



ISSUE NO. 46

DECEMBER, 1993



170 Strathcona St.,  
Cambridge, Ontario,  
Canada, N3C 1R4  
519-658-6775

C A M B R I D G E U F O R E S E A R C H G R O U P  
NEWSLETTER - DECEMBER, 1993

We had our first meeting in September, after the summer recess.

A guest attending the meeting reported a sighting. While in Florida, recently, he was fishing on a pier, spotted a bright light he could not identify. Joe Madruga, a member, witnessed an unusual sighting during the meteor shower in August. He saw 4 pulsating lights, spaced apart. He watched this for 6 minutes. (lives in Cambridge). Another member, Dag Balzer, in Listowel, also saw the 4 pulsating lights and took a picture of this. These pictures follow.

Tom Theofanous, another member, also witnessed flickering lights, believed it may have been military aircraft.

Tom was our guest speaker for September. He received many calls from Guelph to Gravenhurst. A lot of observers saw Venus. Certain stars change color and flicker, due to atmospheric conditions. Circles were spotted in Ontario near Carp, (1 hour away from Ottawa) on August 15, 1993. The circle had been there at least a month. Appeared as english circle, 13' one circle, 18' next circle. Circles were near a major road, surprising they were not spotted sooner. Tom attended the Mufon Symposium in United States. The turnout was good, 1000 people attended. Tom was most impressed with the Mexico City and Puerto Rico sightings. Tom spoke about abduction cases in general. They have similar psychological profiles. Only people these individuals can approach are UFO groups. Too much damage is done by some inexperienced investigators. Needs of abductees should be placed above extracting as much information as possible.

Results of the test Tom took at a previous meeting follow.

I continue to get calls from people far away. A lady from California called to talk about the research she is conducting on the spiritual side of UFO contacts. She said she obtained my name and telephone number from the local library. Another lady called from Tampa, Florida and wanted to know how many people across U.S. have seen UFO's or had made contact, and wanted information regarding the sightings - that's all. She, too, said she obtained my name and telephone number from the local library.

Henry Romanburg was the guest speaker for our October meeting. He spoke about the moons of Mars. Phobos is the closest moon, Mars has 2 moons, was discovered in 1877. Both moons describe a perfect circle. In 1959, Schlotsky, a Russian astronomer, wrote a book stating moons of Mars were artificial. Mathematically, he proved that in a hundred million years, Phobos will crash into Mars. Complete rotation is equivalent to a day. Closest moon goes around Mars in 7 hours, 39 minutes. Synoptic rotation 11 hours for Phobos, 130 hours for Deimos. Furthest moon goes around moon in 132 hours, inner moon 11 hours. Synoptic orbit, small moon goes around 12 times. Relationship of 2 moons extraordinary. Twenty Russian probes failed to decipher Phobos. American probe also failed.

Two moons brought to Mars and are possibly cities from whence UFO's come, landings possibly come from Phobos. These moons may well have bases, could have been there for millions of years.


Erhart Peper put forward a star gazing night next June. He would bring his computer, which has many astronomy programs. He brought sky maps, and planet magnitude charts. Probable date is June 3rd, rain dates are June 4, 10, 11 successively.

Mike Bird spoke about a crop circle he went to investigate north west of Orillia, on October 2nd. He took a video of the flattened corn and we saw the video at the meeting. He also had pictures. Henry McKay, a UFO researcher from Toronto was there a few days before, believes circle was there 9 months. Larger circle 32', smaller circle 25'. A newspaper article that was in the local paper follows. Most Canadian circles have been found in Sask., and Manitoba. No radiation or energy readings were found in the Orillia circles.

A circle was also found in the same area a year ago. A newspaper article pertaining to this follows.

Stephen Szpular, who does an excellent job of taking minutes of the meetings, was our guest speaker. Unfortunately, that means that minutes of his talk are minimal. He spoke about the origins of the biblical scripture connections of our race, the connection of Genesis in the UFO history. A tape from Australia was shown, which discussed the common origin of the human race, the source being the Tower of Babylon. Everyone evolved from Babylon, we are all the same color, only different shades, the best and most common color, being brown.

Stephen quoted from Genesis, wherein we are made from the dust of the earth. This is a fact, our bodies are made up of various minerals, worth about \$4.98 today. Stephen brought many books and read various passages from the books to substantiate data he presented. Another tape was shown, presented by the Australian again, pertaining to fossil evidence and catastrophes today that are fossilizing by nature. Genesis account refers to UFO nauts landing, training people, interacting.



" WHAT THE DEVIL DID YOU HIT ?! "

*Bonnie Wheeler*

Bonnie Wheeler, President,  
Cambridge UFO Research Group.


M E R R Y X M A S !

It is with very deep regret that I have to announce the passing away of one of our long term and dedicated UFO researchers - Joan Wrigley. John Dowdell went to pick up Joan Wrigley for the UFO meeting of October 29th and she had a heart attack in front of John. Joan was rushed to the hospital, but to no avail. Joan was 76.

The group expresses the deepest sympathy to her family and she will be sorely missed at the meetings. Joan always had much input and many questions. Everyone talked to Joan, and there will be a big gap now. She very much looked forward to attending the meetings and she always came, dressed in her finest.




①




Two members, many miles apart, saw  
4 pulsating lights, spaced apart. Dag Balzer  
took pictures of these lights. You can see  
the lights going farther away.  
This was viewed on Aug. 27 at dusk.


②




③



④




⑤






6



7



FURTHER EVIDENCE FOR A CONNECTION BETWEEN  
THE MAGNET RESPONSE AND THE ANOMALOUS

N.A. Reiter  
22 June 1993

FORWARD:

In January and February of 1993, Magnetic Response testing was conducted by Mr. Tom Theofanous, of Toronto, Ontario. Over sixty individuals were tested using the basic methods outlined in my two published reports on the subject. Most of the MR volunteers were tested at small gatherings and meetings of people interested in the subject of UFOs. Testing was conducted in both the U.S. and Canada.

Tom observed apparent Magnetic Response reactions in a number of individuals. The form, intensity, and locations of these reactions corroborated my own observations. Tom has informed me that about seventy-five percent of the MR+ volunteers experienced their reaction somewhere around the left ear or temple. While a detailed analysis of the MR symptoms and locations found was not generated, Mr. Theofanous distributed a copy of my MR survey to every volunteer. Our primary interest was in trying to confirm the apparent correlation which I observed between MR+ individuals and anomalous experiences. The surveys were filled out by most of the test volunteers and turned in to Tom.

In early May, I began the arduous task of pulling raw data from the completed surveys and converting it into a statistical form. Of the fifty questions contained in the survey, thirty-one were considered significant; the balance being "filler" questions. Questions #5 through #9 established the + or - status of the respondent.

Sixty-two surveys were divided into three categories; definite positive responses, definite negative responses, and a discard pile. The discard pile contained surveys which were filled out improperly, or which indicated an indeterminate MR status. Actually, it is worth noting that only one individual specifically stated that he was not sure if he felt a response. The rest of the discard pile consisted of incomplete surveys, indeterminants, and a couple of malicious hecklers. After reviewing all surveys, I was left with the following:

31 surveys for MR-  
17 surveys for MR+  
14 surveys for discard pile

\*Final percentages were derived with a calculator and were rounded off to the nearest .5 percent.

The following, then, is a summary of MR+ versus MR- replies for thirty-one significant questions. I have also designated twelve core questions that specify anomalous events to be of extra significance. These are indicated with an asterisk (\*). The reader is encouraged to bring to our attention any comments, criticisms or suggestions about this project.

10. How would you rate your childhood? Please take into consideration all factors you can think of. (Examples - death in family, economic level, divorces, parental problems, alcohol or drug use in family, etc.)

- A. Happy and secure, no problems.
- B. Good with few problems.
- C. Average, uneventful.
- D. More problems than usual, but not too bad.
- E. Many problems, very rough childhood.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	23.5%	13.0%
B.	17.5	16.0
C.	17.5	39.0
D.	29.5	13.0
E.	12.0	19.0

11. How would you rate your health in your childhood and early teen years? (illness)

- A. Very healthy
- B. Average
- C. Many health problems.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	65%	35.5%
B.	29.0	51.5
C.	6.0	13.0

13. When you were a child, did you have any make believe friends or playmates?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	29.5%	22.5%
NO	70.5	77.5

15. Were you ever active in any branch of the U.S. or

Canadian military?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	12.0%	10.0%
NO	88.0	90.0

16. How would you describe yourself socially and/or politically?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A. Conservative	6.0%	6.5%
B. Middle of the road	17.5	9.5
C. Liberal	17.5	26.0
D. Varies by specific subject	59.0	55.0
ABSTAIN	0	3.0

\*17. Have you ever witnessed unusual lights moving around in your house at night?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	53%	26%
NO	47	74

19. Choose the term that best describes your spiritual beliefs.

- A. Religion and morality are very important to me, but I'm not necessarily a traditionalist. I attend church at least occasionally.
- B. I'm very traditional. Give me that old time religion!
- C. I consider myself a spiritual person, but I'm not traditional. Religion is not my cup of tea!
- D. I have some spiritual beliefs, but no strong religious implications.
- E. No spiritual beliefs or religious inclinations whatsoever.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	23.5%	26%
B.	0	6.5
C.	47	42
D.	23.5	19.5
E.	6	3
ABSTAIN	0	3

20. Going back to your teen years, to the present, how would you rate your lifelong interest in the paranormal? (Ghosts, ESP, UFO's, monsters, etc.)

- A. Very strong lifelong interest in these subjects.

- B. Lifelong casual interest in these subjects.
- C. Occasional, very low key, interest in these matters.
- D. No real interest in these matters.
- E. I don't believe in such things. There are rational scientific explanations for these events.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	76.5%	64.5
B.	17.5	19
C.	0	13.5
D.	6	0
E.	0	0
ABSTAIN	0	3

- \*21. Have you ever seen a ghost, or experienced what you felt was a haunting or ghostly presence? (Including poltergeist activity.)

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	82.5%	45%
NO	17.5	55

- \*22. Have you ever had a period of time over ½ hour that you could not account for? (Missing time.)

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	41%	10%
NO	59	77
ABSTAIN	0	13

- \*23. Have you ever experienced ESP or vivid psychic experience?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	82.5%	55%
NO	17.5	42
ABSTAIN	0	3

- \*24. Have you ever had dreams in which people or animals were present in your bedroom?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	70.5%	26%
NO	29.5	71
ABSTAIN	0	3

\*25. Have you ever experienced any nose bleeding during your sleep?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	40%	23%
NO	60	74
ABSTAIN	0	3

\*26. Have you ever awakened in the morning to find welts, cuts, or scars that you have never seen before?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	60%	26%
NO	40	74

\*27. Are there any marks or scars on your body that you never "could remember how you got them"?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	41%	29%
NO	59	71

29. Have you ever lost weight because of anxiety or depression?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	53%	26%
NO	47	74

30. Do you drink alcohol?

- A. Never
- B. Infrequently. (At weddings or with a fancy dinner.)
- C. Occasionally. (At parties, or with family and friends.)
- D. Frequently, but in moderation.
- E. Quite often.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	12%	19%
B.	35	32
C.	41	26
D.	12	23
E.	0	0

31. How often do you experience ringing in one or both ears?

- A. Never
- B. Only a few times in my life.
- C. A couple of times a month.
- D. Frequently. (Several times a week.)
- E. Daily, but only for short periods.
- F. Almost constantly.

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	18%	16%
B.	20	42
C.	6	9.5
D.	20	19.5
E.	20	0
F.	6	13

32. Which ear predominates?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
LEFT	35%	22.5%
RIGHT	29.5	16
BOTH	29.5	38.5
ABSTAIN	6	22

33. Which arrangement of rectangles is most visually pleasing?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	29.5%	51.5%
B.	70.5	32
C.	0	0
D.	0	3
ABSTAIN	0	13.5

34. Which do you like the least?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	29.5%	10%
B.	0	13
C.	41	32
D.	29.5	32
ABSTAIN	0	13

\*39. Have you ever seen a UFO?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	65%	42%
NO	35	55
ABSTAIN	0	3

\*41. Have you ever experienced what you feel was a UFO abduction?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	23.5%	10%
NO	76.5	80
ABSTAIN	0	10

\*42. Have you ever seen a strange entity or creature? (Example - Bigfoot, glowing entities, mysterious big cats, etc.)

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	47%	3%
NO	47	90.5
ABSTAIN	6	6.5

43. Do you like neon signs?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	47%	61.5%
NO	53	32
ABSTAIN	0	6.5

44. Do you like microwave ovens?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	64.5%	51.5%
NO	35.5	38.5
ABSTAIN	0	10



45. Have you ever experienced any odd sensation when driving near a high voltage power line, or a radio tower?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	35.5%	19.5%
NO	64.5	72.5
ABSTAIN	0	3

46. Have you ever experienced pain or irritation at the back of your neck, up under the hairline?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	64.5%	45%
NO	35.5	48.5
ABSTAIN	0	6.5

47. "When there is a summer thunderstorm, I ..."

- A. "am nervous and stay inside."
- B. "am concerned, but it doesn't really bother me."
- C. "am impressed by nature's display."
- D. "am drawn to the lightning. I love it and will even go outside!"

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	6%	0%
B.	23.5	19.5
C.	29.5	35.5
D.	41	42
ABSTAINED	0	3

48. I \_\_\_\_\_ reptiles!

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A. like...	6%	19.5%
B. don't mind ...	35.5	55
C. love ...	12	3
D. hate ...	17.5	3
E. don't really like ...	29	19.5
ABSTAIN	0	0

\*50. Have you ever awakened in the night to find yourself paralyzed or frozen, with the "sense" that someone or something was moving around you?

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
YES	76.5%	42%
NO	17.5	51.5
ABSTAIN	6	6.5

A comparison was made between MR status and rate with which multiple core question anomalies were experienced by single individuals. I formed two groups:

- A. People who had experienced 0-6 core anomalies.
- B. People who had experienced 7-12 of the core anomalies.

Thusly, we see:

	<u>MR+</u>	<u>MR-</u>
A.	17.5%	90%
B.	82.5	10

#### CONCLUSION

It is my opinion that the results of this survey seem to support my own findings. We observe that individuals who are subject to the Magnetic Response tend to be experiencers of anomalous phenomena. While no definitive statements can be offered about the above figures, one can clearly see evidence of a higher occurrence of core question anomalies among MR+ individuals.

My heartfelt thanks goes out to Tom Theofanous for his work, and to all MR volunteers.

\*\*\* NOTE \*\*\*

The reader may notice, as I did after the fact, that percentages were not completely consistent with a given number of volunteers. I find that this is due to the fitting of figures to a total of 100%. Therefore, the accuracy of the percentages given should be considered as +/- 1.5 %.

# They're baaack...

HURONIA SUNDAY

OCT. 3 1993  
PAGES 1 & A5



UFO RESEARCHER Henry McKay takes a few snapshots of a pair of crop circles which have reappeared in a corn field near Orillia.

COLIN MCKIM Photo

## MUFON man says circles 'ain't natural'

By COLIN MCKIM  
Huronian Sunday Staff

ORILLIA — There is no natural explanation for the mysterious reappearance of crop circles in a corn field near here, says a veteran UFO researcher.

Two crop circles, connected by a broad straight line, have been discovered in the same cornfield near Bass Lake where a mysterious circle appeared last fall.

Last year's circle, a ragged, 100-foot-wide swirl of flattened corn stalks, was attributed to a whirlwind.

But the pattern sculpted in the field this year is too elaborate to be the result of the wind or any other natural phenomenon, experts agree.

"It's the dumbbell effect," said UFO researcher Henry McKay, who zoomed up from his Toronto home to examine the site beside Highway 12, late last week.

"The design is too elaborate for wind or other natural causes. It's too symmetrical. Whether it's a hoax, the mili-

tary or extraterrestrials remains open to me."

Crop circles are sometimes associated with space ships, said the retired electrical technologist. A member of MUFON (Mutual UFO Network), McKay thinks the dumbbell shape may be a symbol in an extraterrestrial language.

"It may signify this nation is not warlike."

The matted corn does not look like the imprint of a spaceship, he said.

"It doesn't indicate a landing — just a very close approach."

A professional climatologist from Environment Canada agrees there is no natural cause for the circles.

"There doesn't seem to be an explanation — not a worldly explanation," said Bryan Smith, after the configuration was described to him.

In one circle, corn stalks are flattened to the ground in a clockwise swirl about 33 feet in diameter. In the second circle, the corn is compressed in an counter-

clockwise swirl about 22 feet wide. Connecting the two circles is a 44-foot-long pathway, in which three rows of corn have been neatly levelled in parallel lines.

Smith says crop circles have been widely reported in Western Canada and Britain over the years, but he is unaware of such things in Ontario.

Smith finds the idea of aliens using corn fields as note pads preposterous.

"It's hard to believe people would come millions of miles from another galaxy just to crunch some corn. If they wanted to leave a message you'd think they'd write on something more permanent."

If it isn't extraterrestrials, it might be the military, McKay speculates.

"The military can do this with lasers or microwaves. There could be a platform on a helicopter with lasers."

But 2nd Lieutenant Paul Zibotics, a public affairs officer at CFB Trenton, said as far as he knows no military exercises have been conducted recently in the Orillia area.

"Unless it's the Russians doing something," he jokes.

He says the military would have no reason to make a large mark in field, since their intent is usually to conceal the direction of their movements.

Still McKay, who's been studying crop circles across Canada for 25 years, claims military personnel have frequently been observed in areas where crop circles have been reported.

"They're withholding information about UFOs," he insists.

But Zibotics says the Canadian military does not keep a dossier on UFO sightings or crop circles.

"Our concern is flight safety, not investigating the paranormal," said Zibotics. "If a UFO buzzed one of our planes that would be different."

McKay does not rule out the possibility of a hoax.

"If somebody wanted to perpetrate a hoax this would be the ideal spot. It's got some notoriety from last year and it's visible from the highway."

For more, see Page A5.

OCT. 3 1993

# Crop circles causing a stir

From Page A1

The return of crop circles in a cornfield west of Orillia near Bass Lake is not the first time recurrence, according to Paul Anderson, national coordinator for the British affiliated Canadian Centre for Crop Circle Studies.

"In England, when circles appear, a lot of farmers are cutting down their fields immediately to stop trespassers. They're tired of people stomping through their property to see the configurations.

"One farmer cut down his crop over a newly discovered formation and the next day, in an uncut area nearby, an identical formation appeared."

One of the most bizarre crop circle stories comes from Daniel Clairmont,

director of the Saskatchewan branch of the Mutual UFO Network.

A year ago, near Milestone, Sask., a farmer discovered three separate swirls in his wheat field. The farmer had never seen a crop formation and assumed the pattern in his field had been caused by wind.

Then, walking into the centre of the formation, he noticed something else: a very flat, very dead porcupine.

"Close examination showed no signs of wounds," said Clairmont. "However, it was evident this unfortunate creature had been flattened to a thickness of three inches by some weight or powerful force. Its legs were spread out underneath it in the form of an X."

No porcupine pancakes were discovered in the Orillia crop circles.

About two dozen circles are reported during the growing season in the three Canadian Prairie provinces, mostly in Saskatchewan. While people love to speculate about flying saucers touching down, skeptics figure the circles are caused by plant diseases, animals, the wind or pranksters.

Anderson said the number of incidents is decreasing, but the formations that are appearing have increased in complexity. They are also surfacing in more and more countries.

The phenomenon has also been confirmed this summer in seven U.S. states. Reports are also trickling in from other countries, including Switzerland, Japan and Spain. As usual, many formations are appearing in England, where the strange patterns of flattened grain were first spotted around 1978.

Reports of crop circles in Ontario are rare.

Offices of Ministry of Agriculture in Simcoe County keep no records of the phenomenon.

However a crop and soil advisor with the ministry's Guelph office told *Niromia Sunday* he once encountered a crop circle in a field of sunflowers.

Every plant in 120-foot-wide circle was dead or injured, said Harvey Wright. But they weren't flattened and twisted in the swirling pattern typical of crop circles, said Wright, who believes the sunflowers may have been struck by lightning.

"They were fried standing up."

One formation that appeared Aug. 1 in a New York state oat field was the size of a football field. It was a complex pattern with circles and pathways. Strange lights were reportedly seen above the field the night the pattern developed.

Edith Archibald, who lives across the highway from the Bass Lake circles, doesn't know about lights in the sky.

"I'm in bed most nights before 9 o'clock," she says.

Anderson has noted a new trend this year:

"Many of the formations are appearing close to highways where they are certain to be seen by many travelers."

# HURONIA SUNDAY

Huronian Sunday is Huronia's regional Sunday newspaper published by Thomson Newspapers Company Limited at 15 Bayfield St. Barrie, Ont. L4M 3A3. Huronia Sunday is delivered every Sunday morning to the paid subscribers of The Barrie Examiner, The Orillia Packet and Times, The Midland Free Press and The Collingwood Enterprise Bulletin.

Peter J. Hinton - Publisher and General Manager  
Sally Gower - Managing Editor

Don Lamby - News Editor  
Justin McLachlan - Features Editor  
Dave Wronka - Advertising Manager  
Suzanne Raitigan - Business Manager  
Eric Hewitt - Copying Foreman

HURONIA SUNDAY OFFICES • BARRE 15 Bayfield St., Phone 726-7791 or 726-7792 fax 726-7796 • COLLINGWOOD 77 St. Marie St., Phone 444-8262 fax 444-6477 • MIDLAND 248 1st St., Phone 526-1790 fax 526-1771 • ORILLIA 31 Colborne St. E., Phone 325-9371 fax 325-7981

# CIRCLES

## In the Corn

By COLIN MCKIM  
Huronian Sunday Staff

Observed in Britain as long ago as the Middle Ages, crop circles are mysterious circular patterns that appear in grain fields.

In those days it was believed they were the work of devils.

One ancient tale is related by physicist professor Terence Meadon in an article *Circles in the Corn*, which appeared in the British scientific journal *New Scientist* in June, 1980.

"The oldest anecdote that I know of goes back to the Middle Ages, when 'moving devils' were held responsible. A farmer asked a workman what he would charge to mow his field. The mower's price was too high, and the farmer told him that the devil himself should mow his oats before he should have anything to do with it. Next morning, it looked as if the devil had taken the farmer at his word, for his crops were flattened in the familiar circle."

Meadon began scientific examinations into the circles in 1989 and in 1989 founded CERES, the Circles Effect Research Group, which surveys circles and gathers reports from around the world.

One such mysterious circle has been located in a cornfield just west of Orillia, along Highway 12, east of Bass Lake.

CERES has compiled statistics on more than 1,000 circles reported as early as 1918. They documented 363 circles in 1989 alone.

"All sorts of origins have been suggested from hoaxes to fungi and even extraterrestrials," Meadon writes. "There is no possibility that all these complicated patterns are hoaxes, nor that the circles are in any way unnatural."

Meadon believes the circles are caused by eddies or vortices in the atmosphere, which break down, move close to the ground and sweep out the circles in the

cropland. "If the spinning air builds up an electrical charge, this neatly explains the humming noises and lights that eyewitnesses have recorded when they have seen the circles form."

The circles have great popular appeal, Meadon notes. "But the subject has been invaded by cranks and publicity-seekers, whose wild guesses about extraterrestrial origins divert attention from the science of how circles form."

Crop circles are not strictly a British phenomenon. In 1990, 66 rings were reported in Western Canada and the United States in 45 locations, including Ninga, Manitoba; Mars, Pennsylvania; and Oxnard, California.

The North American Institute for Crop Circle Research, run by staff and students at the University of Manitoba, is collecting data from across the continent.

The institute still is still searching for a definitive explanation of the prairie rings.

"We still have no clear idea how the majority of these crop circles were formed," said institute spokesman Christopher Rutkowski when contacted by *Huronian Sunday*.

The classic crop circle cannot be caused by any known weather action or chemical or biological reaction, Rutkowski believes.

"It's all speculation at this point. As a scientist I'm just collecting information."

Rutkowski says he has not found a "big connection" between wind and crop circles.



"Tornadoes or dust devils don't have enough of a downward thrust."

He says Meadon's wind vortex theory has generally been discounted.

Many outlandish theories, such as alien landings and energy beams from space have been advanced over the years.

"But there's no evidence aliens are behind it," says Rutkowski.

Two amateur British sci-



Twisters and waterspouts: a spinning column of air bulges, then the doughnut-shaped eddy, itself rotating, moves downward to sweep out a circle in crops as it expands and swirls along the ground.



IN THE MIDDLE AGES it was believed devils were responsible for mowing down the crop circles.

## No evidence aliens behind the mystery

Continued from Page 1

Circular formations, called "crop circles", have been reported in fields in England, Western Canada and the United States for years.

But sightings in Ontario are rare, says Christopher Rutkowski, a spokesman for the North American Institute for Crop Circle Research at the University of Manitoba.

"Of 25 cases in North America this year, there was nothing in Ontario," Rutkowski told *Huronian Sunday* when contacted at the university. "There were a couple in Quebec a few years ago."

In the '60s and early '70s, burn circles and crop circles were observed in fields in Southern Ontario. In one instance, a ton and a half of soil was inexplicably removed from a field, Rutkowski recalls.

After gathering data for 15 years, Rutkowski has been unable to find a theory that adequately explains the bizarre circles. Everything from wind currents to energy beams from outer space has been suggested.

"It's all speculation. But there's no evidence aliens are behind it."

Rutkowski adds a note of caution: "You can never rule out a hoax."

No crop circles have been reported to the local office of the Ministry of Agriculture, says a secretary who's worked in the

Elmvalle office for 20 years.

"We've had some oddball calls. But we've never had any phenomena like that to my knowledge."

Harvey Wright, a crop and soil advisor with the ministry's Guelph office, says the circle near Orillia sounds a little different from anything he's ever encountered.

"I had one (a crop circle) in sunflowers about three years ago — about 120 feet across. It was a lightning strike."

In that instance, every plant in the circle was dead or injured. But the plants were not bent over or twisted in a circular pattern.

"They were fried standing."

Wright has seen corn flattened by tornadoes, but not in swirls. "When tornadoes knock it down, it tends to be down in one direction — the direction the tornado is moving."

Furthermore twisters in October are improbable, Wright says.

"It's very difficult to get twisters without a fair amount of heat. You usually need a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius. But it's usually 30 to 34 degrees where you have trouble."

Most of the crop circles in England have been dismissed as hoaxes, says Wright, declining to comment on other worldly causes.

"I don't think I want to get into extraterrestrial visitors."

# HURONIA SUNDAY

November 1, 1992

SERVING BARRIE, COLLINGWOOD, MIDLAND AND ORILLIA

75¢ per copy (GST included)

## SOMETHING UNEXPLAINED LEFT ITS MARK



HUBERT ARCHIBALD is just as puzzled as anyone over a mysterious crop circle spotted near his home along Highway 12, west of Orillia.

LESLEY BUDDER Photo

# Creamed Corn!

## Mysterious circle crops up near Orillia

By COLIN MCKIM  
Huronian Sunday Staff

A mysterious circle has cropped up in a cornfield west of Orillia, leading some folks to speculate about visitors from outer space.

"It looks like one of those spaceships landed there — a flying saucer," says Edith Archibald, 75, who lives with her husband Hubert across Highway 12 from the cornfield in question.

"I don't know what caused it," says Hubert, who recently hopped a fence and squeezed through rows of six-foot-high corn stalks to investigate the curious circle.

"It seems to have a pattern. It's all laying down. It looks like it swirled right around."

The indentation, more like an oval than a perfect circle, is roughly 100 feet by 80 feet in diameter. It's the first ever in reported in Simcoe County, and the first in Ontario in some 20 years.

Virtually all the pale yellow corn stalks in the oval have been flattened to the ground in a counter-clockwise swirl. At the edge of the oval and in the rest of the field,

**It's the first crop circle reported in Simcoe County, and the first in Ontario in some 20 years.**

as far as can be seen, the corn is still standing straight and undisturbed.

Lying in the oval are a number of ears of corn, ripped opened and blackened inside. Tiny purple mushrooms dot the area.

"It makes you wonder," says Hubert, who believes there may be extraterrestrial beings out there somewhere.

"I imagine there should be other planets with life on them. There's billions of stars, there's got to be some sort of life. Whether it's like ours, we don't know."

Eleanor Duck, owner of the Bass Lake Corner Store, noticed the round patch in the cornfield over a week ago.

"I have no idea how it got there. We did have some heavy winds."

The corn was planted by Don Thomson, who is renting the field at the corner of Highway 12 and Bass Lake Side Road from a local developer.

He noticed the circle about a week-and-a-half ago and assumed someone had been

tramping around in the field. But when he walked in for a closer look, he didn't find any tracks.

"It doesn't look like someone knocked them (the corn stalks) down. If someone had walked around in there the stalks would be crunched into the ground."

Thomson says he's never seen anything like it in all his years of farming.

"You'd almost think it was a whirlwind, but I don't think so. It'd take a lot of wind to knock corn over like that."

"Besides, if it was the wind you'd think it would have cut a strip going in or out. It's kind of strange"


Suddenly Thomson grins: "Unless it's UFOs."

The Archibalds aren't sure what they'd do if little green men appeared at the door.

"I certainly wouldn't send them to our leaders," Hubert jokes.


For related stories, see Page A4.

P.T.O.



## Robichaud's Ripon

Ripon (Wis.) COMMONWEALTH-PRESS, Jan. 28, 1993



### OTHER WORLDS

What do Stonehenge, the River Ganges, Machu Picchu and the Parthenon have in common?

Well, they're all historic monuments, but they're also on the list of endangered sacred sites threatened by increased pollution and camera-clicking tourists.

That's the word from the non-profit Sacred Sites International Foundation in Berkeley, Calif., that's trying to save the sites from doom.

Co-founder Nancy Becker says sacred sites are any natural or man-made place with energy-enhancing or life-giving powers, such as the River Ganges, England's circle of stones or the Parthenon.

Becker says Sacred Sites International is trying to raise money to help preservation projects by conducting excursions to sacred sites around the world.

# ENIGMAS

THE JOURNAL OF STRANGE PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS

£10.00 FOR 5 ISSUES


OR £2.00 FOR A SINGLE ISSUE



**RADIONICS**  
**THE AURA**  
**UFO'S**  
**CROP CIRCLES**  
**POLTERGEISTS**  
**PYRAMID ENERGY**  
**CLAIRVOYANCE**  
**PREMONITION**  
**SPIRITUALISM**  
**PSYCHIC PHENOMENA**  
**GHOSTS**  
**EARTH MYSTERIES**

THE JOURNAL IS EDITED BY THE  
FOUNDER OF SPI

MALCOLM ROBINSON  
41 THE BRAES, TULLIBODY  
CLACKMANANSHIRE,  
SCOTLAND  
FK10 2TT





# ENIGMAS

## THE JOURNAL OF STRANGE PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS

The SPI magazine is edited by the founder of Strange Phenomena Investigations Malcolm Robinson, and contains items relating to various aspects of the Paranormal, Ghosts, UFO's, Psychic Phenomena, Clairvoyance, Premonition, Spiritualism and Earth Mysteries. The magazine includes articles from prominent people in their own field of study. Articles, letters, and comments, are always welcomed by the editor.

The aims of SPI, are to collect, research, and publish, accounts relating to most aspects of strange phenomena, and to purposely endeavour to try and account for what at present eludes us. Further details of SPI, along with membership details, may be obtained by writing to: Strange Phenomena Investigations, Malcolm Robinson, 41 The Braes, Tullibody, Clackmannanshire, Scotland FK10 2TT

I would / would not, like to subscribe to the SPI magazine.

I understand that the subscription fee is £10.00 for 5 copies a year OR £2.00 for a single copy.

I enclose £ \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the cost of \_\_\_\_\_ copies

Method of payment: Cheque / Postal Order / Cash (Please indicate)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Please make cheque or postal order made payable to:

\* \$20.00 in USA  
Dollar bills only

Malcolm Robinson,  
Strange Investigations Researcher,  
41 The Braes, Tullibody, Clackmannanshire,  
Scotland FK10 2TT

# SUBSCRIBE NOW !




## *Ruling Class/Conspiracy Research Resource Center*

**A-albionic Consulting and Research  
PO Box 20273  
Ferndale, Michigan 48220**

✓**Quarterly, In-House Newsletter, the Project:** Editor: Lloyd Miller. \$30.00/year--bonus books available with subscription.  
✓**Regular Catalog of 400+ Books, Tapes, Videos, and Pamphlets:** Our catalogs are an education in themselves! \$10.00 (Print-out or Dos Disc). ✓**Super Catalog of 2000+ Books, Tapes, Videos, and Pamphlets:** \$20.00 (Print-out or DOS Disk) ✓**Rare/Out-of-Print Book Search Service:** For a \$3.00 non-refundable fee, A-albionic will submit any book title to its exclusive network of book specialists for search. ✓**"SpyBase" Ruling Class/Conspiracy DataBase** ✓**"Bowen" DataBase of 8,000 Books on Intelligence Agencies, National Security, and Covert Activities** ✓**"CIABase" DataBase of All Known CIA Operations, Domestic and Foreign** ✓**"Dialog" Information Services** Through our communications software and modem we can access over 100 databases useful to ruling class/conspiracy researchers including periodicals, books, newspapers, scholarly and professional journals, news services, government publications, book reviews, banking journals, *The Bible*, and on and on. ✓**Back Issues of the Project:** A catalog with table of contents for 23 issues is available for \$1.00. The entire set is available for \$95.00 ✓**Back Issues of Conspiracy Digest:** *Conspiracy Digest* was published from 1976 to 1981. We have all 20 issues available for \$45.00. A catalog of back issues with tables of contents is available for \$1.00 ✓**The Works of Dr. Emanuel Josephson, the World's Greatest Rockefeller Basher!** We purchased Dr. Josephson's Estate some years ago. A catalog of titles is available free of charge.

*"Out of this World" levels of objectivity: As objective as the Star Ship Enterprise Crew "beaming down" to study an alien planet under the "Prime Directive"?*

## **Ruling Class/Conspiracy Research Resource Center**



The Overt and Covert Organs of the Vatican and Neo-British Empires are Locked in Mortal Combat for Control of the World"


For a free information packet including newsletter back issue and book catalogs, please write:

**A-albionic Research  
PO Box 20273  
Ferndale, Michigan 48220**

I had another UFO video sent to me from a man in Wingham. His son was video taping a thunderstorm on August 8th, between 3:00 A.M. and 4:00 A.M. He saw an object in the sky above a large maple tree, across from his house.

The object had a red bottom and a white ball on top. The object kept flickering, would go out and come back on again. After 1 minute, it went out and wasn't there any longer. Unfortunately, a zoom lens was not used. After viewing the video, I cannot make any comment one way or another as to its' possible origin.

A diagram of the object follows.



Date: Friday, November 12, 1993  
Time: 10:20 P.M.  
Duration: 40 seconds  
Location: Cambridge, Ontario.

Ann's mother noticed a bright light in the sky, saw it moving. Looked like a bright star at first. Went to patio door, called everyone to look at it - mother, father, son, daughter, Ann got her movie camera and opened the patio door. When first noticed, was one single light and then as it passed over, west to east, noticed 2 lights on each side and red light blinking occasionally and another faint light, possibly on tail. The lights then turned slightly. Not very high in sky, a couple thousand feet. That is why they wondered why there was no sound. At one point lights are at 45° angle, and then lights go horizontal. Approximately 1,000' to 2,000' away. Ann brought the video to the meeting. Tom is in the process of having the video analyzed.

① ○ One bright light, moving slowly

② ○ ○ Then noticed 2 lights

③ ○ ○ Opened door, no noise, getting bigger  
○  
flashing red

④ ○ ○ Leaving, heading east  
○ ○  
○  
faintly flashing

The following data is from a further taped interview on September 1, 1993.

Karl had asked Kay to concentrate on the feeling she had when she first saw the light that she thought was a car headlight.

She concentrated on that thought and remembered she had a feeling like that when she was very young. She lived in the country and used to babysit. She had to walk in the dark through the woods to get home. She would shut her eyes so the animals wouldn't see her eyes and attack her. When she would get to the top of the hill from her house she would run like mad to go home. But had a couple of dreams coming home from babysitting, would get to her front door and it wouldn't open. She couldn't get in, something was after her. Then she would wake up.

The house wherein the episode of the 3 little men happened, Kay lived there for 8 years, living common-law with her boyfriend. Doors used to open and shut at the oddest times. Back door would open and you would swear you could tell footsteps going across living room and they would go out the other door, it would open and shut. 15 to 20 people that have been in her house when this occurred. Kay's kids and her sister would not stay in the house alone.

Never really bothered her, she'd say, "Oh, my ghost is here again."

It never went in bedrooms, just came in one door and out other, or might go back out the same door. Never saw anything, but could almost feel where it was, she could shut her eyes, and tell how far it was coming and when it would turn around.

One night Kay was watching TV at 11:00 P.M., her boyfriend was in bed. Kitchen door behind her opened, it walked to middle of floor, when it got behind her, instead of going right through, it stopped. This frightened Kay, she thought she was going to see it, she never turned around, afraid she would see it. Then, just as she thought that she would see it, she felt like someone put a hand on her shoulder, like a weight. Like something said, "don't be afraid, everything is alright." Then it turned around and went out the back door. The minute it went out the back door, Kay made one flying leap over the bed, scared the heck out of her boyfriend. She told him something was just in the living room with her, this time it was for sure.

During the 8 years that she lived there, door would open and shut. She was never even frightened until that night, knew she didn't want to turn around. That was the same fear as when she saw the car headlight and grabbed her boyfriend's hand. Doors were locked, but they opened and shut.

New Brunswick, 1960

Small town, so didn't take them long to get to the outskirts. Then saw this oblong thing, it was quite huge, seemed to be right beside them on the road, or on side of road. No sound, nothing moving on it, row of windows or little squares that were florescent orange, yellow. Almost seemed as if it was attached to car, did everything car did, if car turned, it turned, etc. Was on passenger's side of car, wasn't high up, height of houses. Kept driving, locked car doors. When they came up the hill it disappeared, it stood still and then it was gone. Saw a streak miles away, could be it.

Could't tell color of actual object, was dark out, could see the windows, if they were windows, were yellow, orange. Had been driving all night, arrived next morning. When they arrived, they explained what had happened, relatives said, the night before, around midnight, they were all in bed, the whole house lit up, they all jumped out of bed, went to windows, never saw anything. They wandered if there was any connection.

Object was longer than it was high, was about 8' high in the middle, ends not as high.

SEE DIAGRAM

Hamilton, 1976

The little ship was floating beside the bed, almost level with bed. She thought she was still asleep. Then was sitting on side of bed, with little ship beside her. It disappeared. She doesn't know how long it was before she ended up in kitchen. Next thing she remembered, she was standing at one end of table, the 3 men were there, the map was already on the table. Doesn't remember anything in between, or the time duration between the two incidents. Then they literally disappeared. She drew the map when they disappeared, she will always remember it. The map was the size of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a page. No colours on map. She was 40 at the time. Couldn't remember color of ship, no noise, it was just sitting there. She was looking down on them as she sat up in bed.

They didn't talk in a voice, it was just in her head, feeling went through her head that this is what they're saying. She might have been talking, but they didn't talk like we talk. Was like she was communicating with them without voices. Instantly she saw guys at table she related them to the 3 little guys in her bedroom. Never questioned the fact that they were bigger.

Karl put her in a relaxed state and then asked her to close her eyes and concentrate.

Asked her to focus on the little ship floating beside her when she woke up. She is sure she is seeing it and sat up in bed. Wasn't afraid, thought it was funny, such a little ship.

Doesn't think she walked out to kitchen. She sat up on side of bed and turned around. They were hovering beside her. She sat up before they asked her to come on board. That is all she remembers. They were about 1" high, looked like little live toys. So did the little thing they were standing on. It was flat, had a little round thing on top. The little men were moving, they weren't walking though. They were alive. They didn't say anything else. Remembers thinking it would be fun if she did get on it, even though she was too big.

They didn't disappear like in the kitchen. She took a blank or she disappeared at the same time. The last time she remembers they were still standing there. Ship was hat shaped, didn't remember any windows. Next thing she remembers she is standing at kitchen table with her back against the door. They are standing towards the living room, facing her at opposite side of table, showing her the map. Doesn't remember walking to table. No ship there, just 3 guys. Didn't talk about anything except the map. First thing she remembers is that they show her the map. Was still standing in same place when they disappeared. They were there only a few minutes, only conversation was about the map. Think one guy took a step forward when she wanted to take the map. Wasn't frightened, didn't even question it at the time. When they disappeared stood awhile, was a little stunned, thought about bedroom incident, but was wide awake in kitchen, so knew something had happened. Still questioned bedroom, even though she thought it was same 3 guys. But didn't make sense, because they weren't the same 3 tiny people, buy they still looked the same to her. Remember seeing only the heads, even when they were on the ship. Although saw bodies, but don't remember seeing arms or legs. Seemed to be only a body. Can't think of what happened one minute before she found herself at kitchen table.

SEE DIAGRAM.

Note: I did not repeat identical items from first interview. Please refer to that interview for further details.

Hamilton, 1988

Karl asked her to focus on why, when she saw the light, she had to run away. She had no idea why she had to get out of sight, because she still thought it was a car headlight. Thought she was in danger, was terrified. Slid down 8' hill, with gravel. Found a painting a couple of months later at an auction. It has 2 people running, holding hands, underneath an archway, with a little village to the side. It is almost the same place she was at that night. She has talked to her boyfriend hundreds of times about the incident, and they always agree on the same thing. She talked to him before she came to the last session and he remembers everything as before. Felt like they were dropped back at their apartment, don't know how they got there. Was very terrified, but didn't know what they were terrified of. Was not afraid when they woke up in apartment. Village was unusual, never saw a village like that before or houses like that. Nobody else there, only the tall black guy, he never talked. They never talked once they slid down bank. Karl asked Kay to focus on light and try to remember what went through her mind at the time, and try to relive it. Saw the light, not the car. No one else was on street at that time. Was 1½ blocks away. When ran between 2 buildings and slid down hill, didn't see the light. Boyfriend did not have the same fright until they slid down the bank and then was terrified. He was not afraid of the light. Can still feel the panic feeling she had when she pulled him off the road.

As a closing, Karl asked Kay to focus her mind on the light and why it frightened her - at the kitchen table and what happened - the 3 little guys beside of bed.

End of Session



New Brunswick, 1970

first saw  
search light




object then  
passed between  
buildings

car leaving  
small town






object following their car




object beside  
car following  
every move

Hamilton, 1976



15 YEARS OF RESEARCH

- VANCOUVER HEADQUARTERS
- GLOBAL OPERATIONS
- NATION WIDE HOTLINE



- FAX SERVICE
- MEDIA SERVICES
- ARTICLE SUBMISSIONS

## U.F.O. RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF CANADA

24 Hour Reporting Service - News Updates Daily

Lorne Goldfader / Director **U.F.O.R.I.C.** June 2/93

For information call: (604) 685-1-UFO (836) or write: Dept. 25 - 1665 Robson St., Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6G 3C2

Dear Bonnie,

I am not surprised to read about the "Sightings" people showing initial excitement about your video and later hearing nothing from them. The identical situation happened to me a couple of months ago when I received a call from a "Lounitte Lewis" from Sightings who asked me to send immediately everything interesting from Canada I had on video. They gave me a special Federal Express # and I have not heard from them since. I know of two other cases identical from the British Columbia area. Only time will tell how sincere the requests were.

Regards,

Lorne Goldfader.

PUBLISHED 12-15 TIMES PER YEAR, ONE YEAR SUBSCRIPTION= \$30 WHICH INCLUDES A FREE TWO HOUR VIDEOCASSETTE HISTORY ABOUT UFOs. SEND SUBSCRIPTIONS, ORDERS, COMMENTS, SUGGESTIONS TO: BILL KNELL 164-22 77TH ROAD FLUSHING, NEW YORK 11365.

**EXTRA...EXTRA...READ ALL ABOUT IT!**

In my never ending battle to bring you the latest, most important UFO NEWS, I offer this EXTRA issue of news, info and interesting material that we have to leave out of upcoming newsletters. In fact, the next issue will be nine pages long and CRAMMED full of goodies! Sooo, while it's being readied, we invite you to feast on the enclosed material which we feel is too important to just leave out...

**SPECIAL THANKS** to PETER DAVENPORT, A UFO Investigator from the Seattle, WA. area who supplied me with the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN piece (opposite) and who helped me, several times, at lectures in and around Seattle. IF you have any strange happenings to report in the Seattle area, or want to join a neat UFO group, you may send letters to peter to me and I will forward them to him.

**SPECIAL THANKS** to JAY DIAMOND of THE JAY DIAMOND SHOW heard weeknights on WABC TALKRADIO (77 on the AM Dial in NYC) who again had me on his talk radio show for FOUR HOURS on the NUMBER ONE TALK RADIO STATION (WABC) in NYC and in the world with several million listeners (according to recent ARBITRON RATINGS)! THANK YOU, JAY!

**SIGHTINGS: A NEW HIGH IN UFO LOWS! by Bill Knell**

The days of IN SEARCH OF... are over! Now (and we have said this before) we are CURSED with the FOX NETWORK show SIGHTINGS! I wrote one review of this travesty and decided to leave it at that, but then I was told to watch this week's episode(5/7/93).

It seems that SIGHTINGS! has joined the UFOs MUST BE SECRET GOVERNMENT CRAFT club. It's not that they covered the AREA 51 controversy (the supposed area where Physicist ROBERT LAZAR claims he worked on UFOs for the U.S.) without even a clip of Lazar's side of the issue, or went into the many reasons why it's probably true. It's not that they didn't produce even one of the many credible witnesses who also have stories about that area and are willing to tell them. It's not that they, as usual, showed material without identifying it (UFO films from Area 51) or photo analysis. It's just that they produced a one-sided view of an important and controversial matter in the UFO Research Community. In short, they persisted in the rumor that UFOs are probably "secret devices" built by the Government and the many recent sightings and unexplained BOOMS heard all over the world are SECRET PLANES! I have already answered that argument in

other issues. But, watching SIGHTINGS makes me long for the GOOD OLD DAYS when the media did justice to the UFO



subject and MEDIA GIANTS like "LONG JOHN" NEBAL held media court over UFOs on his nightly PARTY LINE program in the NYC radio market. (LONG JOHN NEBAL seen left)

I was sickened to watch the brief and embarrassing segment that this episode gave to UFO Researcher STANTON FRIEDMAN, a well-respected UFO Investigator in the UFO Community, as he tried to refute the SECRET DEVICES theory (and FRIEDMAN actually had worked on secret projects for the U.S. and would know! But then what can one expect from a show whose idea was LINDA MUTILATED COW (LINDA MOULTON HOWE) with her three ring circus coverage of CATTLE MUTILATIONS related to UFOs (featuring fake UFO mutilation photos sent to her by L.I. UFO Researcher JOHN FORD

**....UFOs IN THE 1890s: from SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN**

**Curious Phenomenon in Venezuela.**

*To the Editor of the Scientific American:*

The following brief account of a recent strange meteorological occurrence may be of interest to your readers as an addition to the list of electrical eccentricities:

During the night of the 24th of October last, which was rainy and tempestuous, a family of nine persons, sleeping in a hut a few leagues from Maracaibo, were awakened by a loud humming noise and a vivid, dazzling light, which brilliantly illuminated the interior of the house.

The occupants, completely terror stricken, and believing, as they relate, that the end of the world had come, threw themselves on their knees and commenced to pray, but their devotions were almost immediately interrupted by violent vomitings, and extensive swellings commenced to appear in the upper part of their bodies, this being particularly noticeable about the face and lips.

It is to be noted that the brilliant light was not accompanied by a sensation of heat, although there was a smoky appearance and a peculiar smell.

The next morning the swellings had subsided, leaving upon the face and body large black blotches. No special pain was felt until the ninth day, when the skin peeled off, and these blotches were transformed into virulent raw sores.

The hair of the head fell off upon the side which happened to be underneath when the phenomenon occurred, the same side of the body being, in all nine cases, the more seriously injured.

The remarkable part of the occurrence is that the house was uninjured, all doors and windows being closed at the time.

No trace of lightning could afterward be observed in any part of the building, and all the sufferers unite in saying that there was no detonation, but only the loud humming already mentioned.

Another curious attendant circumstance is that the trees around the house showed no signs of injury until the ninth day, when they suddenly withered, almost simultaneously with the development of the sores upon the bodies of the occupants of the house.

This is perhaps a mere coincidence, but it is remarkable that the same susceptibility to electrical effects, with the same lapse of time, should be observed in both animal and vegetable organisms.

I have visited the sufferers, who are now in one of the hospitals of this city; and although their appearance is truly horrible, yet it is hoped that in no case will the injuries prove fatal. **WARNER COWGILL**

U. S. Consulate, Maracaibo, Venezuela,

November 17, 1896.

**THE FAIR-WITNESS PROJECT of L.A.**  
FOUNDED 1984: RESEARCH, PUBLISHING & MEDIA CONSULTING SERVICES  
SPECIALIZING IN INFORMATION ABOUT UNEXPLAINED PHENOMENA  
4219 W. OLIVE AVE., SUITE #247, BURBANK, CALIFORNIA 91505

August 10th 1993

\*\*\*AN OPEN LETTER\*\*\*

Bruce Maccabee  
6962 Eylers Valley Flint Rd.  
Sabillasville, MD 21780

Dear Bruce:

Thanks for the note and accompanying material. Yes, I have a copy of the "AIR" Report. They sent me one, believe it or not (post-marked, by the way, "Suburban MD MSC 206," which translates to somewhere in Prince George's County). Apparently I'm the only principal to have received one directly from the source. Interesting.

There is no doubt that Todd Zechel figures heavily in this thing, although he apparently chooses to deny having actually written it. His words reportedly were, "I didn't write it, but of course I can't be responsible for what others may do with information I provide them." Certainly we are dealing with some sort of vendetta group here, and if I had to guess at the membership aside from Zechel himself, I would finger an unholy alliance of the usual Zechel gadflys with perhaps a couple of naive newcomers. Anyone who's been around the UFO community for any length of time knows the type of people he prefers to associate with. Naming them would only give them more notoriety than they deserve.

Stylistically speaking, the writing resembles that of Zechel more than anyone else.

As for the accuracy of the piece at least insofar as the material about me is concerned, what few things the writer does have right are taken so badly out of context or so heavily embellished with outright fabrication, invective and innuendo that it's hardly worth my time to comment. Certainly I have never claimed (or "confessed") to be "a government disinformation agent." (A transcript of my 1989 Las Vegas speech is available from me in case anyone wants to read my exact words.) Certainly I did not "create" the MJ-12 papers! As far as I know, Rick Doty never attempted to make "big money" or in fact any money at all "by concocting phoney UFO stories." And if money and greed are my motives, why am I always on the edge of poverty? (Anyone who thinks money is my God simply doesn't know me very well.)

In addition, the writer confuses the matter of the so-called Carter Briefing Documents (the ones I photographed in a motel room) with the Eisenhower Briefing Document. This is all set out in The MJ-12 Documents: An Analytical Report which the writer gives no indication of having read.

Equally confused is the account of Rick Doty's involvement with the Kirtland documents, a matter which is also set forth in detail in my above referenced MJ-12 Report. Furthermore, to the best of my knowledge, Doty has never offered to sell either information or documents on UFOs to anyone. Indeed, during the thirteen years I have known him, Rick has never expressed any interest in receiving money for anything, and on the couple of occasions when money was offered (just to see what the reaction would be) it was quickly declined with a wave of the hand. Nor, for my part, did I ever accept any money for my own involvement.

I do not know whether Rick Doty ever slept with Linda Howe or not, and frankly I do not care! I do know that I have never discussed any such allegation with Ms. Howe. Furthermore, the HOT-AIR Report's assertion that "up to this point, Howe had never in her life spoken with Moore" is untrue. I first met and had a fairly long conversation with Linda in mid-1980, six months before my involvement with the Bennewitz affair and several years before the time frame outlined in the so-called Report!

There's a lot of other stuff as well, but why bother? To have me bragging to Zechel, in early 1980 (!), about making lots of money off UFOs, is ridiculous. Hell's bells-- the sum total of my contribution to ufology at that point was perhaps half-a-dozen pulp magazine articles. Yes, I had made some money off the Phil/Ex book, but that wasn't really a UFO book. Strangely enough, that meeting marked the only time I have ever met Zechel face-to-face, and Maccabee was present. I knew something of Zechel's curious reputation even at that early date, and as a result I said damn little about anything at that meeting. (It seems to me that he was living in a flea-bag hotel and working as a clerk at Le Sexe Shoppe, a sleazy Hollywood porn palace, at the time.) I wonder if his flawless memory can recall where we met and what the circumstances were. I can.

In summary, I view the HOT-AIR Report as nothing more than the product of seriously twisted minds who have banded together out of sheer desperation in order to attempt one last hurrah. The reason Zechel is a nobody today has nothing to do with me or anything I might have done. The cess pool he wallows in is entirely the product of his own profligacy. How sad that people who have descended to such depths so frequently believe that the only way they can make themselves look good is to make others look bad. Even the Nazis used the technique, although it is now widely accepted that heavy use of amphetamines was responsible for at least some of Hitler's erratic behavior. I wonder what drugs Mr. Zechel is doing nowadays???

In any case, HOT-AIR is clearly nothing more than a hatchet job effort by individuals inimical to Maccabee and myself. Ufology has always been hesitant to give credence to anonymous sources. Why should these cowards be treated any differently?

HOT AIR

Dear Ufologist,

You have recently received a copy of the Associated Investigator's Report (AIR) #1, 'published' by the Associated Investigators Group (AIG). This report was mailed directly to over 100 people known to be interested in UFO research, although, it was not mailed to me nor to many of the other 'attackees' discussed in the paper. The initial recipients subsequently mailed copies to others. If you mailed copies to friends, please also send each one a copy of this response.

A major part of the AIR report is concerned with my 'secret' association with the CIA. Other portions of the paper makes generally disparaging remarks about me and several other noted UFO researchers..

AIR#1 raises the question of whether or not my association with the CIA impacted on my UFO investigations or on the activities of the Fund for UFO Research. I can assure that it did not, as is more fully described in the enclosed paper. I feel no need to 'defend' my CIA association, inasmuch as it is not based on UFO research, but rather on professional activities related to my work for the Navy, which is totally unrelated to UFO research. I have written this paper to clarify my association with the CIA and also for another reason which is probably of more importance to ufologists who may be recipients of further AIG reports.

The claim made in the AIR #1 (see the last page) that there are no errors in the paper and this is followed by the 'promise' (or is it a threat?) of more 'good' research to follow. In other words the writer of AIR #1 claims for himself, and for the Associated Investigators Group, godlike accuracy. This sort of accuracy would, indeed be reassuring, if it were true, especially in light of the AIR's which are promised on crashed saucers, mind control, CIA projects, etc.

However, I have discovered numerous errors in the paper. Therefore I feel it is my duty to inform the ufo community that this paper is not as accurate as one might hope. Furthermore, along with the errors is an overabundance of innuendo and false logic. Hence I must caution the readers of this and future papers against blind acceptance of what the AIR reports say. I'm afraid that if this paper is any example, then we must be prepared for a lot of hot AIR.

One more thing. The 'ghost writer' of this paper is one Walter Todd Zechel who was an important figure in UFO research about 15 years ago. His approach to the UFO subject was to do anything which would advance his agenda, even at the expense and I mean \$\$\$, of other people. I know a number of people who suffered economic loss as a result of WTZ's irresponsibility.



Bruce Maccabee  
August 9, 1993

Hot A.I.R

or

The Mark of Zechel

by

Bruce Maccabee  
(not anonymous)

(Special message: I have plenty of case investigations and analyses to keep me busy. I don't need this crap, which forces me to use my precious time responding to worthless charges.)

'As you well know, there are a lot of people out there with particular axes to grind or pet cases or theories to spread around. Some of these people would like nothing more than to drag you down into the mud with them. I fervently hope that you will continue to operate with the same brilliance and astute logic and objectivity I've always admired in you. Please continue to demand evidence and proof, and demand as much of them as you would me.'

(from a letter to Bruce Maccabee by Walter Todd Zechel, June 21, 1986)

The UFO community has recently been 'rocked' by an unpublished but widely circulated paper entitled Associated Investigators Report #1 (abbrev. AIR) which which reveals, "for the first time anywhere," my secret association with the CIA. It also levels charges of incompetence and/or outright fraud against several other UFO investigators. After reading this report the intelligent reader will, I'm sure, be somewhat puzzled. Why was it done? By whom? Was I really a 'CIA mole' inside the UFO community? What is the Associated Investigators Group? Who wrote the report? Is it as accurate as it claims? Is there a 'hidden agenda' for this paper? Or is this report really just a lot of hot AIR?

The Associated Investigators Group members are not named, although 14 pseudonyms are given at the end of the paper. Even the writer of the paper is not named. In an 'appendix' following the main text there is the following statement: 'For the most part, this report is based upon interviews or discussion with the subjects named herein, and have been stated as accurately, candidly and forthrightly as possible. If there are any errors, which is unlikely, they are probably the result of misinterpretations by the subjects.' (Subjects? Is this an experiment?) (Clearly the writer assigns godlike accuracy to him(her)self and to the AIG. This would be reassuring, if it were true.) The writer then advises readers to 'not waste your time and energy attempting to impede our investigations by attempting to guess our identities...'

**CAVEAT EMPTOR!** Although gullible readers will probably fall for this crap, the astute reader will suspect any investigative writing which proclaims perfection! (The astute reader will also note one error immediately: 'pellican' is not the correct spelling.) The reader should also be wary of any writing by people who (a) don't have the intestinal fortitude (read, 'guts') to identify themselves as they accuse others and (b) have the gall to advise the readers not to try to identify them. One wonders what these 'stealth investigators' have to hide. Could a similar paper be done about them?



Finally, there is also a promise of more of this 'good' research to follow and *that is the reason for this paper*. Although there is no need for me to defend my association with the CIA, since it is based on continuing professional activities related to my job as a Navy physicist, I feel that I must alert UFOlogists to the evidence of poor research, use of inference and innuendo, errors of fact and just plain mudslinging in this paper so that readers will be better able to *judge the level of accuracy of any future hot AIR* reports. (On the other hand, the promise of future investigative reports may also be just hot air.)

#### SUMMARY OF THE ACCUSATIONS AGAINST ME AND MY RESPONSE

The perceptive reader will, of course, immediately realize that, had my association with the CIA really been totally secret, the paper would not have been written *because no one would have known about it*.

The writer essentially poses a legitimate question (which other people have asked me already), namely, what has been the nature of my association with the CIA and has it impacted on my UFO research and my activities in the field. Unfortunately, however, the writer, goes on to argue, via innuendo and false logic, that my association with the CIA has been poor judgement on my part, at best, and, at worst, has negatively impacted the UFO field in two ways which are treated separately below. In the following paragraphs I will answer the legitimate questions. I will also identify the false logic and innuendo and respond to it in a manner that rational people will understand.

ACCUSATION #1: The writer charges that my support for UFO cases which, in the mind of the writer at least, are 'obviously' poor cases or frauds (New Zealand, Kirtland Landing Case, Gulf Breeze, Guardian) has caused other researchers to waste time and money carrying out their own investigations. (How horrible!) But more germane to the issue which is the subject of this paper, my CIA association, is the writer's allegation that my support for these cases has been 'CIA inspired.' According to the writer, 'This certainly would have served the CIA's interest in keeping serious investigation of the UFO phenomena out of the public domain.' Also, according to the writer my support of these cases is evidence for poor judgement on my part, and no one with such poor judgement should be a leader in the UFO field (after all...I might lead people astray!) (I guess the writer does not think much of the average ufologist's ability to discern fact from fiction in UFO investigation.)

RESPONSE: The writer implies, without evidence, that a general policy of the CIA, the 'CIA's interest,' is to suppress serious UFO investigation. That implication runs counter my impression gained over the last nine years or so which is that, at least the part of the CIA with which I have had contact, *has no policy or 'interest' regarding UFO investigation*, although several employees have expressed an interest and numerous employees have attended the several UFO lectures (the term 'briefings' is too formal) I have presented there.

The writer alleges that my support for these cases has been 'CIA inspired.' **WRONG!** The fact is that the my CIA acquaintances have never indicated that I should support any particular sighting. In fact, the comments they make tend to be skeptical or just plain negative regarding sightings and *the reality of UFO phenomena in general*. My support for these cases has nothing to do with the CIA and has everything to do with my own investigations of them. The astute reader will realize that the opinions of these cases offered by the writer are just that...opinions, unsupported by any evidence in the hot AIR report. If the writer or any of the AIG group are intelligent enough to have good arguments against these cases then they can send me their arguments directly or even argue in public if they want to.

The writer accuses me of poor judgement in supporting these cases. It's hard to defend oneself against accusations of 'poor judgement.' It is like being accused of 'poor taste.' People will have differing opinions about the same subject. Which person is correct? It becomes more a matter of consensus than establishable fact. At any rate, I will stand by my past investigations and publications. I have rejected many alleged UFO sightings, but the particular ones held in disfavor by the hot AIR writer are cases I did not reject for reasons which I considered to be very good at the time and I still consider them to be good. If others wish to disagree publicly with my conclusions they should be willing to state their argument(s) 'nonymously' as opposed to 'a-nonymously.'

On the other hand, these charges of poor judgement can be reversed. I suspect that the AIG members, should they ever reveal themselves, will be charged with poor judgement for having circulated this paper widely in spite of the numerous errors, *ad hominem* attacks and argument by illogic and innuendo (see below). They will also be charged with 'unkind conduct' for *not even having the courtesy to send me a copy first, although I am the main target of their attacks!* I first learned that the paper existed during the late evening of July 24 when Jim Moseley called me to ask me questions about its allegations. Over the next week I heard from other people who had received copies. I learned that it had even appeared in England during the weekend of July 24. But, oddly enough, of the 'subjects' I talked to, *none of them had received copies directly from the AIG.* I finally got a copy 6 days after this 'load' had been dropped on an unsuspecting world from a person who had received his copy from the AIG in an envelop with no return address.

Why was this report circulated widely before I got a copy? I presume it was circulated by the *perpetrators* of this travesty in order to spread their *lies and innuendo* as far as possible before I (and the others mentioned herein) could respond. Furthermore, by not listing a return address or the name of a real person to contact there is no 'official' person to whom I can send my response. I, therefore, must respond to the community in general.

**ACCUSATION #2:** The writer claims that the second negative impact of my association with the CIA has been its affect on the policy of the Fund for UFO Research. In particular, the writer suggests that my association with the CIA affected the decision of the Fund to reject a proposal by Walter Todd Zechel (WTZ) to sue the CIA a second time. The writer further charges that my CIA association also caused the Fund to support MJ-12 research in spite of 'knowing' that the MJ-12 papers are fakes.

**RESPONSE: WRONG and WRONG AGAIN!** One fact that the writer has failed to take into account ('don't bother me with the facts, this is what I want to say') is that I did not 'run' the Fund like an autocracy. I had one vote on the Executive Committee of five people and each action required at least 3 out of 5 positive votes. My suggestions were voted down a number of times.

In order to support his argument that my association with the CIA impacted on the Fund decision to reject WTZ's proposal the writer has resorted to incomplete reporting and biasing of the facts. I present my version of the story of this particular incident below. Before beginning, however, I would like to point out that the most of the information used in the AIG was supplied by WTZ. I know this because much of the information which is in the report I supplied to him, alone. The report also contains information which he, alone, told me (and which I didn't tell others...I kept his confidence, but obviously the didn't return the favor!). In fact, the whole paper bears the *Mark of Zechel*. This leads me to speculate that WTZ's intent is to use this paper to get me off the Executive Committee of the Fund. With me not on the Executive Committee he could once again propose to re-sue the CIA without having to worry about my presumed interference or alerting of CIA officials

(which I didn't do the first time and wouldn't have done at any time!). If that is true, then WTZ may be surprised to learn that I had, in fact, stepped down in favor of Richard Hall at the end of March, 1993, *months before there was any hint of the AIG paper*. I am now, after 13 years of continual 'duty' with the Fund, Chairman Emeritus, with all the privileges that title bestows (none!). (My 'golden parachute' leaves a lot to be desired.)

Now let's get to the core of the matter, the rejection of WTZ's proposal to re-sue the CIA and the subsequent funding of Stan Friedman's MJ-12 research, the pertinent portion of the hot AIR paper (page 8, last paragraph) reads as follows (I have labelled the abstractions from the paper with numbers for later reference):

(1) 'It must be pointed out that the Fund rejected a detailed proposal to re-sue the CIA under FOIA submitted by Todd Zechel a few years ago. Zechel had outlined a plan to go after *the 15,000 documents described by Maccabee's friend, Kit Green*, and had asked for a paltry \$500 to get the effort rolling, using a diligent attorney who had volunteered to do the work. The Fund quickly rejected Zechel's proposal, but later handed \$16,000 to Stan Friedman in an effort to validate the MJ-12 documents.'

(my emphasis)

(2) 'Unfortunately, we are forced now to re-examine the motives of Dr. Maccabee. We must ask if his CIA contacts had any input into this (or any) decisions regarding proposals. This input may not have been so obvious as one would first think. Consider the scenario wherein Maccabee's CIA contacts express subtle hints suggesting to Maccabee that there may have been an MJ-12, this may have been enough to influence his decision to make such a large grant. Conversely, who knows what input the CIA had in Maccabee's rejection of Zechel's modest proposal.'

I would like to answer the last implied question immediately: *the CIA had exactly no input to the rejection of WTZ's proposal, nor did it have any input to any of the decisions of the Fund for UFO Research. Period!*. Of course the writer, and WTZ, could have learned this (whether or not they believed it) by just asking. But their approach is more consistent with that of paranoid delusionals who have fun speculating about being 'under constant attack by dark forces' (in this case, the CIA) and don't like to ask direct questions and receive direct answers because they don't believe the answers. Furthermore, as I pointed out above, even if I had attempted to interject a 'CIA perspective' into the internal deliberations of the Fund - *which I never did* the other members could equally well argue from their own perspectives. And, when it came to the final 'showdown', I had only a single vote. But, again, this logic is irrelevant according to the writer whose attitude is 'don't bother me with logic or the facts; I like my own conclusions.'

Referring, now, to section (1) of the paragraph above, why did the Fund reject WTZ's? proposal? The very short answer is that it was at the wrong time and by the wrong person. More specifically, there are four basic reasons. Three of these are WTZ's own fault, and one was 'bad timing.' To understand these reasons the reader needs to know some history that is not generally available (see *CLEAR INTENT* by Greenwood and Fawcett for more information on the CIA lawsuit).

The original 1977-1978 CIA lawsuit was prosecuted under the rights granted by the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIPA...sometimes abbreviated FOI). The suit was filed on the behalf of a UFO group, now defunct, called Ground saucer Watch (GSW). The success of the suit came about largely as a result of WTZ's own effort in conjunction with New York attorney Peter Gersten and with considerable help from Brad Sparks. The lawsuit was carried through to a surprising (to most of the world) conclusion: in December 1978 the CIA released *hundreds* of pages of UFO related

material spanning some 30 years after claiming for months that it was involved for only a short time in late 1952 and early 1953 (the time leading up to the Robertson panel fiasco and the subsequent panel report which proposed 'debunking' as the solution to the UFO problem). The fact that the CIA had lots of pages of material was not surprising to WTZ and others involved in the suit, however, because they had been led to believe by the CIA's legal staff that the number of documents to be accounted for would be in the area of 10,000 (quote taken from the draft of 'For Your Eyes Only,' a paper written in January, 1987, by WTZ). (Years earlier, in the January 1979 bulletin of the Citizens Against UFO Secrecy, 'Just CAUS,' WTZ wrote that in September, 1978 'U.S. Attorney William Briggs led CAUS officials to believe that the CIA had located in excess of 5,000 documents.' Evidently somehow over the years this number was doubled.) Furthermore, according to WTZ in his proposal to the Fund, a 'reliable CIA source of mine' pointed out that most of the 'components' of the CIA which were searched were the wrong ones. Hence, presumably there could be unreleased documents in other components of the agency.

The release of a mere 900 pages dismayed WTZ and the others and they began planning, *in the spring of 1979*, for another lawsuit. (This planning was going on when I met a CIA employee who suggested that there could be many more pages of material. Although this incident plays a large role in the AIR reconstruction of the events, *it played no role* in the Fund decision. My contact with the CIA is discussed more fully below.) However, for various reasons there was no second suit of the CIA in 1979 and WTZ subsequently dropped out of UFO research leaving a trail of unpaid bills and a 'few pissed off people in my wake' (quote from his proposal).

Move ahead, now, to December, 1986 (not exactly 'a few years ago,' as implied by the hot AIR paper). The Fund received a proposal from WTZ to re-sue the CIA for the presumed thousands of documents that hadn't been released. The Executive Committee of the Fund reviewed his proposal and made its decision based on the following factors:

(a) WTZ did not present a strong case that more pages would be released under a new FOI lawsuit. First, his 'evidence' that there were more documents was largely speculation based on hearsay or on information from confidential sources about which he would say nothing. In other words, he provided no *proof* that there were thousands more pages to be released. (In fact, one goal of the suit was a *search* to find out if there were more more documents.) Second, the previous suit had been successful only in retrieving documents of Secret classification and below. Both the CIA and later NSA (National Security Agency) lawsuits showed that the government could appeal to 'national security' to withhold documents. There was no reason to believe that the same excuses wouldn't be used again to protect the 'really good stuff' we wanted. In other words, they might locate some more, even many more, documents and simply refuse to release them all or in part for national security reasons. Hence the Executive Committee did not see much hope that a new lawsuit would produce hundreds or thousands, or *any*, more documents than we already had.

(b) Had this proposal been sent by someone else we might have been more favorably disposed toward it. Although proposals to the Fund are evaluated more in terms of the capability of an investigator than his personality and personal history, in this case we could not overlook WTZ's actions in the past. Although he had established himself as a good, persistent investigator of UFO crashes and government cover-up, his meteoric rise (1976-77) and fall (late 1979) was well known to all of us. As he admitted in his proposal, his complete obsession with uncovering the cover-up overpowered his good sense in dealing with other investigators. When he left the field, some might say 'drummed out,' he owed money for phone investigations he had carried out at other people's expense. He had, to use his phrase in the proposal, 'left a few pissed off people in my wake' when he vanished from the scene in late

1979, having been 'burned out.' The Executive Committee felt that the Fund would be condemned by many or most other researchers if it supported Mr. WTZ in any activity. (c) WTZ shot himself in the foot by indicating that big money - that's BIG money - was soon to follow. He wrote in his proposal that he was authoring two books, one of which would be a hardcover with a 'six figure advance...currently being negotiated.' There would also be a feature film budgeted at another six figure number. He was expecting to submit part of the book to a publisher in 3 months. The Executive Committee members read this and asked, 'if there are megabucks only a few months away, why does he need us?'

(d) The fourth and final 'killer' reason was bad timing. Perhaps WTZ, not being active in the field, did not know that in 1985 the Fund had volunteered to put on the 'Fortieth Birthday Party' in the Nation's Capitol. I refer to June 24, 1987 as the 40th anniversary of Arnold's sighting, and the party was the International MUFON Symposium. The Executive Committee had decided to make this as international as possible by inviting researchers from all over the world. We knew that this would take money...more than we could take in 'at the door' from the attendees alone. By the fall of 1986 we were activitely searching for donations (and beginning to chew our fingernails). We expected to have to raise some \$15,000 or more in donations *beyond* the expected registration fees. By December, 1986, when we received WTZ's proposal, we had not yet achieved our goal...*although we had already committed to a number of speakers from overseas.* Our collective finger nails were getting shorter.

It was in this context that we evaluated WTZ's proposal to re-sue the CIA for a 'paltry \$500 to get the effort rolling,' as it says in the AIR. *WRONG!* Had it *only* been \$500, and if it had been someone other than WTZ, we just *might* have been interested. However, it wasn't just \$500. The suit would require an 'initial contribution of \$500' to 'cover basic expenses in preparing the suit' and 'once the suit was ready to file...an *additional contribution of about \$2,000 as a retainer for the attorney(s).*' (from WTZ's proposal) There was no mention of a 'diligent attorney who had volunteered to do the legal work.' Since WTZ's time scale was measured in months, we could see that the \$500 right up front would be followed soon by another \$2,000, for a total of \$2,500 *that would be needed during the spring/summer of 1987 for his lawsuit.* Since we were trying to save every penny toward the MUFON Symposium, still 6 months away, we *weren't about to commit to anything until we had paid for the symposium.* In other words, we had our own problems with money. Now reread reason (c) above. The Executive Committee members wondered why he needed a 'paltry' \$2,500 from us when, if he waited a few months, he would be *rolling in dough.* (Note: evidently his book and movie proposals also fell through.)

As you may well imagine, the combination of these reasons was enough to cause the Executive Committee vote against the proposal. My 'CIA connection' (reference section (2) above) had nothing to do with the rejection. In fact, I never discussed this proposal *or any other proposal* with my CIA contacts. Furthermore, I had no 'protective feelings' for the CIA...and I still don't. I still think they're holding onto something we want and if someone should propose another lawsuit, then *have at it!*

So now you see that the version of this 'rejection incident' as reported in the AIR, where any errors are 'unlikely,' is a lot of hot air...just as is their claim to investigatorial perfection!

The Fund's decision not to fund the CIA lawsuit apparently did not sit well with WTZ. In the draft of his last issue of 'For Your Eyes Only,' written in January, 1987 he refers to his offer to 'launch a new suit against the CIA, this time seeking the 15,000 documents that were never scattered throughout the CIA's files, as it contended, but were instead held in one location, as would be expected if UFOs are

considered a serious intelligence target. But it appears UFOlogists are more concerned with *holding conventions* where everyone can propose their latest theories and wallow in the comradery of fellow believers, than they are to get down in the trenches and slug it out with those responsible for making UFOs a laughing matter and relegating the subject to the science fiction section." (my emphasis) As the reader will note, this disparaging reference to 'holding conventions' is a low blow; the Fund was already committed to holding a convention. We didn't have the money to do both, and we couldn't arbitrarily cancel the convention to support WTZ's speculative proposal.

Referring, now, to paragraph (1) above and the research into the MJ-12 documents, the hot AIR paper says that the Fund 'quickly rejected Zechel's proposal, but later handed \$16,000 to Friedman..' It does not point out that Friedman received support for MJ-12 research 2 1/2 years later, under conditions that were considerably different from the conditions under which WTZ's proposal was rejected. The MJ-12 papers, more precisely referred to as the 'Eisenhower Briefing Document,' (EIB) were released in the late spring of 1987, just before the 1987 Symposium. Charges and countercharges began flying around immediately and continued into 1988. In the summer of 1988 the Fund took a poll of contributors to determine what interested them the most. MJ-12 came out on top. The Fund then made a public appeal for a \$16,000 proposal by Stan Friedman to try to prove or disprove the validity of the document. We all knew it was a shot in the dark, but only by diligent searching of old records could we hope to learn anything. Everyone who contributed to the special MJ-12 effort knew exactly what the money was going for. The Fund did not use general funds over which the community had no control.

Referring to paragraph (2), above, the writer questions whether or not my 'CIA contacts had any input to this' and suggests that they may have hinted the MJ-12 documents were real, thus influencing me to 'make such a large grant.' As I have stated above, however, the CIA contacts never advised me one way or the other and never influenced the Fund decisions one way or another. I'll go farther to say that they never hinted that the MJ-12 documents were real. They were as puzzled and skeptical as everyone.

The writer has alledged that I supported MJ-12 research while knowing that the EIB was a fake. **WRONG!** I *still* don't know whether it is fake or not, or whether it might be partially true and partially false. Numerous investigators have provided circumstantial evidence on both sides of the question. Many of the 'conclusive' arguments against the document have been shown to be ill conceived. Yet we have yet to find conclusive proof of its reality.

#### MORE HOT AIR

Having discussed...and dispensed with...the two major allegations of this paper I will now deal with the other allegations. This is done in the Appendix on an item-specific basis. My discussion of the various items listed there illustrates the errors in this paper. Without trying to analyze each slanted word and sentence, and confining most of my discussion to events, etc. which are directly connected to me or which I know about, I have found 18 errors of fact, illogic or innuendo in the self-proclaimed 'perfect' paper.

One person I spoke to about this paper pointed out that whenever he gets something like this, loaded with *ad hominem* attacks and verging on libel and slander, he automatically assumes that maybe 50% is completely false, 50% is basically true, and that the true 50% is written in such a way as to make it look bad for whomever the paper is about. I guess that person hit the nail on the head this time.

But what is important now is not this widely circulated paper. The 'cat is now out of the bag' regarding my 'CIA association' and from now on I'll probably be

viewed with suspicion by the more paranoid members of the UFO community. The important question for the UFO community is how to view the next AIR paper which may report on items for which there are no independent checks. As this paper shows, the AIG members are not infallible (far from it!). Hence readers of any such reports should be prepared to view very skeptically any further hot AIR reports.

*(P.S. Now reread the abstract from WTZ's letter to me in 1988 at the beginning of this paper.)*

## APPENDIX

To avoid having to virtually retype the AIR, I refer to the items of interest by listing the page, paragraph and line which contains specific words of interest and these words are *italicized*. The reader is invited use the AIR as a reference for the context of the items and to keep track of the number of factual errors and inferences presented as fact in order to better assess the claim that any such errors are 'unlikely.' Errors are expressly designated as ERROR followed by a # sign.

ITEM 1 - pg.1: para. 1, sentence 1: 'long standing *secret* relationship with the CIA and U.S. Intelligence community.' Although my first contact with the CIA was UFO related (see below), my contacts since 1984 have been as a result of my Navy work. Although I have not publicized this information, Wtz knew, as did the members of the Fund and various other members of the UFO community. Hence it has not been a real 'secret.' If it were, this paper wouldn't have been written because no one would have known.

ITEM 2 - pg.1, para.1, sent. 1: '*briefing them about various UFO matters and investigators.*' I have discussed UFO matters with several employees who have expressed an interest in UFOs and have provided them with my opinions on various cases and people *just as I would with any other persons interested in the subject including other ufologists, newsmedia reporters, etc.* The use of the term 'briefings' is too formal. Casual discussions or, for groups of people, informal lunchtime lectures would be more appropriate. The CIA invites people with many different interests to provide entertainment lectures for the employees. (I once heard Tom Clancy speak there.)

ITEM 3 - pg.1, para. 3, sent. 2. 'At the same time and *for undisclosed reasons*, Maccabee briefed the CIA men on the CIA's own UFO files released under the Freedom of Information Act.' After the 1987 MUFON Symposium in Washington, D.C., where the MJ-12 papers were discussed publicly for the first time Ron Pandolfi invited me to give a general lecture to employees on UFOs and MJ-12. I took the opportunity to inform the CIA men *and women*, which included employees of all 'ranks' including secretaries, about 'their own documents' because (hold your breath...here is the formerly *undisclosed reason*) I wanted them to know what their own employer had been doing. I also wanted to see what the response would be. After all, CIA documents would, presumably, have some considerable level of credibility, so I tried to make the case for UFOs based largely on those documents. After that I discussed the MJ-12 papers in the context of having built a case for UFO reality using the CIA documents. I learned later what the response was: many of the listeners became interested in the subject and started snooping around in whatever files they had access to. Ron said that I created a lot of 'spies' in the agency. However, I have no evidence that anyone found anything not already contained in the FOIPA document package.

ITEM 4: pg.1, para.5 sent.1: 'Maccabee first *approached* the CIA in early 1979...' (after visiting New Zealand as part of my investigation of the world famous New Zealand Sightings of December, 1978). **WRONG!** Actually, I never *approached* the CIA. The CIA contact was made, *not at my request*, by a scientist who worked for the MITRE corporation. (See below) ERROR #1

ITEM 5: pg.1, para. 5, sent.2: '...Maccabee, for *unclear reasons* decided the film represented some sort of probative evidence of UFOs and *set out to bring it to the attention of CIA officials.*' **WRONG!** I never 'set out to bring it to the attention of CIA officials.' My reasons for viewing the New Zealand sightings as valid evidence may be unclear to the writer, who has probably never studied them (and quite possibly *couldn't understand the technical arguments even if he did!*), but they are clear to numerous other people who have heard the lectures I have given and read the published papers, including papers in *Applied Optics*, a technical journal. The



claim that I *set out* to inform the CIA couldn't be *farther* from the truth. Informing the CIA had never even crossed my mind. After all, the CIA was the 'bad guys' who had, just two months before, been caught with their pants down when they released hundreds of pages of material. **ERROR #2**

\ ITEM 6: pg. 1, para. 5 sent. 3: 'He then *put out feelers through his contacts with companies performing tasks for the CIA...*'. **WRONG!** The way this is presented the reader might assume that the writer (or WTZ) has some evidence, as, for example, by checking with companies that perform tasks for the CIA. However, this is a (one of many!) false impression created by the writer. I never contacted any companies. What I did was tell Jack Acuff, Director of NICAP at the time, that I would like to speak to experts in the field of radar. He, in turn, put me in contact with a scientist, Dr. Gordon MacDonald, at the MITRE corporation. I was invited to discuss the NZ sightings with him and several other scientists MITRE in McLean, Va. and I did (and they generally agreed with my conclusions). Then, a week or so later, I learned that MacDonald had contacted a man at the CIA who contacted me and offered to provide technical consultation if I would provide a *briefing* to some CIA employees. At first I was leery of doing anything with the CIA, but I knew they had radar experts, so I stipulated that if they would give me some feedback I'd tell them what I know. So I briefed them and I received some helpful comments. There were some minor criticisms but no strong disagreements with my analysis. (I had concluded, by the way, that in certain instances there were correlated radar-visual observations of unidentified objects.) **ERROR #3.**

ITEM 7: pg. 1, para. 7 and pages 2 and 3. This presents the hot AIR version of my interaction with a CIA employee and his *supposed* statement that there were '15,000 UFO-related documents.' The actual history is as follows:

After I discussed the NZ case one employee, Dr. Christopher 'Kit' Green (KG), invited me to visit the CIA again a week or so later to have a general UFO discussion with him and a couple of other employees. It was at this time, during a discussion of the CIA lawsuit, that he made a general comment that there *could* be more pages because he knew, from the compartmented organization of the agency, that other parts of the agency could have information of which he would not be aware, even though he thought he was the 'custodian' of the UFO files. In other words, *he knew that he would be unaware of any UFO files that might be possessed by 'custodians' in other components of the Agency.*

This all happened in late March and early April, 1979. I knew that WTZ and Peter Gersten were interested in going after more agency documents, so, about a month later I revealed my 'secret' meeting and the KG's comment that there might be more, perhaps 15,000 more, pages. This I did to support his WTZ's effort. I don't remember exactly what I said, but, since WTZ recorded my conversation (without telling me) he can perhaps supply me with a transcript. Whatever I said, it is clear that WTZ interpreted it as meaning that KG had said there were 15,000 UFO-related documents. Almost immediately WTZ wanted to know if I would reveal the contact's name, which I didn't. Then he wanted me to find out if the contact would be willing to testify to the existence of 15,000 more pages. I called KG and he made it clear that he didn't *know* that there were thousands more pages. He could only testify to what had been in his own file, which he had given to the FOIPA coordinator, a thousand pages or so. All else was speculation. When I told this to WTZ and Gersten they appeared to get angry and wanted to sue *me* for covering up information. I managed to convince Gersten that I didn't know anything and that I couldn't force KG to make any statements that he didn't want to make. That ended the situation.

ITEM 8: Pg. 2, para. 7: 'It was clear from Maccabee's statements to Zechel that Maccabee intended to cooperate with the CIA on a continuing basis....' **WRONG!** I didn't reveal KG's name because I felt it was not my prerogative. If WTZ and Gersten wanted to sue the hell out of the CIA that was their business. I didn't want to get

KG in trouble if there <sup>were</sup> ~~was~~ a lawsuit simply because he had inadvertently leaked information, *if* it was valid information. I didn't expect to continue my contact with the CIA and had no further contacts until 1984 (see below). ERROR 4

ITEM 9: Pg. 3, para. 2, sent. 2,3. 'At one point Zechel asked him directly, if he was working for the CIA. *You might say that, Maccabee replied.*' I would like to state that I have never been employed by the CIA nor paid by the CIA. The hot AIR

paper should have pointed out that the context of this statement was a casual conversation with WTZ. I pointed out that by talking with Ron Pandolfi or other employees I was providing them with information, and *in that context* I was 'working for' the CIA. I was saving the employees some effort to learn what they could by themselves by other means. However, I didn't tell them everything. In other words, I have withheld information from Ron and others. I never mentioned WTZ's proposal to re-sue the agency, for example.

Regarding my employment, I might add that, although I am a civilian employee of the Navy, I have never been in Naval Intelligence or any intelligence agency. Nor have I been advised in any way related to UFO research activities by any agency of the government except that the laboratory where I work has said 'keep our name out of it.'

ITEM 10: pg. 3, para., 2, sent. 4: 'In April 1990, however, Maccabee began to back-pedal on what he'd been told by the UFO files custodian in 1979....In this version the CIA man had merely been speculating about the totality of the CIA's collection, judging by the one or two thousand he had control over.' **WRONG!** Evidently WTZ has 'conveniently' (because it makes a better story?) forgotten that the 'back pedalling' had all occurred in *May, 1979*. For the reader who doesn't know who is telling the truth I ask whether or not you think that WTZ would have failed to pursue this point (i.e., are there 15,000 documents or aren't there?) in the spring of 1979 when the subject was hot...*he needed to know whether or not there were thousands more pages for the next lawsuit.* I submit that WTZ did the logical thing in 1979 and asked me at that time to clarify the situation: was my contact aware, or wasn't he aware, of '15,000' pages? The writer's indication that this 'back-pedaling' didn't take place until 1990 is part of the poor investigation evident in this paper. ERROR #5

The AIR paper continues in the next several paragraphs to build an argument which the writer portrays as logical (the 'custodian' should know how many documents there are). However, the truth or falsity of the claim that there are thousands more pages of UFO documents cannot be determined from the information I was given. The simple fact is that, because of the compartmented nature of the CIA (and other intelligence agencies), *it may be that no one who knows how many pages there are.*

ITEM 11: pg. 3, para. 7, sent. 3: 'Green was awarded the CIA's National Intelligence Medal for his work on a 'classified project' from 1979 to 1983 *precisely the years in which Maccabee was meeting with him at CIA headquarters.*' **WRONG!** (Way to go, Kit!) It appears that the writer/WTZ is trying to imply that the 'classified project' was related to UFO research and my contacts. I don't know what the 'classified project' was, but I do know it had nothing to do with my contacts from 1979 to 1983 because *there weren't any.* After that last meeting with KG in the spring of 1979 I didn't see him again and had no contact with the agency until June, 1984 when I was contacted by Dr. Ronald Pandolfi regarding my Navy work. He had been tracking developments by the 'other side' in that field of research and wanted to know what the US state of the art was. ERROR #6

During my first meeting with Ron we discussed my Navy research. I didn't mention my previous visit to the Agency 5 years before, nor did I mention anything about UFOs because I didn't want him to think I was 'nuts.' However, I subsequently learned that he discussed my visit with someone who did remember, because soon afterward I got a phone call in which he

brought up my UFO interest. I didn't quite know how to take this and approached the situation with some caution. So, over the next few years, when we met to discuss research and projects we would occasionally also discuss UFO cases I was working on and ufology in general. There were several people who were mildly interested in the subject, but none, to my knowledge, was actively involved in research. In the summer 1987 after the release of the MJ-12 papers and the MUFON International Symposium in Washington, DC, where the MJ-12 papers were discussed publicly for the first time Ron asked if I would be willing to give a lunchtime talk at the CIA. That sounded amusing, so I said yes. My talk to all-comers, secretaries, messenger boys, researchers and spies (?), centered around the papers which the CIA had itself released, and, of course, the already controversial MJ-12 papers. Although I gained no new information, Ron said that I created a lot of 'spies' in the Agency, as everyone tried to find documents on their own. (Clearly most of the employees were not familiar with the UFO phenomenon in general and the CIA documents in particular.) Subsequently I spoke there in 1990 about the Gulf Breeze sightings and most recently about a magnetic case that occurred in Gulf Breeze last September (1992). In each case I presented lectures that I had already given to other audiences. The most recent lecture was a repeat of my presentation of the magnetic case at the April, 1993, meeting of the American Physical Society in Washington, DC.

ITEM 12: pg. 4, para. 3., last sentence: .....Bill Moore's best known creation--the MJ-12 hoax. **WRONG!** It is my belief, having known Bill and having known about his investigative approach for more than 13 years, that the suggestion that Bill faked the MJ-12 papers (EIB) is *extremely hot air*. WTZ has his own reasons for claiming that Moore faked the document. Part of WTZ's 'hidden agenda' (hinted at in the paper) is to discredit the Roswell/Corona crash and the MJ-12 papers in order to build up his own baby, the Dec. (5,6,7 - pick a date), 1950 Texas-Mexico border crash (see pg. 7, para. 1,2, of the AIR report). *There is no evidence that Bill Moore created the MJ-12 documents. If they are a hoax, it is not his fault.* ERROR #7

NOTE: THE ASSERTION THAT MOORE CREATED THE EIB/MJ-12 PAPERS LEADS TO NUMEROUS OTHER ERRORS IN THE PAGES FOLLOWING PG. 4. I HAVE NOT ENUMERATED THEM.

ITEM 13: last sentence at the bottom of page 4: 'There are probably thousands of people all over the country suffering from the same sort of *paranoid delusions* as Paul Bennewitz.' **YES!** And the writer of this paper seems to be one of them. Presumably the AIG members are others.

ITEM 14: pg. 5, para. 3, sent. 4: 'In fact, Moore told Todd Zechel in early 1980 that 'I'll bet you've heard that you can't *make money off UFOs?* Well, I proved that wrong!' For WTZ to accuse BM of trying to make some money off UFOs is like the pot calling the kettle black. WTZ has established a track record of grand schemes to make movies and books that would lead to BIG BUX.

ITEM 15: pg. 6, para. 8, sent. 2: 'Quickly Moore set about circulating this material...' **WRONG!** The EIB was received in late December, 1984. Bill and Jamie told only a couple of people about the EIB over the next couple of years and did not generally release it until after it was published by Timothy Good in England in the late spring of 1987, *three and a half years later.* ERROR #8

ITEM 16: pg. 8, para 1, sent. 1: '*...thanks' to Maccabee's influence ...*' **WRONG!** Here the writer implies that I had some influence on how Whitley Streiber in *MAJESTIC* and how Howard Blum in *OUT THERE* portrayed Moore and Doty. **WHAT AMAZING GARBAGE.** Sure I knew Whitley, but I had no involvement with his book. He met Moore on his own and formed his own opinion, I presume. As for Blum, I never had a discussion or contact with him before his book came out *or since.* Hence I could not have influenced him. ERROR #9

ITEM 17: pg. 8, para. 5, sent. 2,3: 'How much involvement did he have in spreading the MJ-12 hoax? How much influence did Ron Pandolfi have over his conduct during this whole affair?' Answer 1: I supported, and continue to support,

legitimate investigation into the EIB/MJ-12 papers which are not yet proven to be a hoax. Answer 2: NONE.

ITEM 18: pg. 8, para. 6, sent. 2: (referring to my paper 'UFO Landings near Kirtland AFB or Welcome to the Cosmic Watergate) 'The report was *co-authored by Bill Moore.*' **WRONG!** I wrote the whole report based on my investigation. Afterward I sent it to Bill and asked him to write an addendum outlining what he knew. He did so. The title page of the report, which anyone can obtain from the Fund for UFO Research, reads "(the above title) by Bruce Maccabee with comments by Bill Moore." Bill's input to the paper is confined to the 'notes' on pages 29 and 30 of the 30 page report. I made no changes in my text as a result of Bill's notes. This is hardly what one could call 'co-authored.' ERROR #10.

ITEM 19: pg. 8, para. 6., sent. 4: 'A careful examination of the circumstances surrounding the documents (*and Maccabee's own report*) clearly shows that the document is a fraud created by Doty.' **WRONG!** There is no evidence that it is a fraud. Furthermore, there is testimony by people mentioned in the report which indicates that it actually happened. In particular, Maj. Ernest Edwards confirmed the details about the sightings of the Manzano Guards, but, unfortunately, too late for me to include this in my paper. If the writer has any conclusive evidence that it is a fraud, as opposed to *innuendo and "wishful thinking"* (*he hopes it is a fraud because it make his story better*), then I would like to see it. ERROR #11

ITEM 20: pg. 8, para. 6, sent. 7: 'Maccabee now privately admits that the whole MJ-12 mess is *probably* a hoax.' **WRONG!** I would agree that it is *possibly* a hoax (NOT BY BILL MOORE), but I have seen no evidence that makes me think that the EIB itself is *probably* a hoax. *If it is a hoax, then it is extremely sophisticated, utilizing historical details that were not previously known. It certainly isn't "crude," as has been suggested by some considerably less-than-brilliant skeptics.* ERROR #12

ITEM 21: pg. 8, para. 6, sent. 8: 'When did Maccabee know the MJ-12 material was fraudulent, was it before he provided Stan Friedman with \$16,000 of Fund money?' **WRONG!** This sentence is evidence of the argument by innuendo and inference with some slanting of the data included. I didn't know that the document was fraudulent before Freidman's investigation *and I still don't. Final judgement awaits evidence...none of which is presented in the hot AIR paper.*

ITEM 22: pg. 8, para. 7, sent. 2: '...and had asked for a paltry \$500 to get the effort rolling, using a diligent attorney who *volunteered to do the legal work.*' **WRONG!** Here is WTZ's listing of 'Costs to the Fund': 'I seek an initial contribution of \$500, which would cover my basic expenses in preparing the suit for filing. Once the suit was ready to file, I would need an additional contribution of about \$2,000 as a retainer for the attorney(s).' ERROR #13

The subject matter of this paragraph is more fully discussed in the main text of this paper.

ITEM 23: pg. 9, para. 7. '....all this should be viewed...in light of Dr. Maccabee's (hence FUFOR's) *concurrent relationship with the CIA.* **WRONG!** More ultimate claptrap. The allegations in this paper should *not* be viewed in the light of my CIA association. *The Fund has never had a "relationship" with the CIA.* Furthermore, as I pointed out in the main text of this paper, my CIA acquaintances had no impact on my UFO activities with respect to MJ-12 or any other aspect of government cover-up investigation. ERROR #14

ITEM 24: pg. 9, para 8., sent. 3: (regarding my analysis and support of the Gulf Breeze Sightings)'...most serious researchers have come to the conclusion that indeed the case is a hoax.' Is that so? Does the writer, or WTZ have any evidence of this? Did they take a poll? Where is it published? Just how many serious researchers, as opposed to those who merely read papers about the case, are there? How do they explain all the other sightings? I suggest that if the AIG really knew

how to investigate sightings, as opposed to writing scurrilous 'expose' papers, they ought to look more carefully into Gulf Breeze (and New Zealand and Guardian).

ITEM 25: pg. 10, top para. sent. 2: 'Or is his technical ability to analyze photographic evidence really that poor?' More unmitigated garbage. Would the writer like to challenge me to an analysis duel, perhaps? My analysis of the Gulf Breeze photos has recently been reviewed and expanded by Jeff Sainio. Perhaps the writer would like to smear him, too.

ITEM 26: pg. 10, top para. sent. 3: '...one could *speculate* that Dr. Maccabee's public support for the case might have been *encouraged by his intelligence contacts.*' **WRONG!** One could also speculate that the writer is a moron or a childmolester or a sexual deviate (pick one...or several). Speculation is easy. Why didn't the writer simply ask me, 'Did your intelligence contacts 'encourage' you to support the Gulf Breeze sightings?' I would have answered...**NO**. Although they didn't try to advise me one way or another, their comments were more to the opposite, since they were skeptical of the sightings. **ERROR #15**

Oddly enough, the writer provides support for my claim in the previous sentence that my CIA contacts *didn't* encourage me to support the Gulf Breeze Sightings by stating that Pandolfi told 'others' that he considers Ed Walters to be a 'total fraud.' Not, <sup>only</sup> has he told others, he also said that to me. However, he pointed out that he has *no evidence* that Ed is a fraud.

ITEM 27: pg. 10, para. 7, sent. 2, 3: (Referring to the Guardian investigation by Maccabee and Oechsler) 'It *is not known* whether any Fund for UFO Research monies were expended in this investigation... again, *it is unknown* whether Fund for UFO Research monies have been expended.' In keeping with the 'slant' or bias throughout the paper, the writer publishes a simple question *for its value as innuendo or suggestion of wrongdoing*...a simple question which could have been answered before the publication by a simple phone call to the Chairman, Richard Hall, or to any of the other members of the Executive Board. The answer is **NO** (a thousand times, no).

ITEM 28: pg. 11, para. 2, last sentence: (referring to the Fund's non-support of the demonstration by Operation Right to Know) 'But given Dr. Maccabee's relationship with the CIA, the actual reasons for this opposition are in question.' As I have pointed out before, my 'relationship with the CIA' had no affect on the policy and decisions of the Fund for UFO Research.

ITEM 29: pg. 12, para. 3: In this paragraph it is suggested that my CIA connection had something to do with the decision to 'terminate' Larry Bryant's membership in the Executive Committee. **WRONG!** The decision was based on internal Executive Committee deliberations and my association with the CIA had nothing to do with it. In fact, *I was not in favor of terminating his membership.* **ERROR #16**

ITEM 30: pg. 12, para. 3: 'For some reason Bryant's request *angered the CIA.*' **WRONG!** Angered the CIA? What the hell would the CIA care about a request for FBIS reports *which are not classified* (as the AIR paper correctly reports)? I have no information that Bryant's request 'angered the CIA.' **ERROR #17**

ITEM 31: pg. 12, para. 3: '...Maccabee was scolded by Pandolfi' because of Bryant's FOI request. **WRONG!** He never mentioned it to me. **Error #18**

ITEM 32: pg. 12, para. 3: 'Bryant's action *'could jeopardize the Fund's relationship with the CIA.'*' **WRONG!** More misinformation. *There never was a 'Fund relationship with the CIA'* so Bryant's action could not jeopardize it. **ERROR #19**

ITEM 33: pg. 12, para. 5. This paragraph, entitled 'Maccabee Disinforms FUFOR' is more complete poppycock. First of all, 'disinformation' includes falsehood. Providing disinformation is different from providing incomplete information. It is true that I never told the Fund members everything about my CIA contacts. After all, most of my contacts were professionally related and they had no need to know.

I have ignored numerous other innuendos and 'truth-stretchers' because I don't want to end up writing a paper that is several times longer than the AIR itself. I think it is clear that the writers are not infallible and that if future papers are like this one, *LET THE READER BEWARE!*

4418 39th St.  
Brentwood, MD 20722  
August 5, 1993

To Whom it May Concern:

I have read the so-called AIR#1 report and Bruce Maccabee's reply entitled "Hot Air." Although W. Todd Zechel told me on the telephone that he had no control over some of the content of AIR#1, and that there is primarily one other person responsible for it, I agree that the paper bears all the earmarks of Zechel's work and clearly is a reiteration of his past writings. If there is another primary author, aside from being a total coward he or she apparently doesn't have an original thought in his or her head. I shall call him or her "Air-Head."

It must be emphasized that, other than Zechel's obvious role in it, the paper is totally anonymous and the list of alleged AIR members is totally fictitious. None of the people attacked personally in the paper or (like the Fund for UFO Research) smeared by it were afforded any opportunity to reply to the allegations in advance, nor is there an official author or address to which a rebuttal can be sent. The paper is full of half-truths, innuendo, and totally false statements. Nevertheless, it has been widely circulated with obvious intent to do harm to Bruce Maccabee and/or the Fund and so we must reply.

The fund is preparing its own separate statement, but I wish to go on record as stating that I have known Bruce Maccabee for over 25 years and have no reason to doubt his personal integrity. We disagree about the merits of some UFO cases that he has worked on, but disagreement and dialogue among colleagues is part of the scientific method. There is nothing devious or "evil" about the positions Bruce takes on a given case, despite insinuations by Air-Head. His position may be mistaken in some respects or even wrong, but who among us is perfect? He also may be right. That is for scientific research to determine.

Bruce is absolutely correct in stating that the Fund never has had a clandestine relationship or any other with the CIA, and it never will as long as I am Chairman. There is at worst "an appearance of impropriety" for Bruce, as past Chairman, to have given talks or information to parties in CIA, which he did as a private individual. When he mentioned some of his meetings with CIA people to us over the years, we cautioned him that it could cause a problem. However, I find his explanation of what his relationships were with some CIA personnel to be entirely credible and they had virtually nothing to do with the Fund.

At no time did the CIA have any influence over any decisions made by the Executive Committee or National Board of the Fund. Suggestions by Air-Head to the contrary do border on paranoia. As


he points out, Bruce had only one vote and in fact some of his ideas were voted down (some of mine have been voted down too). For any decision by the Fund to support any given project or to take any particular action, there is joint responsibility by the Executive Committee and, for major funding decisions or other fundamentally important matters, by the full 15-member National Board. The Board members are independent-minded people whose names and track records are known, not "tools of the CIA."

Finally, Bruce Maccabee served honorably as Chairman for 13 years providing important leadership to the Fund, and remains a respected member of the National Board. Whatever "mistakes" he may have made during that period may be attributable to human failings, but not to sinister plotting or devious behavior.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard Hall". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Richard Hall, Chairman  
Fund for UFO Research





"The guy creeps me out, Zeena. Sure, he looks like he's just minding his own business — but he always keeps that one eye on my house."




Interplanetary luggage mix-ups

ROBOTMAN




PAVLOV



BIZARRO



## Lockheed F-117A Stealth Fighter



### UFO believers fail to convince skeptical cop

PORTSMOUTH, N.H. (Reuter) — When police officer Kevin Semprini was given his assignment to cover a convention Sunday, he didn't know what he was in for — an encounter with 500 true believers in aliens, flying saucers and other unidentified flying objects.

"It's kind of bizarre," said Semprini, watching a slide show about a giant face on the surface of Mars a speaker said may have been carved by "ancient astronauts" from Earth or their Martian ancestors.

"I'd like to believe it... but," said the officer.

Semprini was one of the few skeptics in the crowd.

Many of the convention participants, gathered at a restaurant in Portsmouth for the 3rd Annual New Hampshire Mutual Unidentified Flying Object Network conference, embrace the theory mankind has blundered badly in caring for the Earth and aliens are coming to take charge.


Roberta Puhalski, 47, of Connecticut said she saw her first extraterrestrial in 1988. It was making noise in the hallway and woke her.

"As it approached, I looked out from my waterbed and saw the figure," said the part-time columnist for the New York City-based Space Newsletter.

"It had the huge head, very frail frame and overly extended arms," she said, adding it was similar to the creatures in the hit science fiction film *Close Encounters of the Third Kind*.

K-W Record Sept. 13/93

### Punch



© 1980 Punch Publs. Ltd.

Dist. L.A. Times Synd.

"I'll need your signatures on this. It's just a routine form—releasing us from any legal responsibility for your safety while aboard our craft."

# **UFO POTPOURRI**

John F. Schuessler, P.O. Box 58485, Houston, TX 77258-8485

No. 372

August 1993

## **UFO ABDUCTIONS AND THE FORENSIC SCIENTIST**

For a number of years the victims of UFO abductions have been frustrated in trying to prove what has happened to them during their abduction experience. At first, all they could do was find a friend that would provide support and then live with the trauma for the rest of their lives. More often than not that friend was a UFO investigator who was willing to put the time and energy into the case to document it for the historical record.

Soon the psychologists and other practitioners got into the act, offering regressive hypnosis as a tool for discovering what had happened by stripping away the blocking mechanisms that prevented the victims from understanding what had happened to them. These same practitioners were then in a good position to help the victims recover from the trauma and to live a normal life. Once the help was available, hundreds of abductees came forward to relate their experiences.

Now the abduction field is ready for another quantum leap in change. Forensic medicine and police forensic lab experts can provide the tools necessary to prove beyond a shadow of doubt whether or not the abductee has been in an alien environment or touched by their abductors (gray, nordic, big nose, or other).

These experts can do their job using either generous or miniscule samples taken from the skin, orifices, hair or clothes of the abductee.

For example, many abductees have told how they were immersed in or bathed with some type of fluid. The forensic scientist can work from a small skin sample to determine the type of fluid involved and the effects it would have on the

human organism.

Most abductees describe the environment inside the UFO where they have been taken against their will. Now the forensic scientist can work from sample of the clothing to identify all sorts of facts about that environment. That means it is necessary to place the clothing worn during the abduction in a sealed plastic bag as soon as possible after the event. In this way, particles from the UFO environment will be trapped and available for the researcher.

Some abductees, both male and female, report being forced to have sex with their alien partners. Now, the forensic scientist can help them in the same way a hospital rape lab does for the rape victim. It takes only a small sample of material from a mucus membrane to reveal a lot of information.

Just the fact that the alien touched the victim may be enough to reveal facts about the alien. Secretions from the alien's skin will be on the victim's skin and can be analyzed.

At time, the aliens touch various objects in the victims bedroom, car, shelter, etc. This mean that the forensic scientist could have a treasure trove of surfaces to examine for the alien's fingerprints. While they may not look like human prints, they will have a pattern and also will leave an invisible, but detectable residue.

In the future, when the abduction victim breaks out in an aggravating rash on various parts of his or her body as a result of having physical contact with the alien or a piece of furniture in the alien's environment, the forensic scientist may be able to analyze what is trapped in the pores of the victim's skin and determine the source of the rash. Perhaps this will lead to a treatment for the rash as well.

Abduction investigators need to shift their focus now to include the use of the tools of the forensic scientist. In this way, the needs of the victim may be best served.

NEWSLETTER NOTE:

THIS RELEASE WAS MAILED TO  
HUNDREDS OF NEWS SOURCES  
AND RESULTED IN ARTICLES...

PRESS RELEASE

\*\*\*\*\* FLASH!!! \*\*\*\*\*

...IN MAJOR NEWSPAPERS LIKE  
THE DENVER POST AND MANY RADIO  
AND TV GUEST SPOTS FOR BILL  
KNELL WHO EXPOSED THE TRUTH  
ABOUT THE NASA COVER-UP!

TO: PRINT / ELECTRONIC NEWS MEDIA

FROM: BILL KNELL, UFO INVESTIGATOR

DATE: AUGUST-SEPTEMBER, 1993 (WRITTEN 8/24/93)

THE UFO COVER-UP BY NASA:PROBES DESTROYED-DISABLED, ROCKETS DESTROYED, ALIEN ARTIFACTS HIDDEN!

On Tuesday, August 24, NASA announced that it had "lost touch" with its billion dollar MARS PROBE. This happened just as the probe was on its final approach to the angry red planet. This mirrors an event that occurred in 1989 when a Soviet Probe (Phobos II) approached Mars to take photos of the Mars moon PHOBOS. Phobos II was destroyed by unknown forces after it photographed a strange, cylindrical object directly under the Mars moon and at least as large.

Debate had surrounded the current NASA Mars Probe. A previous probe photographed what appeared to be a "face" or large stone structure that resembled a face in the Cydonia region of Mars. NASA at first called it "shadowing on the photo", later said it was a "natural geographical formation created by the odd Martian winds..." but had to admit there were at least three of these structures, all identical, on the planet, as well as what appeared to be pyramid-shaped objects nearby the one in the Cydonia region.

While first agreeing to use the current probe to re-photograph Cydonia for greater details, NASA quickly changed their minds two years ago saying it was "not a mission priority" and firing NASA Director RICHARD TRULEY (a former Astronaut) after he ordered it be made a mission priority. At launching of the probe last fall, NASA said the area would be photographed after all. But, the next day issued a statement declaring that ALL photos taken by the new Mars Probe would be sent back to NASA "encoded" or "scrambled" for the first time in the history of our space probe program so that only NASA engineers would be allowed reception and only "finished" photo arrays would be made available to the Press.

From the early days of NASA, Astronauts like JOHN GLENN, GORDON COOPER and NEAL ARMSTRONG have reported that their missions have been "dogged" by UFOs and UFO Sightings. Eyewitnesses to recent shuttle and Titan rocket launches have reported incidents of UFOs "appearing out of nowhere and following the spacecraft off the launching pads..." (NASA Consultant RICHARD HOAGLAND). Almost everytime this had occurred, a NASA spacecraft experienced problems (i.e.- FRIENDSHIP 7, APOLLO 13, SS CHALLENGER, recent probe and Titan Rocket launches).

NASA has always covered up these situations by declaring Astronauts to be suffering from "Space Sickness". Yet, in 1981 President Ronald Regan signed a series of NASA Regulations into effect which included the following: IF ANY AMERICAN CITIZEN COMES INTO CONTACT WITH AN EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL, HE OR SHE MAY BE ARRESTED BY THE DIRECTOR OF NASA, IMPRISONED WITHOUT BEING CHARGED WITH A CRIME AND WITHOUT RIGHT OF COUNSEL, ALL PERSONAL OR BUSINESS PROPERTIES MAY BE CONFISCATED AND NOTIFICATION (the right to notify anyone about the arrest) MAY BE DENIED.

NASA COVER-UPS continued...

In 1988 NASA came under harsh criticism for building a Spaceport at Vandenburg A.F.B. that "couldn't be used..." because it was "too small..." and didn't meet launch specifications for the Shuttle or high-powered rockets. The reported cost of the port (according to a 20/20 / ABC News release) was over 20,000,000,000 dollars! Yet, within a year of that report, witnesses living near or working at Vandenburg reported secret rocket and shuttle launches (Reporters should note the wide variance between the number of shuttle launches listed in official press releases and the number in releases to NASA contractors (like Honeywell, Sperry, etc.). These reports were answered by NASA as "misunderstood, nighttime rocket engine tests (According to NASA own records, no such nighttime tests were underway on the dates in question).

In March of 1989 during a Space Shuttle DISCOVERY mission, Mission Commander John Blauhah was giving a press conference from space. Suddenly, cameras were off and the Astronauts switched to the second, private radio channel to Mission Control. At that time, a ham radio operator from Maryland recorded the Commander saying, "HOUSTON, THIS IS DISCOVERY. WE STILL HAVE THE ALIEN SPACECRAFT UNDER OBSERVATION..." (I play this recording in my slide lectures).

In 1991 I was given a video of a series of what seemed to be strange objects near the shuttle including one which was filmed by Astronaut Mark Brown on Mission STS48 in 1991 of the Shuttle DISCOVERY. An object moved in toward Earth, stopped dead, then moved rapidly out into space after another stationary object seemed to fire something at it. NASA said this was the result of "ICE CRYSTALS moving across the window of the shuttle..." where the video was being photographed. But, a viewing of the entire tape clearly shows that the video was filmed not inside, but OUTSIDE the shuttle by Brown in the area of the cargo bay. When I appeared on FOX TV in Indianapolis on a news broadcast and mentioned this to a NASA Spokesperson over the phone live on TV, he said, "Well, it's ridiculous, it doesn't matter anyway because it's our job to debunk UFOs and even if the tape is real, that's what we'll continue to do!" He did not know he was on TV live over the phone.

In 1979 a BBC Documentary called ALTERNATIVE 3 was shown one time in England. Although scheduled for future airings and bought by PBS for their U.S. TV Network, it was never shown again. It spoke of a SECRET NASA space program that included cooperation with Russian and European Space Agencies. It mentioned secretive missions to Mars as early as 1965 and secret bases or probes on the moon! The documentary was banned in England and the U.S.!

In 1980 the ABC Show 20/20 was going to air a special about over twenty English scientists who all died mysteriously in less than a year after they (individually) spoke of a secret U.S./British Space Program publically. The piece was never aired despite announcements in TV guide and the network simply said they "couldn't fit it into the schedule..."

I will be presenting proof of NASA and other Governmental cover-ups in upcoming lectures in your area and will be available for live, in-studio GUEST SPOTS on FM MORNING SHOWS, AM TALK, TV NEWS and for PRINT INTERVIEWS. **The page to follow** gives more information about myself, my background and my research. I have been speaking about probe and rocket failures connected to UFOs for several years and these current events now prove me correct.

# SECRET AND SUPPRESSED BANNED IDEAS & HIDDEN HISTORY

EDITED BY JIM KEITH


MIND CONTROL • CULTS & CASUALTIES • THE DISINFORMATIONAL PLAGUE • ELITE CONTROLLERS


*My Father Is A Clone* by Gary Stollman • *An Open Letter to the Swedish Prime Minister From a Survivor of Electromagnetic Terror* by Robert Naeslund • *Remote Mind Control Technology* by Anna Keeler • *Is Paranoia A Form of Awareness?* by Kerry W. Thornley • *Sorcery, Sex, Assassination and the Science of Symbolism* by James Shelby Downard • *Subliminal Images in Oliver Stone's JFK* by Dean Grace • *The Masonic Ripper* by Jim Keith • *The Erotic Freemasonry of Count Nicholas von Zinzendorf* by Tim O'Neill • *Rumors, Myths and Urban Legends Surrounding the Death of Jim Morrison* by Thomas Lytle • *The Black Hole of Guyana* by John Judge • *Behold a Pale Horse: A Draft of Danny Casolaro's Octopus Manuscript Proposal* by Kenn Thomas • *Why Waco?* by Ken Fawcett • *Inside the Irish Republic Army* by Scott Smith • *Recipes for Nonsurvival: The Anarchist Cookbook* by Esperanze Godot • *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars* by "Anonymous" • *Secrets From the Vatican Library* by "The Franciscan" • *AIDS: Act of God or the Pentagon?* by G.J. Krupcy • Never-before-published material documenting Otto Skorzeny's Nazi International corporate terror and extortion racket • The state-of-the-art in genocidal video games • Transcripts of Reverend Jim Jones, Saddam Hussein and the voluble leader of AIPAC

320 pages • illustrated trade paperback • ISBN: 0-922915-14-8 • \$12.95

Mention where you saw this ad, and shipping (regularly \$1.75) is free.

FERAL HOUSE • PO BOX 3466 • PORTLAND, OR • 97208





*THE GATE is your entrance into the world of the paranormal. Each issue contains intriguing factual articles and an assortment of scientific and occult related newsclippings from around the world. A sample copy of THE GATE can be obtained by mailing \$2.00 to: P.O. Box 43516, Richmond Heights, Ohio 44143.*

# Unexplained phenomena

## Show shines light on mysterious 'X-Files'

By **GAIL PENNINGTON**  
St. Louis Post-Dispatch

"When convention and science offer no answers, might we not finally turn to the fantastic as a plausibility?"

Oxford-educated FBI agent Fox Mulder (David Duchovny), the hero of the engrossing new

### TV review

Fox series *X-Files* (8 p.m. Friday on Channel 26), asks that impatient question, and for good reason.

Mulder's attempts to shed light on the agency's X-files — "X" for unexplained phenomena — have met roadblocks at every turn. Now he's been assigned an unwanted partner, Dr. Dana Scully (Gillian Anderson), whose implied mission is to debunk his findings.

But as they investigate a series of eerie deaths in the Pacific Northwest (*Twin Peaks* country!), Scully's determination to accept "no answers beyond the realm of science" is soon undermined by events her intellect can't cope with.

She also warms up to Mulder (his nickname is "Spooky") as he sheds the chip on his shoulder and explains his obsession with the supernatural: When his sister was 8 years old, she disappeared from her bed without a trace. Under regression therapy, he remembers a bright light, a "presence" in the room, and being frozen as his sister screamed.

Some UFO clichés — bright lights, mysterious marks, lost



Gillian Anderson is Agent Dana Scully and David Duchovny is Agent Fox Mulder in the new Fox series *X-Files*, at 8 tonight on Channel 26.

time — turn up here, but intelligent writing and sharp plotting lift the series far above the standard for the genre. The lead characters have a quirky chemistry that, refreshingly, isn't built on the "squabble and kiss" standard.

*X-Files* is Fox's second suc-

cessful fall series targeting sophisticated adults. The first is its highly recommended lead-in, *The Adventures of Brisco County, Jr.*

Friday night is an unlikely time to find that audience at home, but Fox may be betting that the viewers it wants can set their VCRs.



# We're the UFO capital

It seems everytime Newfoundlanders think there are no surprises left for them another unexpected event emerges to top all previous occurrences.

We are now told by experts that Newfoundland is the UFO capital of Canada. This province has more UFO sightings than any other part of Canada.


According to Michael Strinic, national director of the Mutual UFO Network in Vancouver, several people from different parts of the province have reported seeing mysterious flying objects.

Reports of UFOs in this province date back to 1947. Some of the descriptions of these moving entities have been very credible.

Residents here have a theory as to why Newfoundland attracts so many UFOs. Ottawa, they say, has abandoned us and some planet from outer space, or a supernatural power, is preparing to take over. One of these days we may all wake up to discover strange aliens — with antennas sticking out of their tiny heads, eyes flashing and making weird sounds — have occupied Confederation Building.

Some will argue this will be an improvement over the crowd which occupies it now. They may not have antennas sticking out of their "swollen" heads, but quite often their faces are flush and eyes discolored and misty.

Seriously, though, with so many of our industries going down the tube and with the cod fish almost all gone, so many UFO sightings over Newfoundland could be a blessing in disguise. Can you imagine



the number of scientists, astronomers, star-gazers and just plain curiosity seekers that will flock here when they find out we are the UFO capital of Canada? If we promote this thing properly, Newfoundland could boom.

In the past, Newfoundland has always dwelt on its history and heritage to attract sympathy and attention. Maybe now we are entering a

period of new technology when, instead of training and retraining fishermen and plant workers for traditional trades, we should start training them for the three A's — astrology, astronomy and how to become astronauts.

We must never lose our sense of humor, so let's hope readers will accept the above comments in the spirit in which they are given.

*Steve Neary was born on Bell Island, Nfld., and currently lives in St. John's. His column reflects his life as an industrial worker, politician and former leader of the opposition. You can write to him at 16 Forde Dr., St. John's, Nfld., A1A 4Y1.*

## THE FAR SIDE

By GARY LARSON



"And one final warning before we begin the exam — any stray eyeballs will be immediately thumped."

## HERMAN



"Let me do the talking."

# UN urged to come clean on UFOs

UNITED NATIONS (Reuter) — Demonstrators marched near UN headquarters in New York City on Friday to demand action concerning visitors from outer space.

They want the United Nations to disclose information about unidentified flying objects, which they said is being covered up by many governments.


"We call upon the UN secretary general to end the worldwide UFO cover-up and acknowledge to the world's people that the UFO phenomenon is extraterrestrial," said one of their pamphlets.

The demonstration by about 35 demonstrators, organized by the New York Centre for UFO Research and Operation Right to Know, was held in

connection with a two-day UFO conference at a New York hotel.

In a recent letter to UN Secretary General Boutros Boutros-Ghali, the two organizations cited a 1978 decision by the General Assembly which invited interested member states to "take appropriate steps to co-ordinate on a national level scientific research and investigation into extraterrestrial life, including unidentified flying objects."

One of the demonstration's organizers, Mike Luckman, citing wars and environmental disasters plaguing Earth, said: "If the extraterrestrials ever landed, being from an advanced civilization, they could help us out in myriad ways."




FRANK & ERNEST

PARAPSYCHOLOGY CLINIC



PLEASE THINK OF A NUMBER AND BE SEATED



© 1987 by NEA, Inc. THAVES 11-12

# JUST



# CAUSE

Address:

CAUS  
P.O.Box 218  
Coventry, Ct. 06238

Rates: \$15 US, \$20 Foreign

Publisher: Lawrence Fawcett

Editor: Barry Greenwood

---

NUMBER 37

Citizens Against UFO Secrecy

September 1993

---

## LOST BLUE BOOK RECORDS SURFACE

CAUS has recently acquired a large assembly of Project Blue Book information not presently included in the National Archives holdings. Central to this are thirty-two rolls of microfilm of the Air Force's 1952 clipping service, a product of the upgrading of Blue Book activities by Captain Edward Ruppelt, former head of the project in 1952. An assortment of other rolls of film and hardcopy relating to Project Sign (a predecessor to Blue Book) and the 1960s Condon Committee round out the holding.

We are indebted to Professor Herbert Strentz, a former research associate with the University of Colorado's Condon Committee, for making the information available.

The story behind the acquisition is that sometime during the summer of 1967 Strentz paid a visit to Project Blue Book headquarters at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, along with either Condon staffers Norman Levine, Roy Craig or David Saunders (he could not remember which at this late date). During a meeting with Colonel Hector Quintanilla, then head of Blue Book, it was discovered that Blue Book personnel were in the process of house cleaning and throwing out some "unnecessary material." Quintanilla asked the Colorado staffers if they wanted any of it. They asked Strentz, who said yes since at the time he was engaged in research on UFO press coverage for his doctoral dissertation. This research was eventually published as "A Survey of Press Coverage of Unidentified Flying Objects, 1947-1966," circa 1970 (Arcturus Books, 1982).

Saved from oblivion by Strentz were thirty-two rolls of 35mm film of the April to September 1952 press clipping coverage; two rolls of public letters reacting to the April 7, 1952 Life magazine article, "Have We Visitors from Outer Space?"; reels 1,7,8,9 of Project Sign summaries (microfilm produced by the Air Force for circulation to scientists for analysis and comment). These summaries cover cases 1-72, then 172-237 in the Sign records. They have not been sanitized as far as we can tell; two rolls titled "Varied UFO, First Part" and "1965 El Toro." These rolls appear to have been prepared for the Condon Committee and deal with high-interest cases of the 1950s-60s. The "El Toro" reference concerns the famous Rex Heflin photo from Santa Ana, California; one roll of what seems to be a newsreel film titled "Camera Headlines" by Telenews. The film is a 35mm negative showing what appears to be Air Force officers entering a

plane carrying a box. The scene then switches to outside shots while the plane is in flight. Nothing overtly peculiar is visible.

After weeding out a few other duplicate rolls, we have about 32,000 pages of 1952 UFO press coverage and 8,000 pages of other records from the early years of UFO history. The material should have been part of the National Archives UFO holdings had it not become separated in 1967. Thanks to Professor Strentz' foresight it is preserved for posterity.

In his book, *The Report on Unidentified Flying Objects* (Doubleday, 1956), Captain Edward Ruppelt described the clipping service several times:

Pgs.136-7: "Back in March (1952, Ed.), when it had become apparent that the press was reviving its interest in UFOs, I had suggested that Project Blue Book subscribe to a newspaper clipping service. Such a service could provide several things. First, it would show us exactly how much publicity the UFOs were getting and what was being said, and it would give us the feel of the situation. Then it would also provide a lot of data for our files. In many cases the newspapers got reports that didn't go to the Air Force. Newspaper reporters rival any intelligence officer when it comes to digging up facts, and there was always the possibility that they would uncover and print something we'd missed. This was especially true in the few cases of hoaxes that always accompany UFO publicity. Last, it would provide us with material on which to base a study of the effect of newspaper publicity upon the number and type of UFO reports."

"Colonel Dunn liked the idea of the clipping service, and it went into effect soon after the first publicity had appeared. Every three or four days we would get an envelope full of clippings. In March the clipping service was sending the clippings to us in letter-sized envelopes. The envelopes were thin - maybe there would be a dozen or so clippings in each one. Then they began to get thicker and thicker, until the people who were doing the clipping switched to using manila envelopes. Then the manila envelopes began to get thicker and thicker. By May we were up to old shoe boxes. The majority of the newspaper stories in the shoe boxes were based on material that had come from ATIC."

Pg.139: "In June the big flap hit - they began to deliver clippings in big cardboard cartons."

The service was dropped, Ruppelt explained, because Blue Book ran out of room to keep them all. The clipping service then disappeared into history. Because the clips did not shift over to the National Archives with the Blue Book records in 1976, the originals were very likely destroyed, but before that the entire lot was transferred to microfilm as early as December 1952. We know this because the microfilm boxes bear a label "Develop Before December 1952." They were kept at Blue Book for the next fifteen years until their release to Strentz. For the record, this is how the boxes were labeled:

- |                                   |                         |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1) May June/24 July               | 8) 28 July              |
| 2) 19 June/ 15-23 Sept.           | 9) 29 July              |
| 3) 1-15 July                      | 10) 29-30 July          |
| 4) 16-19 July, Nash Fort. 19 July | 11) 29 July/ 14-15 Aug. |
| 5) 23-24 July                     | 12) 30 July             |
| 6) 25 July                        | 13) 30 July             |
| 7) 25-27 July/ 15-18 Sept.        | 14) 30-31 July          |

15) 31 July  
16) 31 July  
17) Aug 52  
18) 2 Aug  
19) 2-3 Aug  
20) 3-4 Aug  
21) 5-6 Aug


22) 6-7 Aug  
23) 7-8 Aug  
24) 9 Aug  
25) 8-19 Aug  
26) 10-12 Aug  
27) 13-14 Aug  
28) 23 Aug

29) 24-31 Aug  
30) mixed dates Aug  
31) 2-13 Sept  
32) 19 July

The Project Sign case summaries were notable as well due to the fact that CAUS had sought them for several years, getting nowhere even though we had microfilm numbers for the records. Now we know why they were unavailable -- some of the reels were given to Strentz, the rest may have been destroyed in simple house cleaning by the Air Force.

A bonus was included with the microfilm release. Professor Strentz also added another clipping collection, one of a group in the possession of the Condon Committee from a private collection, and used in Strentz' dissertation. This spread of 4,000 clips covers 1961-66.

Government UFO records are not found in large quantities anymore, particularly ones which had become separated from the owning agency. We continue to seek and unearth these early materials.



## United States

# Bubble bursts

## Crew of eight has cabin fever after two years in biosphere

ORACLE, Ariz. (AP) — Two years ago, Jane Poynter vowed she'd have to be dragged out of Biosphere 2, the sealed world she was entering with seven other people to live an experiment in ecological self-sufficiency.

But, when the airlocks open on Sept. 26, Poynter says she and the others will be more than ready to re-join the world after a stay punctuated by controversy, occasional hunger and achievement.

"This has been a long haul," Poynter said in a recent telephone interview from inside the dome. "For sure, we all suffered from cabin fever."

The futuristic, privately financed project has been accused of hucksterism, scientific amateurism and outright deception in the much-publicized test of a prototype space colony.


But backers and some outside scientists say the \$150-million experiment succeeded in its main mission — keeping eight people alive for two years, growing most of their own food and recycling water, waste and most of the air.

"We really didn't know if it was going to work," said Poynter, who managed the tiny unit. "It wasn't until we sealed this up entirely, we lived in here for a while and we saw: 'Boy, this does work!'"

Biosphere 2's glass-and-steel dome encloses a tiny rain forest, desert, ocean and savannah in a replica of Biosphere 1 — the Earth. The varied "biomes," stocked with 3,800 species of plants and animals, are supposed to work together to create a self-contained, balanced atmosphere like that in the real world.

The four women and four men of the crew had unlimited phone contact with the outside world but said in recent telephone interviews that they can't wait to see family and friends and sample some long-denied food and drink.

Botanist Linda Leigh isn't looking forward to the outside world's daily irritants, such as noise, pollution



Eight people have lived inside Biosphere 2, located near Tucson, Ariz., for two years.

Associated Press

and driving. But friends and food are another matter.

"I love coffee, and love to sit down for a cup with a friend," Leigh said.

Dr. Roy Walford figures he'll celebrate with a glass of good scotch.

The crew members, all single, were each assigned a two-room apartment. They won't discuss in-the-bubble romances or sleeping arrangements.

Space Biospheres Ventures is already planning a second one-year stay in the dome, which is intended partly as a lab to study the Earth's environment.

The company, backed largely by Fort Worth, Texas, oil billionaire Edward Bass, plans to name a team soon and close them in around late

February, after a survey of plants and animals.

The mini-world sustained its crew, and most of the plants and animals are thought to have survived. But there were problems.

The atmosphere got quickly out of balance and never was put right.

Oxygen, which makes up 21 per cent of the Earth's air at sea level, declined steadily, and was down to 14.5 per cent by early this year.


That left the crew breathing air as thin as that atop a 4,000-metre mountain, so members tired easily and had trouble sleeping. Some had to breathe pure oxygen at night.

Carbon dioxide is found at 360 parts per million in the Earth's atmosphere, but reached more than

4,000 parts per million in the biosphere during a spell of prolonged cloudy weather. The level settled around 2,000 this summer — high, but well below the federal workplace safety limit.

The farm failed to produce up to expectations, a development sponsors blame on pests and unexpectedly cloudy weather over the dome set in desert foothills 55 kilometres north of Tucson.

Instead of growing all their food, the dome's inhabitants ended up producing just 80 per cent. They made up the difference by eating crops grown and stocked inside Biosphere before it was sealed and by eating some items, like kidney beans, that had been intended for seed but hadn't grown well.



*"And yet another headache for the Immigration and Naturalization Service!"*