttle's low-light black and white payload bay camera. (I say "rare" because today the amount of such night-time television of Earth from space is a much smaller quantity that just a few years ago. Of the total 309 hours which encompassed STS-116, less than one hour was night-time downlink TV. As the Shuttle progressed southward, with the camera pointing west across Florida and Cuba to the Gulf of Mexico four luminous objects appeared. These were seen against the face of Earth, and were also moving to the south at great speed. In fact, their speed was quite close to that of Discovery. The objects were flying in a loose formation, and shifting position relative to each other as they went. What happened next is one of the most shocking events I've ever seen in downlink video from space.

NASA shuttle is looking east, when three lights fly across Gulf of Mexico from the north.

Three of the four anomalies appeared to actually DIVE into the ocean west of Havana, Cuba!! I can't think of one

single conventional object which could do this, let alone at orbital speed! After my first look at this event on my original recording from NASA Select TV, I was simply and completely stunned! Ships at sea, no matter how well lit, are never visible day or night from the altitude of Shuttle and ISS orbit. They are simply too far away.

The same applies to airplanes. They are not visible from 220 miles (350 km). And none of them are capable of reaching anything close to the speed of the objects in this incident. Now, there is no certainty that the objects entered the water. They MAY have entered clouds instead. But it was really too dark to be sure. It is certain that there was no hint of cloud over the cities of Florida, or above Havana, Cuba. But, even if the objects did enter clouds rather than the Caribbean Sea, it still PROVES beyond a shadow of a doubt that at least three of the four objects were truly at near sea level relative to the Shuttle orbiting at 220+ miles (350+ km) in space. Thanks to Jeff Challender. DVDs Now Available At Project P.R.O.V.E.! www.projectprove.com/

Editor's Note:

Ancient City -- The UFOs appeared to dive into the Caribbean Sea in deep ocean waters off the Guanahacabibes Peninsula on Cuba's western tip.

In May 2001, an amazing discovery was made by Advanced Digital

Communications (ADC), a Canadian company that was mapping the ocean bottom of Cuba's territorial waters. Sonar readings revealed 2,200 feet down stones laid out in a geometric pattern that looked very much like the ruins of a city. A team of Canadian and Cuban researchers have discovered the remains of what may be a 6,000-year-old city. Using sophisticated sonar and videotape equipment, offshore engineer Paulina Zelitsky, her husband, Paul Weinzweig, found megaliths "of a kind you'd find at Stonehenge or at Chichen Itza in Mexico."

They show very distinct shapes and symmetrical designs of a non-natural kind. The precise age of the underwater site is also unknown, although Cuban archaeologists in 1966 excavated a land-based megalithic structure on the western coast, close to the new underwater discovery, said to date from 4000 BC. "Based on geological information, we're speculating that these structures are 6,000 years old," older than Samaria, and Egyptian Pyramids.

If the dating estimate proves accurate, it would mean that an ancient civilization had designed and erected these vast stone structures in the Americas only 500 years after human settlements first became organized in cities and states. Thanks to the Toronto Globa and Mail.

Courtesy of 'The Canadian' Newspaper.

prufospolicedatabase.co.uk

PRUFOS Police Reporting UFO's

John Kuhles will interview Gary Heseltine
1 Oktober 2006

on UntoldMysteries Radio
Need2Know.eu

Detective Constable and serving Police Officer --
Gary Heseltine

'Huge UFO'
Witnessed by
Police Officer

SCIENTISTS ASSESS DNA

Scientists assess DNA Hair sample from Human being apparently not from Earth

Compiled by Jan Singh

An Australian's contact with a Human-looking Extraterrestrials has resulted in a DNA test of their biological material. The intriguing results demonstrate the need for more intensive scientific research on Extraterrestrials, in the West. The full case report by leading Australian researcher Bill Chalker was originally published in the Spring 1999 edition of *International UFO Reporter*, the quarterly journal of the Chicago-based J. Allen Hynek Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS). Peter Khoury, the subject of this case, was born in Lebanon in 1964 and moved to Australia in 1973.


There he met his future wife Vivian at school in 1981. Peter and Vivian were married in 1990 and had two children, and lived in Sydney, at the time of this report.

Peter and Vivian had their first UFO experience in February 1988, a simple sighting of unusual moving lights. But in July of that year, Peter had a deeply disturbing, consciously remembered contact experience that, he says, changed his life. Mr. Chalker points out that "alien" beings are often described by experiencers as having no visible hair. But one species of Extraterrestrial being sometimes called "Nordic", is described fairly often as having distinctly human-like features including hair, often (though not always) blond in colour. A number of well-known abduction cases have involved human-looking beings with hair, including the 1975 abduction reported by Travis Walton in Arizona, and the 1957 Brazilian abduction reported by Antonio Villas Boas. Peter Khoury's case has some similarity to that of Villas Boas, who said he "was forced to have sex with an aggressive humanoid female aboard a landed UFO."

Mr. Khoury told Mr. Chalker that his encounter of July 23, 1992 began at 7:30 in the morning while he was in bed. Earlier that morning, he had driven his wife to work, then returned home and went back to

bed for a short while. Suddenly, he bolted wide awake and sat up. There "were two humanoid females sitting on the bed, both entirely naked," says Peter Khoury.

"These two women looked human in nearly every way. They had well proportioned adult bodies. One looked somewhat Asian, with straight dark shoulder-length hair and dark eyes. The other looked "perhaps Scandinavian-like", with light-coloured ("maybe bluish") eyes and long blond hair that fell half-way down her back."



Her hair was especially notable to Peter Khoury. "I had never seen a hair style like that. It was curled something like Farrah Fawcett, but to an extreme... It just looked really exotic in a way," he told Mr. Chalker. But Mr. Khoury felt that "these women were not exactly human. Their faces were somewhat odd - not unattractive, but too chiselled, with very high cheekbones and eyes that were two or three times larger than normal."

Mr. Khoury took special notice of the blonde. Her face was too long, he felt. "I have never seen a human looking like that," he said. "The blonde, who was sitting in a kneeling position on the bed, seemed to be in charge", and Mr. Khoury as felt that she was communicating somehow with the dark-haired woman, telepathetically "who was sitting with her legs partly folded under her. There was something stiff, almost blank, in the expressions of the women," Mr. Khoury observed. Though very stunned by the sudden appearance of the women, Khoury had only a few moments to consider how they

could possibly have arrived in his bedroom before "the blonde reached out with both her hands and cupped the back of his head, drawing his face toward her chest."

Mr. Khoury told Mr. Chalker that he resisted. She then pulled harder. He further responded by further pulling back. "She was pretty strong," he further told Mr. Chalker. "She pulled me over and my mouth was basically on her nipple. And I bit." Mr. Khoury said he doesn't know why he bit the woman, but even though he felt a small piece of her nipple come away in his teeth, she did not cry out. But "the expression on her face was like, 'this isn't the way,' as if she was in some sort of "contemplative" shock or confusion," Mr. Khoury further elaborated.

Mr. Khoury then indicated that, the apparent Blonde Human-looking Extraterrestrial looked at the Asian Human-looking Extraterrestrial... and the Blonde-looking ET, looked at him, with similar "contemplative" shock or confusion. Involuntarily, Mr. Khoury indicated that he swallowed the small fragment in his mouth, and it caught in his throat. He went into a coughing fit. Then "suddenly, the two women simply disappeared," Mr. Khoury says. Some time during Mr. Khoury's contact with these Human-looking Extraterrestrials, he was apparently abducted. When Mr. Khoury realized the women were gone, he tried to clear his throat by drinking water. It didn't work. Mr. Khoury added, he then had "an urge to go to the bathroom."

Mr. Khoury then indicated that he realised "his penis felt very painful." Standing in the bathroom, he then decided to "pull back the foreskin and found two thin blond strands of hair wrapped tightly around." He struggled to unravel the pieces of hair as the pain became an intense burning sensation. Finally he managed to removed the two pieces of hair and immediately put them in a small sealable plastic bag. "The reason I did that was because I knew that there was no way, no way at all, that a hair that size and wrapped around the way it was should have been there... Thinking of these women, the thing in my throat, the hair, something bizarre had just happened."

Mr. Khoury resolved to keep the hair sample in case it should ever prove useful in shedding light on his experiences. The thing in Khoury's throat stayed there for three days. He coughed constantly. He tried clearing his throat with water, bread, anything he could think of, but nothing helped. On the third day, the feeling in his throat just went away. He did not want to tell his wife how his coughing fit had come about, but two weeks later he decided to tell her. "I was shocked," he told Mr. Chalker. "She accepted it better than I did."

DNA examination of Human-looking Extraterrestrials

The pieces of hair, carefully stored away since the encounter, became the subject of the first openly-reported scientific DNA test on a possible abduction-related sample. The blond hairs were extremely thin and almost clear in colour. It was determined that the hair was not chemically treated, because if it had been, little or no mitochondrial DNA could have been recovered. However, using the PCR (polymerase chain reaction) process, good quality DNA was recovered. For comparison, samples were also taken of Peter Khoury's hair and that of his wife Vivian. DNA was successfully extracted from Peter's hair, but no usable DNA was recovered from Vivian's hair, possibly because of chemical treatment. After thorough testing of the hair samples, the scientists of the Anomaly Physical Evidence Group arrived at a startling

conclusion. The thin blond hair, which appeared to have come from a light-skinned caucasian-like looking woman, **could not have come from a normal human of that racial type.**

Instead, though apparently 'human', the hair showed five distinctive DNA markers that are characteristic of a rare sub-group of the Chinese Mongoloid racial type. A detailed survey of the literature on variations in mitochondrial DNA, comprising tens of thousands of samples, showed only four other people on record with all five of the distinctive markers in the blond hair. All four were Chinese, with black hair. Mitochondrial DNA is passed only from mother to child and therefore offers a means of tracing ancient ancestry on the mother's side. The findings suggest that all four of the Chinese subjects share a common female ancestor with the blonde woman. But there is no easy explanation for how this could be. Testing for nuclear DNA, if such could be recovered from the blond hair, would be more complex and expensive than the tests run so far, but might show that the lineage of the blonde's father was even stranger than that of her mother. But such testing must await funding that has yet to be found. So far, the members of the Anomaly Physical Evidence Group have financed all their work themselves. Without the blond hair sample, the account told by Peter Khoury is but one more in an almost endless sequence of wrenching, but unprovable, abduction accounts. The hair, however, changes everything. "It

undeniably exists," Bill Chalker says "and thorough forensic testing shows that it is anomalous. It seems likely that no person with blond hair and an exact DNA match to Khoury's blonde could be found in the city of Sydney, nor on the continent of Australia, nor - probably - anywhere in the world." Who then was the being whose blond hair inexplicably became wrapped around Peter Khoury's penis?

"Are we dealing with 'humans' from elsewhere, namely those with human DNA, albeit very rare and somewhat anomalous?" asks Bill Chalker. "This case raises all sorts of issues, such as human 'panspermia' -- the theory that human-like beings may have migrated to Earth in the fairly recent past from elsewhere in the galaxy, perhaps giving rise to the sudden appearance of modern *homo sapiens*, a species not directly descended from their immediate predecessors, the Neanderthals." "Also, given the Asian mongoloid connection, we looked at the problem of European-like rare Asian types in the past," Mr. Chalker says. "The controversial saga of the Taklamakan mummies in remote Western China is turning the early history of China on its head. These mummies include people who are quite tall, some 6 feet or so, and some are blond. I'm not suggesting a connection here, but you can understand this investigation has opened up all sorts of interesting possibilities about the biological nature of some of the beings implicated in abduction cases."

Courtesy of 'The Canadian'

Continued from page 8

Something I forgot to mention, when Nick made his stance on the MOD's position of NDS did you notice he said, 'sometimes they land'. Interesting that. The next issue I want to raise is the extraordinary language he uses to discuss the potential advantages of acquiring and reverse engineering alien technology. Notice the phrase, '... *let's face it, will be the dominant nation on Earth for 10,000 years. These are high stakes*'.

Now that kind of language highlights just why the subject of UFOs is so secret. The acquiring of alien technology that could make one

nation dominant. I find that a very worrying comment. Surely that sets up the likely prospect of a new Cold War era. If one country, likely the US, acquires such a technology do we really expect the likes of China and Russia to idly sit by and do nothing. I don't think so. And finally and by no means least, I was fascinated by his answer about whether aircraft have shot at UFOs. Almost without thinking he said something we I believe he didn't mean to say. He said in response to that question, '*Not in recent years. We've certainly fired on them with gun cameras— I'd better not answer that question. Having viewed the video of that interview his body language was very defensive.* With those words

he effectively admitted that the MOD were aware of cases in Britain of jets firing at UFOs. Where and when? And finally we've taken gun camera footage—have we?

How would he know that unless he'd seen it or was told by someone with genuine knowledge that it existed? That is why I believe Nick suddenly clammed up. I would like to see this gun camera footage Nick. Can you please give me the right criteria to ask the MOD for it? Finally I want to thank Miles Johnston for this excellent interview. It makes for very interesting reading. Thank you for allowing me to use it.

GH

PURCHASE INFORMATION

UFO MONTHLY.COM CAN BE PURCHASED BY CHEQUE, POSTAL ORDER OR THROUGH PAYPAL VIA THE INTERNET.

SERVICE DELIVERY

UFO Monthly.com can be obtained in two different ways.

1. As an email attachment.
2. On a CDROM.

SUBSCRIPTIONS

Payment can be made direct by PAYPAL by sending the relevant amount to my **PAYPAL** email account:

heseltinegary@hotmail.com

TARIFFS—UK

UK— Email attachment at £1.50 per issue or 12 months subscription for £18.

UK—CDROM £2.25 per issue or a 12 month subscription of £25.

TARIFFS-OVERSEAS (12 months)

EUROPE—36 EURO

US—\$54

AUSTRALIA—\$68

CANADA-\$68

SOUTH AFRICA- 272.00 RAND

REST OF THE WORLD—\$62

NOTE
OVERSEAS CUSTOMERS CAN ONLY OBTAIN THE EMAIL ATTACHMENT OPTION FOR SUBSCRIPTIONS

THE MAGAZINE IS NOT IN A PRINTED FORM BUT IN PDF FORMAT.

THANK YOU.

**GARY HESELTINE
EDITOR
UFO MONTHLY.COM**

UFO

monthly.com



COMING IN NEXT

MONTH'S ISSUE:

Discovery 1

UFOs and HUMAN
MUTILATIONS

Digital Video Camera

EAMONN

ANSBRO'S NEW

UFO DETECTION

CAMERAS



High-resolution clarity – in daylight, low-light, Near Infrared and when imaging distant objects

Expandable – operate several cameras from a single control

Convenient – remote-controlled manual tracking system from indoor comfort

Versatile – fixed outdoor surveillance; coupling our customised mounting brackets; portable with optional accessories

Available in the UK and Overseas with direct purchase via PAYPAL