

In this issue



History of UFO sightings in Turkey, Part 2, by Esen Sekerkarar, p. 9.

Engineers plan invisibility shields, p. 6.

What's new with alien implants, by Dr. Roger Leir, p. 7.

MUFON Forum featuring the Peter Jennings program on ABC—Budd Hopkins, Bruce Maccabee; also angel hair—Mark Isganitis, Phyllis Budinger, p. 13.

UFO Press, p. 14.

An update from Walt Andrus, p. 19.

Calendar, p. 22.

UFO Marketplace, p. 23.

Columns

Director's Message	2
Filer's Files	17
Stan Friedman	20
McLeod's Night Sky	24

April 2005

No. 444

\$4.00

MUFON

Mutual UFO Network

UFO JOURNAL



Veteran researcher Preston Dennett presents the first nine of his 20 best cases from California, beginning on Page 3.

April 2005

Number 444

MUFON UFO Journal

(USPS 002-970)
(ISSN 0270-6822)

Mutual UFO Network
Post Office Box 369
Morrison, CO 80465-0369
Tel: 303-932-7709
Fax: 303-932-9279

International Director
John F. Schuessler, M.S.

Editor:
Dwight Connelly, M.S.
14026 Ridgelawn Road
Martinsville, IL 62442
Tel: (217) 382-4502
mufonufojournal@hotmail.com

Advertising Director:
John F. Schuessler, M.S.

Columnists:
Gavin A. J. McLeod
George Filer, M.B.A.
Jenny Randles
Stanton Friedman, M.S.


MUFON on the Internet:
<http://www.mufon.com>

MUFON e-mail address:
mufonhq@aol.com

MUFON Amateur Radio Net:
40 meters - 7.237 MHz
Saturdays, 7 a.m. CST or CDST

Director's Message


By John F. Schuessler



MUFON UFO Journals now on CD ROM

All issues of the *MUFON UFO Journal* and *Skylook* from 1967 through 2004 are now available on CD ROM. Each CD contains one full year of the journals, and is keyword searchable.

They are available from M U F O N Headquarters for \$19.95 plus \$2.50 p&h each. The full set (37 years) of Journals is available on CD for \$249. Also, paper copies of many of the back issues are still available.



John Schuessler

**MUFON Symposium
Proceedings now on CD ROM**
All MUFON International UFO Symposium Proceedings books from 1971 through 2004 are now available on CD ROM.

Each book is available on a separate keyword searchable CD. Each CD from 1971 through 2003 is available from MUFON Headquarters for \$12.95 plus

\$2.50 p&h. The price for the most recent year, 2004, is \$25 plus \$2.50 p&h, the same as the book version. A complete set of the proceedings books is also available on CD for \$199. Paper copies of many of the back issues are still available for purchase.

UFO Awareness Month

MUFON has designated the month of July as "UFO Awareness Month."

In preparation for awareness activities, MUFON members and groups are urged to purchase UFO Awareness T-shirts from MUFON Headquarters. The shirts have the MUFON logo and "UFO Awareness" on the left front and the words "Others talk, we investigate..." on the back. White shirts with black letters are available for \$15.00 in the U.S. and \$19.00 outside the U.S. Black shirts with white letters are available for \$18.00 in the U.S. and \$22.00 outside the U.S. Reduced prices are available for large quantity orders.

MUFON Mission and Goals

A member recently inquired about MUFON's mission and goals. He said he missed it the last time it was published in the *Journal*. The MUFON Business Board has worked diligently to

(Continued on page 22)

Change of address and subscription/extra copies inquiries should be sent to MUFON, P.O. Box 369, Morrison, CO 80465-0369.

Copyright 2005 by the Mutual UFO Network. All Rights Reserved

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form without the written permission of the Copyright Owners. Permission is hereby granted to quote up to 200 words of any one article, provided the author is credited, and the statement, "Copyright 2005 by the Mutual UFO Network, P.O. Box 369, Morrison, CO 80465-0369" is included.

The contents of the *MUFON UFO Journal* are determined by the editor, and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the Mutual UFO Network. Opinions expressed are solely those of the individual authors and columnists, and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the editor or staff of MUFON.

The Mutual UFO Network, Inc. is exempt from Federal Income Tax under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. MUFON is a publicly supported organization of the type described in Section 509 (a) (2). Donors may deduct contributions from their Federal Income Tax. Bequests, legacies, devises, transfers, or gifts are also deductible for estate and gift purposes, provided they meet the applicable provisions of Sections 2055, 2106, and 2522 of the Internal Revenue Code. MUFON is a Texas nonprofit corporation.

The *MUFON UFO Journal* is published monthly by the Mutual UFO Network, Inc., Morrison, CO. Second Class postage paid at Versailles, MO.

Individual Membership: \$45/year U.S., \$55 outside the U.S.

Family members: \$10 per person additional

Student (18 years and under): \$35 U.S. and \$45 outside the U.S.

Donor: \$100/year. Professional: \$250/year. Patron: \$500/year

Benefactor (Lifetime Member): \$1,000

First class Journal delivery (in envelopes) U.S. and Canada only: \$12/year additional

Air Mail Journal delivery to all other countries outside the United States: \$35/year additional

Postmaster: Send form 3579 to advise change of address to: *MUFON UFO Journal*, P.O. Box 369, Morrison, CO 80465-0369

MUFON's mission is the scientific study of UFOs for the benefit of humanity through investigation, research, & education.

The twenty all-time best cases from California

By Preston Dennett

In 1995, veteran newspaper reporter Bob Teets published his excellent book, *West Virginia UFOs*. This was the first book ever to present a state's UFO history. Teets, I realized, had uncovered an unfilled niche in the UFO literature.

I have been a California-based UFO investigator for almost seventeen years. After investigating hundreds of UFO cases across the state, and writing four UFO books, I decided that I was finally ready.

I am now pleased to announce that *UFOs Over California: A True History of Extraterrestrial Encounters in the Golden State* has just been released by Schiffer Publishing. [See review in this issue.]


California has several unique features that make it stand out from other states. First, it is the most crowded state, with an estimated population of 40 million. It is also the nation's wealthiest state, and is a leader in aerospace and defense, computer technology, oil production, lumber, agriculture, entertainment, and tourism.

California is the leading producer of UFO reports in the United States. In 1977 J. Allen Hynek conducted a study of all the unidentified Blue Book cases. California headed the list with fifty-one cases, or 9.9% of the total number of U.S. reports.

More recently, in 2003, the National UFO Reporting Center (NUFORC) listed more than 2800 California UFO reports, nearly twice the number of the second leading state of Washington, and nearly three times the third leading state of Texas. For whatever reason, UFOs find California very attractive.

With its rich UFO history, it is not surprising that California has had a strong influence in the evolution of ufology.

After having studied several thousand Golden State encounters, I have come



Number 14: The Camarillo sighting.

up with a top twenty list of what are in my opinion *among* California's most significant and influential UFO events. Some of the cases are well known, others are probably obscure except to UFO researchers.

Each one, however, represents an important chapter in not only California's UFO history, but in that of the world. Each has added to our knowledge or understanding of UFOs, has changed the way we view UFOs, or has contributed to the popularization of the subject.

Here are California's top twenty UFO cases. Each of the cases has been summarized because of space considerations.

Number twenty: The Cisco Grove robot encounter

On Labor Day weekend in 1965, three hunters in Cisco Grove had a unique encounter with a UFO and its occupants. It may be the earliest authenticated California case involving a robotic UFO occupant.

The main witness, Donald Smythe, became separated from his two companions after a long day of hunting and became lost. When he saw a light darting back and forth above him, he assumed a helicopter had been sent to rescue him.

Instead, the object swooped down and hovered silently overhead. Smythe realized it was a UFO and took refuge

in a nearby tree. At this point, three figures exited the craft.

Two figures were five feet tall and dressed in silvery-gray material. The third figure appeared to be robotic.

Then followed an all-night-long ordeal in which the UFO occupants remained at the bottom of the tree, sending up clouds of noxious fumes. Smythe lost consciousness several times, and in the morning the figures and craft were gone.

Smythe's story was not without corroboration. Upon re-uniting with his friends, one of the hunters revealed that he also saw a "bright, large light" swoop down at low altitude and dart across the sky.

All three also remarked on the coincidence that the government was already in the area looking for an alleged "meteorite." Finally, Smythe's wife saw him immediately after the incident and confirmed that Smythe was badly traumatized and covered with scratches.

Smythe reported his case to the Air Force, which suggested that he was the victim of a prank.

The case electrified the UFO community. Coral Lorenzen calls it, "The most spectacular report I have ever examined."

Ted Bloecher and Paul Cerny also conducted an onsite investigation. Writes Cerny, "There is absolutely no doubt in my mind that this incident is factual and authentic."

Number nineteen: Ronald Reagan sees UFO

California is home to many celebrities, and it was only a matter of time before some of them came forward with their accounts of seeing a UFO. Today, many have come forth, including Sammy Davis Jr., Chad Everett, Jill Ireland, Cliff Robertson, and others.

Among the most prominent of these is President Ronald Reagan. The sighting occurred when he was governor of California.

Says Reagan's pilot, Bill Paynter, "We were near Bakersfield when Governor Reagan and the others called my attention to a big light flying a bit behind my plane. It appeared to be several hundred yards away."

As they watched, the mysterious object quickly accelerated and disappeared in the distance. Reagan later confirmed

the details to *Wall Street Journal* reporter Norman Miller.

While Reagan never publicly discussed his sighting, it may have been the spark that ignited his interest in the subject. On several occasions, he made enigmatic statements that seemed to reveal his strong interest in UFOs—quotes that are now famous in UFO history.

Said Reagan in 1985, "If suddenly there were a threat to this world from some other species from another planet, we'd forget all the little local differences that we have between our two countries, and we would find out once and for all that we really are all human beings on the Earth together."

Number eighteen: The Bluff Creek encounter

Some of the many odd patterns of UFO behavior are typified in the following extensive encounter which began in early April, 1953.

The two main witnesses, John Black and John Van Allen, were working at their titanium mine outside of Bluff Creek when they both separately observed a "metallic saucer" hovering over the area of the mine.

Over the next few weeks, the object returned on four occasions. Then, on April 20, Black observed the craft from a distance of only a quarter mile. It was now obvious to the men that the UFO was very interested in their mine.

Exactly one month later, on May 20, Black returned to the mine and saw the now familiar saucer hovering only a few hundred feet high. It quickly took off and disappeared.

Black approached the area where it had hovered and found several small five-inch footprints.

Then exactly one month later, again on June 20, Black was outside the mine when he saw what he thought was a small child with a bucket.

Upon approach, however, he saw that the large saucer had landed on a nearby sandbar.

He examined the figure and realized it wasn't a child, but instead appeared to be an unusual-looking small man wearing unusual clothes and carrying a cone-shaped bucket. The small man heard Black approach and quickly ran into the saucer, which promptly took off.

And so began one of the strangest chapters in UFO history. Black alerted the local sheriff, and the story was somehow leaked to the press. Because the saucer had appeared on schedule three months in a row, everyone was predicting a July 20 landing.

More than 200 people arrived on the scene, including local residents, newspaper reporters, cameramen, and saucer enthusiasts. Snack bars and chairs were set up as if the event were a circus performance.

Unfortunately, the UFO never showed up, and the Brush Creek ordeal came to a sudden end.

The case was investigated by several major researchers, including Gray Barker and Paul Spade, both of whom were actually jailed by the Brush Creek Sheriff's station when they tried to conduct a stakeout for the saucer. Today the case is considered a classic in UFO history.

Number seventeen: pilot's near-miss with UFO

On April 14, 1954, United Airlines Flight 193 was forced to make an emergency landing after a near-miss with a UFO over the city of Long Beach.

Says Capt. Schidel, "It appeared so suddenly, it was as if it was flying dark and had just turned its navigation lights on...it was in sight just two seconds and made no movement to avoid me."

To avoid the object, Capt. Schidel was forced to maneuver the jet into a sudden steep climbing turn. The emergency turn caused havoc in the passenger cabin.

One passenger was thrown from his seat and broke his left leg. A stewardess lost her balance and fractured her ankle.

According to researcher Donald Keyhoe, "Air force intelligence moved quickly to keep the story quiet." Nevertheless, the press found out, and the case became a media sensation.

The captain's sterling reputation, the fact that people were injured as a result of the encounter, and the fact that the mysterious aircraft was not in touch with any airport control towers all combined to give the case a high level of credibility.

Today the case is considered a classic in the annals of airplane-UFO encounters.

Sixteen: The case of Melinda Leslie

There are an estimated thousand or more recorded UFO abductions coming from California. Office manager, Melinda Leslie is like most abductees.

She experienced early childhood visitations, and has been abducted regularly throughout her life. However, at first she was unaware that she was an abductee.

She became suddenly interested in UFOs in 1987 after visiting Area 51. Then, examining her past, she slowly realized that she had been having experiences her whole life.

A missing time encounter in 1989 erased any denials that she was an abductee.

Since then, she has experienced the entire range of UFO phenomenon, including medical examinations, emotional testing, baby presentations, warnings of future disasters, and harassment from what appears to be the U.S. military.

In July, 1991, Leslie and two friends experienced an abduction while driving along the remote Angeles Crest Highway outside Los Angeles. All three witnesses had full or partial recall of the onboard segment and were able to corroborate each other's accounts, making it one of the few multiple witness abductions on record.

She has also had many other conscious encounters, and has explored many of her memories with hypnotic regression.

While Leslie's case is among the most extensive and complicated of all recorded California abductees, it is otherwise pretty typical.

Melinda Leslie, however, is different from most other abductees in one particular way: she has gone public with her experiences.

In fact, she is one of California's best known abductees, and has remained a permanent fixture on the lecture and media circuit.

In talking about her experiences and publicizing the abduction phenomenon, she has contributed greatly to public education of the subject.

Her willingness and ability to interact with all aspects of the public media has helped many people gain a better understanding of what it means to be a UFO abductee.

Fifteen: The case of Morgana Van Klausen

The Morgana Van Klausen (pseudonym) case is well known among southern California investigators. In most respects, the case is typical.

Starting in December, 1986, the mother and son began experiencing regular visitations by gray-type ETs in their southern California home. The husband was skeptical at first, until he too received a visitation.

In the next two decades, the Van Klausens experienced numerous close-up sightings and missing-time encounters. What makes the case so compelling, however, is the physical evidence.

Besides multiple witness testimony and landing trace evidence, on one occasion Morgana Van Klausen was healed as the result of a UFO encounter. It is this that makes the case unique.

As revealed by investigator Bill Hamilton, Van Klausen was diagnosed with a "non-cystic mass" in her left breast. The evening before she was to have the tumor removed, Van Klausen experienced a visitation.

She went to the hospital the next day and was shocked to find that all traces of the tumor had disappeared. Writes Hamilton, "Both the radiologist and the surgeon confirmed that the previous diagnosis had revealed a solid mass, and that solid masses just don't disappear."

Hamilton has obtained the before and after X-rays. This kind of medical evidence is very rare, and makes this case outstanding in terms of proof of UFO reality.

Fourteen: The Camarillo sightings

In late November and early December of 1996, a UFO appeared over the city of Camarillo for six consecutive days. The first sightings took place on Nov. 28 and 29.

Local Ventura MUFON investigators heard about the initial sightings, and knowing that UFOs often appear on consecutive days, they quickly organized an on-site investigation.

On Dec. 2, MUFON field investigator Alice Leavy arrived on site with several other investigators. To their amazement, the UFO showed up right on schedule. The researchers clearly observed a "small spherical object" hovering in place.

As they observed with binoculars and

filmed with video camera and still cameras, the object turned red and ejected a smaller object. The larger object then broke up into several smaller objects which promptly disappeared.

Throughout the entire ordeal, the witnesses continued to maintain detailed photographic records. While the video camera didn't have the resolution to capture the object, the telephoto still photos did.

Whitley Strieber calls the case "one of the best documented sightings in recent United States history... I have seen some of the still photos and can verify their remarkable combination of clarity and impossibility. Being that the object's actions were witnessed by a half-dozen witnesses, both through binoculars and the naked eye, it is hard to maintain a case that they are anything but unexplained."

What makes this case unique and important is the fact that several MUFON investigators converged on the scene and not only had sightings themselves, but obtained comprehensive photographic evidence. This may be the only case of its kind in California history.

Number thirteen: The LAX sightings

The Los Angeles Airport is one of the largest and busiest airports in the entire world, shuttling millions of people across the globe. Unknown to many people, it is also the location of an on-going series of UFO encounters.

While UFO-airport encounters are not uncommon in the literature, the LAX sightings are particularly dramatic and consistent. They began in the early 1950s and continue to the present day.

The first recorded sighting was on July 15, 1952. For four days, dozens of witnesses observed UFOs approach to within a quarter mile of the control towers.

Other dramatic sightings continued on a regular basis, including 1966, 1973, 1992, 1995, 1997, 2002, and 2003. The sightings involve dozens of witnesses, including MUFON investigator Mark Hunziker, videotape footage, and possible radar evidence.

The 1992 sighting was printed in the usually skeptical magazine *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, which said in part, "The pilot and co-pilot...said they saw

an unusual aircraft coming directly at them and pass under the 747 by an estimated 500-1000 feet...the several second sighting gave the crew the impression that the other aircraft was a lifting-body configuration, and they described it as looking like the forward fuselage of a Lockheed SR-17....Defense Department and Air Force officials said last week that it was not one of their secret projects.”

The LAX encounters show that UFOs display a strong interest in our aviation technology, can easily evade our fastest aircraft, and sometimes have little fear of being observed.

The conclusion is undeniable: California's largest airport is under extraterrestrial surveillance. This fact alone should give rise to serious concerns in regards to airport security.

Number twelve: An underwater UFO base?

While the majority of UFOs are seen either flying in the sky or landed on the ground, a small portion of accounts involve ocean-going UFOs. Sometimes these craft are seen to actually enter or emerge from the ocean itself.

Underwater UFOs are relatively rare, except for one location—a small stretch of coastline off southern California known as the Santa Catalina Channel. North from Santa Barbara down south to Long Beach, the waters seem to be thick with UFOs.

In fact, this section of coastline has produced dozens of cases. The sightings reach back to the 1940s and continue to the present day.

The first reported California underwater UFO was on July 7, 1947, when two San Raphael teenagers saw a “flat glistening object” emerge from the water, fly around, and then dive back into the water 400 yards from shore.

Throughout this same year, numerous steamers reported a mysterious “undersea mountain” or a “large mass underwater” which kept appearing and disappearing in various locations in the San Francisco Bay and down the coast.

Following this, the sightings came regularly, in 1951, 1954, 1955, 1957, 1962, 1964, 1970, 1980, 1990, 1991, 1993, 2004...the list goes on, most from the Santa Catalina channel.

The sightings involve many highly credible witnesses, including lifeguards,

security guards, law enforcement officials, military officers, and countless citizens. Numerous southern California-based investigators have uncovered sightings from this area, including Bill Hamilton, Yvonne Smith, Ann Druffel, myself, and others.

Writes Ann Druffel, “The area has for at least thirty years been the scene of UFO reports of all kinds—surface sightings of hazy craft which cruise leisurely in full view of military installa-

tions, aerial spheres bobbing in oscillating flight, gigantic cloud-cigars, and at least one report of an underwater UFO with uninformed occupants.”

While several theories have been raised to account for this high concentration of reports, the most popular is that there is some type of underwater UFO base off the California coast. In any case, the area is one of California's most active UFO hotspots.

[Continued next month.]

Invisibility shields planned by engineers

By James Owen

for *National Geographic News*

In popular science fiction, the power of invisibility is readily apparent. *Star Trek* fans, for example, know that the devious Romulans could make their spaceships suddenly disappear.

But is the idea really so implausible? Not according to new findings by scientists who say they have come up with a way to create a cloaking device.

Electronic engineers at the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia are researching a device they say could make objects “nearly invisible to an observer.”

The contrivance works by preventing light from bouncing off the surface of an object, causing the object to appear so small it all but disappears.

The concept was reported by the science news website news@nature.com. It says the proposed cloaking device would not require any peripheral attachments (such as antennas or computer networks) and would reduce visibility no matter what angle at which an object is viewed.

The concept is based on a “plasmonic cover,” which is a means to prevent light from scattering. (It is light bouncing off an object that makes it visible to an observer).

The cover would stop light from scattering by resonating at the same frequency as the light striking it. If such a device could cope with different wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation (including visible light), in theory, the object would vanish into thin air.

Alú and Engheta investigated experimental plasmonic covers that incorporated metals, such as gold and silver, to hide visible light.

When light strikes a metallic material, waves of electrons, called plasmons,

are generated. The engineers found that when the frequency of the light striking the material matched the frequency of the plasmons, the two frequencies act to cancel each other out.

Under such conditions, the metallic object scattered only negligible amounts of light.

The researchers' studies show that spherical and cylindrical objects coated with plasmonic shielding material produce very little light scattering.

These objects, when hit by the right wavelength of light, were seen to become so small that they were almost invisible.

The study is supported by the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, which researches and develops cutting edge military technology.

Some experts note, however, that cloaking devices that could enable military vehicles and aircraft, let alone spaceships, to become completely invisible to the enemy are likely to remain elusive for the foreseeable future.

John Pendry, the Imperial College physicist, said that light-shielding covers would have to be customized to match the properties of each and every object they hide.

It would be still more difficult to devise shields that could cope with all wavelengths of the visible spectrum—from red to violet light—and not just a single color.

Types of invisibility shielding previously proposed by scientists depend on advanced camouflage systems, rather than objects being made to look undetectably tiny.

Such systems involve light sensors that create a mirror image of the background scene on the viewed side of the concealed object.

What's new with alien implants?

By Dr. Roger Leir

What's new? This is the question I have been asked more than any other. The answer is more complex than one might imagine.

Since my first venture into performing surgery for the removal of strange objects from those individuals who allege alien abduction in 1995, my life has changed dramatically.

I have found there is not enough time in the day to continue a full-time medical practice, tend to problems of my aging body, raise a 14-year-old daughter, have some sort of a personal life, and manage to do research in the field of alien implants.

Despite this, I have continued as a podiatric surgeon and to collect physical evidence in the alien abduction phenomena.

To date we have performed 11 surgeries for the removal of objects from alleged abductees. These 11 surgeries have netted 12 objects, one of which had no scientific merit.

The others have all undergone analysis by a myriad of well-known laboratories, and the results have been quite mind-blowing. It should be understood that at this point the laboratory research is incomplete. There is much more that can be learned from these objects if further tests were performed.

Why has this work not been done? The answer is simple. There are not enough funds to approach the laboratories directly and have them do the work. I have refused to do so-called "backdoor research."


I am grateful for what has been done so far on our shoestring budget. I have formed a legal entity, A&S Research, which is a 501(C 3) non-profit entity, in hopes that grants and donations would be forthcoming. Sadly to say, so far this has not been the case.

Most of my research has been paid from my own pocket and from a few donations, coupled with the money I have earned from the entertainment industry by licensing and appearing in television productions. Also the sale of my books and DVD's has helped.

What's new? In addition to the surgi-

cal samples we have removed for scientific study, we have now accepted some additional samples from outside sources, which are reliable and have a detailed chain of evidence.

One specimen is from our own organization, MUFON. These samples are now also out for analyses, and I wait with great patience as the wheels of laboratory research grind away, with expectations the results will be forthcoming shortly.



Dr. Roger Leir

How does all this stand with the UFO community in general? Interestingly enough, most seem overjoyed when I can produce data showing scientific anomalies.

However there are certain percentages who are extremely upset when they read mundane findings. I really doubt if there is a scientific researcher in any field who comes up with a record of all anomalous data.

Looking at the past findings on the metallic samples, one of the most important anomalies involves magnetic properties of iron metals, which on x-ray diffraction show the iron to be amorphous.

It has been shown to me by a small number of scientists working in the so-called "secret military science field" that we do not have the knowledge to reproduce these magnetic amorphous metals.

In addition, when we find non-terrestrial isotopic ratios in objects coming from people who allege alien abduction suggests these individuals are telling the truth about their experiences. I could go on and on illustrating the unusual physical and chemical anomalies we have found so far.

Still there are those who sit in their comfortable chairs at home and hop on the Internet to criticize me for not having my articles peer reviewed. This is a valid criticism, but if those who render such criticism do not offer a methodology for getting this done, then perhaps

they should refrain from their discouraging, non-productive remarks.

It is certainly not due to lack of effort, as I have diligently worked toward that very end. A sterling example might be the research performed on the Gary Lowery "claw" sample.

Working with one of the world's leading geneticists and the National Institute for Discovery Science, we moved to within a few short days of getting a peer-reviewed article printed in a genetic journal.

Fortunately for us, a last-minute newly-designed genetic replication procedure produced data that proved the "claw" was not a claw at all, but rather a slug. The story does not end there, but the point is that the article never appeared in any peer-reviewed journal.

Does that mean the research endeavor was futile? I think not, since out of the fires of this project a new procedure was developed, leading to further revelations in the DNA research arena.

Does that mean there is no data proving the specimen occurred as a result of alien abduction? Again, the answer is an emphatic NO. What we eventually found, because of this (non-peer-reviewed) research study, which by the way was the most extensive study done so far for DNA related to the abduction phenomena, were more questions, such as:

How did a Sea Slug get into Gary's closet?

How did a slug from the New Zealand area get to Bakersfield, CA?

How did this particular animal transform into such a petrified state that it could only be cut with a sharp scalpel blade?

What's new? A small portion of this animal is now in the hands of a Canadian scientific laboratory. We are trying to determine the age of the animal by carbon dating. What a surprise it would be if this animal were a thousand or more years old.

Would this answer any of the posed questions? Again, the answer would be NO. Others and myself have come to the conclusion that this is the way research in ufology goes. Try and solve one problem and you wind up with three



Implant removal.

more things to question. This seems to be the nature of the beast.

What else is new? One of the few ways we have had to collect funds to continue this research is to use the entertainment industry, or, better yet, I might say, having the entertainment industry use me.

Nevertheless, it does generate some tangible income through licensing and on-camera appearances. There is a price that one must pay to be able to do this. Two examples of this are as follows:

Within the past few months a local Hollywood production company decided to do a story on the alien abduction subject called the "Gray Agenda," which aired a few months ago on the History Channel.

One of the producers of this piece was none other than one of our own, upcoming young UFO researcher John Greenwald, who also served as the master of ceremonies at the MUFON Symposium 2004.

I was initially asked to participate in the show, but because of their "budget constraints" they withdrew their interest in my work.

After the show aired I was relieved I had not done the program, as it turned out to be one of the most insulting and destructive television pieces on this subject I have ever witnessed. There was not the slightest mention of our MUFON organization in the entire show.

Instead, there was a tribute to "The Houston UFO Network." I was appalled, and contacted John. His only comment was that he had little to do with the program content, and was try-

ing a new career in television production. I wished him a lot of luck in his new endeavor.

Same subject—another TV program called "Proof Positive" produced by another Southern California production company by the name of Cosgrove-Muir Productions.

I was approached and asked to participate in this program because of a piece of material in my possession which was suspected of having come from a New Mexico UFO crash site in 1947.

Several individuals and myself held a press conference in Roswell in 1997, the 50th anniversary of the Roswell crash. During this press conclave we showed the material and the related scientific data. I agreed to do the show, and was impressed with the quality of the endeavor.

They even flew Jesse Marcel, Jr. into Los Angeles to film him saying the material looked like something he had seen on his kitchen floor in 1947. Neither I nor any of the other participants were told during the shooting of this program there would be any conclusions drawn at the end of the program.

As it turned out, they decided to have three episodes, each a different story, in a one-hour segment, and at the end would deem each story Proof Positive, Proof Negative or Proof Undetermined. Naturally, they determined my material to be Proof Negative.

Prior to shooting the program I was instructed to take the sample to a lapidary and have a small rectangular piece cut away from the specimen. I followed their instructions and presented this

sample to the producer who in turn took it to a laboratory at UCLA.

There, a learned professor performed a SIMS Isotopic Ratio Study on the sample. His conclusion was that the sample was nothing more than a piece of ordinary silicon, used widely in the computer chip industry. This really mystified me because at least four other previous laboratories had results showing non-terrestrial isotopic ratios.

After the program aired I was able to find the name of the UCLA professor who did the test and contacted him. He was a bit defensive, and I told him I did not question his integrity or his abilities. He told me he hoped I was not upset because he had taken only a small tip from the sample to do the analysis.

I found this confusing and asked him what he meant by, "the tip." His answer was that he removed the tip of the triangular piece I had sent him. I was flabbergasted because the specimen I had cut and given to the producer was a precise rectangle.

This solved the mystery of the presented result. The pieces were switched by the production team. I notified my agent about this, and he in-turn contacted one of the producers of the show. Her comment was, "I couldn't care less."

Now, was this all bad? No, because the funds derived from the program went to pay for analysis of several other specimens. So goes the battle for knowledge and truth in ufology.

What else is new? One more item hot off the presses. I am currently entertaining the idea of starting a world class alien abduction institute here on the West Coast.

This would be a facility where these phenomena could be studied with all the scientific minds and material necessary to do the job. I have discussed this with several individuals, including Budd Hopkins, and they were enthused.

In order to raise money to fund this project, others and myself have formed our own Film Production Company. We intend to produce a major motion picture on the subject of ufology with all its strange ramifications.

Initially we are in the process of producing a 15-minute teaser for the purposes of selling the entire project. If any of our readers know investors who would be interested in contributing to this project, please contact me through the *Journal*.

Part 2

History of UFO sightings in Turkey

By **Esen Sekerkarar**

MUFON Representative, Turkey

In July, 2001, we were most amazed to receive an official report of a UFO sighting signed by Halil Isik, governor of Adiyaman.

This was the first time in Turkish history. In the report were the details of the sighting, as well as testimonies of the witnesses, who are three rural guards and seven gendarme patrols.



Esen Sekerkarar

At 10 PM the three rural guards (Fevzi Cebe, Ýbrahim Yilmaz, Yusuf Yilmaz) on duty near Dandirmaz village of the town Tut in the city of Adiyaman, saw a round object taking off from the ground.

They immediately called their superiors on the radio. Seven gendarme patrols (Murat Bilgin, Hakan Unal, Murat Baltaci, Tamer Aydin, Serkan Ozmen, Adem Suleymanoglu, Murat Karan) also viewed the object with night binoculars.

As they later described in their testimonies, the object that resembled a large tray was glowing in the sky, and was as big as a house. The bottommost part of the subject resembled a saucer.

The upper part was stationary, yet the bottom was spinning. It was emitting red and yellow lights through its window openings. After a while, it flew towards Ulubat Mountain and disappeared.

Next morning, the incident was reported to the governor of the town Halil Isik. He immediately called the ten witnesses and put them into ten separate rooms and asked them to write a report of what they saw, with a sketch of the sighted object.

The testimonies show that they all saw the same thing and their drawings are identical as well.

Here is the translation of the original testimony of rural guard Fevzi Cebe, son of Mustafa, born in 1958.

QUESTION: What have you observed and what information do you have about the Unidentified Aerial Object sighted on the night of June 7, 2001?

ANSWER: "I have been at service as a Temporary Rural Guard for Tut Town Gendarme Headquarters for eight years. I often go on [patrolling] missions.

"In daytime, we went on the Masur Peak, which is in the east of Tut Town, between Dagyalangoz Village and Dandirmaz Village. We were on watch and ambush duty.

"On June 7, 2001, at about 21:30-22:00, (9:30-10:00 PM) we were again on watch duty along with 10 people from Tut Town Gendarme Headquarters. It was dark, the full moon was young, providing some illumination; the weather was cloudless and moistless. There were no winds. We were at a quite high elevation.

"Right at that moment Gendarme Corporal Hakan Unal approached from 30 meters east to Senior Gendarme Sergeant Murat Bilgin, whom we were together with, and said, 'Commander, look there to the north. A light is ascending from there.'

"So I looked at the same direction and there was a dome-shaped object with a halo around it in the north, 50-100 m. above Komurtepe, which stands 3 km. opposite us.

"This object was sometimes stationary and sometimes moving towards the east by pausing [hovering] in every 50-100 meters. Senior Gendarme Sergeant Murat Bilgin saw the same thing.

"He was looking through his night binoculars, and he couldn't hide his astonishment.

"He was both watching and remarking that he had never seen anything like that. I was very curious too, so he gave me the binoculars to observe.

"When I looked through the binoculars, I saw an object as big as a one-floored village house as described above. The bottom part of the object was like a ball, and there were aligned windows around the sphere similar to plane windows.

"A stronger light was emanating from

them. I couldn't count how many windows there were as it was far. While the dome part of the object was stationary, the bottom part was revolving around itself.

"It went about 5 km. to the east and landed on Ulu Baba summit, which is close to Adiyaman; I am sure that was the place, as I know the area very well. When it was about to land, its lights went off completely.

"We kept watching that area. About 15 minutes later, the object started to give off light at where it had landed. As I looked through the night binoculars again, I saw the same object I had seen before, but [this time] on 300 m. left of the object and on the three sides of its back part there were flashing lights in different colors like the turn indicators of a car.

"We could not determine what these lights were. This luminous object stayed for about 45-50 minutes on Ulu Baba's tomb. 15 minutes after the landing, it turned its lights on and waited for 30 minutes in the same position, then first ascended towards Adiyaman direction and later went away fast.

"The flashing lights vanished after the object took off. We waited until the morning, but did not see anything similar to what we had seen."

After realizing the seriousness of the sighting, Governor Halil Isik decided to report this incident to the Ministry of Interior Affairs. The same report was also sent to the Turkish Scientific and Technical Research Committee (TUBITAK) on July 3, 2001.

Police footage

UFOs were seen again for two nights on Jan. 26-27, 2002, over the same town. At around 11 PM on Jan. 26 the police headquarters in Adiyaman received a phone call reporting a brilliant object over the eastern part of the city.

The police contacted the patrolmen who were on duty in that area, and they confirmed that there was a brilliant object in the sky.

The chief of police was immediately notified of the extraordinary incident, and a police team was sent to the area.

Police officers, equipped with night cameras, spotted the object spreading out colorful lights and rotating around its axis, and managed to film it for about five hours!

The following night, at about 2:15 AM, two flying objects similar to the one sighted the night before were observed in the skies of Adiyaman, this time over the western part of the city.

The objects were also detected and filmed uninterrupted by the watch guard of the Security Headquarters between 2:29-3:00 AM from the roof of the police station.

According to the information given by Governor Isik, a total of five unidentified objects were observed over Adiyaman: two over Kahta and Samsat districts and three over Besni and Golbasi.

Isik stated that more than 20 police officers witnessed these incidents, and the images of the objects shot by police cameras were sent to the authorities.

This news was all over the national papers for days. However, the Ministry of Interior Affairs never made any public releases about these incidents.

Side by side flight for 15 minutes

It is not very common to see the stories of pilots' UFO observations in Turkish papers. So it was a big surprise to see a picture of a Turkish Airlines (THY) pilot, Selahattin Sivri, telling the full story of the encounter he had along with two other members of the crew on Oct. 27, 1989.

Pilot Sivri, who had an experience of 24 years in his career at the time, stated, "We were flying at the altitude of 11,000 feet. All of a sudden, along with two colleagues, I saw a giant egg-shaped object as high as a building on our left.

"It was emitting red, blue, green, and sharp white lights. Co-pilot Huseyin Kargi and Flight Engineer Pertev Arikan saw it too. We all three were in great astonishment that what we were seeing took our breath away."

The giant object was about 2,000 meters from their craft, and was rotating around its axis. According to Pilot Sivri, the object flew in front of them for 15 minutes. Then it disappeared with a fantastic speed.

He reported his sighting to THY officials and to Kandilli Observatory, and the object was determined as "unidentified."



Turkish Airlines (THY) pilot Selahattin Sivri's encounter.

Turkish jet pilot's dogfight

On Aug. 7, 2001, two military pilots of the Turkish Air Force were witnesses to a very unusual incident.

At about 12:30 PM, instructor pilot First Lt. Ilker Dincer and his student, Lt. Arda Gunyel, took off with a T-37 training aircraft from Squadron 122 in the city of Izmir, Turkey's third largest city on the west coast, for a training flight.

As they were flying over Candarli Gulf at an altitude of 15,000 feet and making maneuvers of adjustment and engine stopping, they encountered a UFO.

After recovering from the shock, Lt. Dincer reported the situation to the ground control and the Regional Military Centre near Kutahya.

Lt. Dincer reported to the operation center that "we encounter an unusual situation. There is an extremely bright object resembling a funnel or a pyramid with a leg flying at a very high speed."

But the Centre's answer was, "We detected your aircraft. But no other ob-

ject around can be detected."

Thereupon, Lt. Dincer directed the aircraft towards the mysterious object. According to his statement, the object did the same. The UFO approached the T-37's wings and they started to fly together.

Dincer reported, "Object is approaching our wings. Now it's at the rear. I'll take it in the front by a maneuver. Object is now over us. This is literally dogfighting with us."

The UFO made maneuvers around the aircraft for about 1.5 minutes as Dincer responded to the actions of the mysterious craft. The dangerous dogfight ended with the disappearance of the UFO at a fantastic speed.

Here is the full radio talk of Lt. Dincer and the base:

T-37: Position Candarli skies, we are facing an unusual situation.

Base: What is the problem?

T-37: There is a very bright object resembling a funnel and a disk flying at a very high speed in 12 o'clock position.

Base: Continue; what's its position?

T-37: It is approaching me at a very

high speed from straight ahead. Immediately detect this object on the radars. I have never encountered such a thing. I suspect it is a UFO.

Base: We detect your aircraft. But no other object can be detected on radar.

T-37: We are turning the cursor to the object.

Base: We cannot detect a second object other than you.

T-37: The object is coming towards us.

Base: Radar detect negative.

T-37: Object is approaching our wings. Now it's at the rear. I'll take it in the front by a maneuver.

Base: I repeat, radar detect negative.

T-37: Object is now over us. This is literally dogfighting with us.

Base: Continue

T-37: Object suddenly disappeared.

After returning to their base in Cigli (a district in the city of Izmir), the pilots reported the incident to their superiors. It was stated that there was much astonishment in the Air Force, and that the investigation continued.

As this news was all over the front pages of the newspapers and on TV as breaking news, the Turkish Air Force had to make a press release where it was stated that the encountered object was a meteorology balloon!

This statement was not taken seriously by the media, either. Also the Meteorology Centre had stated that there were no balloons over that route on that date. One other thing is that before training flights the route is always checked for air traffic.

No need to say it is a law of nature that no meteorology balloon can dogfight with a jet at such high altitude and make such unconventional maneuvers. Let's not also forget that witnesses here are top pilots who are regularly checked-up. If we can't count on their words, then it means Turkey is in deep trouble!

However the Air Force did not make any further statements after the objections. The two pilots were not allowed to speak about the encounter, so they could not be reached at their base for any further details. The case was closed.

25 minutes with a UFO

As the sighting above kept the media busy for days, another Turkish Airlines (THY) pilot had the courage to come forward and talk about the experience he had in 1975.

Mehmet Oksum was working as a

senior captain in the military at that time, and was flying in a military aircraft. One day in September, 1975, at around 9 PM, he took off from Kayseri Erciyes Airport for Diyarbakir. Here is the story in his own words:

"We were flying at an altitude of 13,000 feet. My other colleagues were out in the cabin. That night, there was a full moon. Soon, as we were flying over Novak Mountain, I saw another object in the sky, which was as big and as bright as the moon. They were almost identical.

"I started to watch it very carefully. In the next five minutes, I was completely shocked, so I called my friends to the cockpit. We were now eight people inside.

"The object started to grow bigger. With a rough comparison, I can say that the object became 1,000 times larger than the size of the moon [from their perspective].

"It was in the size of a 6-7 story building. Then it started to come right towards us. It slightly changed shape and became oval. Then it started to open up in the middle. It opened up and up.

"It finally took the shape of a bicycle wheel with a diameter of 2-3 km. When we looked at its inside, we didn't recognize anything. It was very dark. We didn't notice anything inside. It was a beam of light in the shape of a bicycle wheel.

"We passed by each other from a distance of about 2 miles. [The whole scene] lasted for about 20-25 minutes. Meanwhile, I called Sarýkýsla Tower with radio and asked them if they observed any object on radar screens, but their reply was negative.

"Then I checked with the meteorology; the wind was blowing from behind. In bewilderment, I noticed that the object was flying against the wind.

"Soon, we entered Malatya Airfield. Normally, we speak with Ground Control when entering an airfield. When we contacted the Tower, a voice asked in excitement: 'Sir, have you seen an unusual object in the air? Phone lines are locked by calls from head officials and Mayors of Erzurum, Elazig, Mardin. Public is in panic!'

"He said citizens had sighted an aerial object traveling in the sky spreading around colorful lights, and asked if we had seen it. I said I had seen an object, but added that it was not emitting col-

orful lights. I told him about the object I saw.

"We then passed to the city of Diyarbakýr. Inhabitants of Diyarbakýr were in panic too. Officials and mayors were calling the tower, asking if we had seen an unusual aerial object. I wanted to write a report about this incident, but our colonel told me not to do so.

"I have been flying for about 39 years. I have flight experience of above 20,000 hours, and I have never encountered this kind of an object before. I think [what we saw] was a UFO; it cannot be anything else.

"It was real. No one can say the opposite; all my friends witnessed it. I cannot think of a pilot who sees hallucinations. This is impossible in this occupation. Otherwise, no plane would manage to land. We pass through medical tests every 3-6 months."

Bug-shaped UFO over Istanbul

It was a rainy Sunday evening about 18:30 on May 26, 2002. Saffet Sap wanted to record the lightning on his video camera. As he started shooting, he saw a strange object in the sky. First he thought it was a kite or a plane.

The object was stationary, but then it started to rise up in the air. Mr. Sap later said that it had about 7-8 legs. It looked like a giant bug. His sister saw this unusual object, too.

The upper part of the object was flashing yellow, red, and green lights. There was green smoke coming out of the rear part.

I was one of the speakers at the 2002 MUFON Conference in Rochester, NY. Jeff Sainio was a guest speaker, too, and during his lecture he showed some UFO footage looking exactly the same as the "giant bug" Mr. Sap had shot on his camera.

More official sightings in 2004

Interestingly, officials have started to talk about their UFO sightings publicly over the past few years. One of the latest observations took place on March 30, 2004.

Caner Yildiz, governor deputy of the city of Adana, filmed "strange images" he saw in the evening.

He reports, "It was about 21:04. My wife and I were watching TV. Then I saw a luminous object in the sky. First I thought it was a bright star that I had never seen before, then I recorded it on my camera for about 20 minutes. It was

moving very fast, sometimes zigzagging; becoming bigger and smaller.

"The bottom part, about one third of the object, was dark in color, yet the upper part was white. On its top were sharp projecting points like honeycombs. It was something we had never seen before; [something] we couldn't identify.

"It would disappear when observed with the naked eye, then would appear again. On the camera, it would look as small as a pin top, then in 2-3 seconds it would grow as big as an orange. It looked like a flying saucer."

I am not sure if it was a cover-up or not, but the footage was studied by TUBITAK National Observatory, and the object was concluded to be Venus.

Whenever the national observatory scientists conduct such studies on similar incidents, their conclusion about the nature of the object claimed to be a UFO—even with the most convincing cases—is always the planet Venus! Therefore, one can't help but wonder if it is the same old cover-up story.

UFOs over refinery

On July 20, 2004, Deputy Police Chief Mehmet Baydogan reported that police officers captured a UFO on video camera.

The police had received calls about a sighting near the Free Zone, and officers from the Bureau of Investigation and Identification arrived at the scene and filmed the luminous unidentified flying object at 4 AM.

The officers reported the UFO was mostly crimson colored, with tones of yellow and green. It was round, and it was constantly moving and radiating light. After daybreak, the object ascended and vanished.

Ten days after this incident, Mersin Deputy Chief of Police Suleyman Ekizer announced that police officers recorded another luminous UFO over ATAS Refinery.

Ekizer explained in the press conference he held at the Security Department that an unidentified object in the sky over ATAS Refinery was reported to the information centre at about 3:30 AM.

He also stated that investigation and identification teams went to the place in question and recorded the unidentified flying object on video camera. The footage of the luminous object was shown to the press during the meeting.

CIA-MIT collaboration?

It is encouraging that more and more officials have begun to talk about their UFO observations, and give information about them to the public in Turkey. As there has been an obvious increase in such sightings since 1999, this must have caught the CIA's attention too!

According to the news published on Feb. 6, 2003, in *Vatan* and *Sabah* newspapers, the CIA sent an official request to MIT (Turkish Intelligence Agency) asking for information on the UFO sightings and related incidents taking place in Turkey.

Therefore, MIT commissioned a colonel to lead a research in cooperation with the Turkish Air Force. MIT also asked for pilots' observation reports from the Turkish Airlines.

To my great surprise, this news was only in those two newspapers in small print, and not a word was mentioned about it on TV channels or anywhere else. You could find absolutely nothing in the papers the next day.

Larry Bryant, in the US, made a FOIA request in June 27, 2003, for all CIA records pertaining to the Agency's alleged solicitation of, and receipt of, certain UFO data from the Turkish intelligence agency, MIT. And another one in Aug. 5, 2003. Here is the response he received on Jan. 20, 2004:

Dear Mr. Bryant:
Reference: F-2003-01199

This is in response to your letter dated 5 August 2003 in which you appealed the 24 July 2003 determination of this Agency in response to your 27 June 2003 Freedom of Information Act request for the following: "a copy of all CIA-generated and CIA-received records pertaining to the transmittal of UFO-related MIT information, along with any and all other records revealing CIA UFO-related solicitations directed to, coordinated with, and fulfilled by other intelligence services/operations conducted by foreign governments..."

Specifically, you appealed our determination to neither confirm nor deny the existence or nonexistence of records pertaining to these records.

The Agency Release Panel has considered your appeal and has determined that the Agency can neither confirm nor deny the existence or nonexistence of records responsive to your request on

the basis of Freedom of Information Act exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Therefore, in accordance with Agency regulations, the Agency Release Panel has denied your appeal. For your information, Agency regulations are set forth in part 1900 of title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

In accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, you have the right to seek judicial review of this determination in a United States district court.

We appreciate your patience while your appeal was being considered.

Sincerely,

Herbert O. Briick —

Vice Chairman, Agency Release Panel

As FOIA law had not been in force at the time here in Turkey, there wasn't a chance to make a similar request from the MIT. I am happy to say Turkey has passed the law recently, and I shall inform you about the response to my request as soon as I receive it.

Last words

As can be seen, UFO activities are taking place in this part of the globe too, just like it is in every other part of the world.

However, it is amazing to see that UFO sightings and alien encounters are common everywhere, but other UFO-related phenomena like animal mutilations and crop circles take place mostly in certain areas of the world.

For example, there have never been any animal mutilations or crop circles reported in Turkey.

Abductions do happen, but not so large in number. The other reason for that, apart from the fear of ridicule, might be that the whole phenomenon has started to be discussed publicly only within the last few years, so it is a new subject for the country.

That's why there aren't many researchers. And among those few, there aren't many serious ones, unfortunately. Scientists are mostly conservative, or they are afraid to lose their jobs in the case they disclose the truth.

In 1999, when Turkey's first International UFO Conference was organized, there were about 4,500 attendees in two days! But in the following years' conferences, we could not even come near that number. So, we realized that people attended the first conference out of curiosity, not out of interest! It

is also very sad that there are no longer UFO conferences organized. But it must not be forgotten that Turkey is a developing country.

So it is struggling with economic and political problems, and that means people are engaged with making their living and surviving. This certainly doesn't make the UFO and ET subject a priority for them!

Behind this dark portrait, there is still light. We know that the Turkish National Intelligence Service (MIT) is collecting UFO reports. It was also very promising to receive an official report of a UFO observation made by ten officials (Gendarmerie Headquarters staff and temporary rural guards) in the city of Adiyaman.

The report was sent and signed by the governor of the city. Some of the officials have begun to talk about their UFO sightings openly. So things are changing gradually, and I believe more positive things will happen as we solve our economic and political problems.

Jesse Marcel Jr. reports experiences in Iraq

By George Filer

Army Col. Jesse Marcel, Jr., 69, of Roswell fame, and an M.D who was called back to active duty in Iraq, writes, "I am doing OK and happy with my assignment, although the extreme heat has not arrived yet. I fly at least once or twice a week in a Blackhawk, and had seven hours flying time yesterday going from Baghdad to Mosel, to Tikrit, Urbil and Kirkut.

"I have to say it is gratifying to see the folks in the countryside (shepherds) wave a greeting to us as we fly low level. We also do 'candy bombs' where packages of candy are dropped out when kids are seen. We had to 'orbit' over Kirkut for 15 minutes yesterday, and had some rocks thrown at us, but we got the hell out before bullets were launched, but that is an exception.

"Locally the daily mortar barrages on the camp have declined to only three or four attacks a week, a decided improvement since the elections,...although it is a very dangerous place yet.

"My old Humvee was replaced with an armored Humvee with bullet proof windows to drive."

-Thanks to Jesse Marcel and Bond Johnson.

APRIL 2005

MUFON Forum

Jennings' TV show on ABC

By Budd Hopkins

During the past year, Peter Jennings' producers interviewed me a number of times, and because I sensed what they had in mind, I made, as a preemptive strike, a number of careful, highly specific observations about the UFO abduction phenomenon.

All of these crucial points—recorded by ABC on videotape—were designed to underline the physical reality of UFO abductions, and to demonstrate the implausibility of current skeptical explanations.

To its shame, ABC suppressed ALL of these observations. I knew, of course, that the skeptics' favorite explanation du jour is impossibly simple: abduction reports, they believe, are all due to misperceived "sleep paralysis."

Ranking as a distant second is another erroneous belief: abduction reports, they say, "ONLY emerge under hypnosis," and, since hypnosis is "totally unreliable," all abduction reports must be discarded.

In the light of these tediously familiar errors and misstatements, I made certain in my taped interviews to explain the following:

In the first two decades of our research, ALL of the central abduction cases involved people who were outside their houses when they were taken. NONE were lying paralyzed in their bedrooms. They were driving cars, walking, fishing, hunting, and even, in one famous case, driving a tractor on a farm.

"Sleep paralysis" as a blanket explanation of UFO abductions is therefore, ipso facto, a ludicrous non-starter. Nevertheless, ALL of my insistent statements on this point were systematically eliminated by the producers.

Second, I indicated that there are many abduction reports involving two, three, six, or more people who were taken simultaneously, and whose highly-detailed recollections are virtually identical.

This fact alone eliminates not only "sleep paralysis," but "fantasy-prone-

ness" or any other idiosyncratic psychological aberrations as triggering causes. My descriptions of these many cases of multiple abductions were likewise completely suppressed by the producers.

Third, I showed the interviewers many photos of, again, virtually identical scoop marks, consistent straight-line scars, ground landing traces at abduction sites, and other physical sequelae. ALL of these vivid photographic examples of physical evidence were suppressed by the producers.

Fourth, I was not alone in making these points. My colleague, Dr. David Jacobs, was asked by ABC to carry out a hypnotic regression for the camera, but since the woman he chose had been abducted in the daytime while driving a car, the case did not fit ABC's "sleep paralysis" agenda and was thus not only suppressed, but Dr. Jacobs' many hours of taped interviews were also scrapped.

Fifth, I made it very clear that perhaps 30% of all the abduction reports collected by researchers are recalled WITHOUT THE AID OF HYPNOSIS, a fact which renders the issue of hypnosis moot. This point was also suppressed by the producers, whose only goal, it appeared, was to eliminate any data that contradicted their transparently false debunking hypotheses.

Despite my having presented—and reiterated—the points above, the producers chose to trot out on camera two debunking scientists (whose experiments with a mere handful of subjects have yet to be taken seriously by the psychological community) to buttress the untenable "sleep paralysis" theory, the false "no physical evidence" claim, and the demonstrably untrue "its all hypnosis" assertion.

The smug presentations of these two would-be experts were accompanied by the producers' lurid "reenactments" of "sleep paralysis" phenomena, complete with flashing lights and spooky music.

The taped testimony of a serious mental health professional like Dr. John Mack was likewise suppressed, along with my statement that over the years eight psychiatrists and numerous other

(Continued on Page 15)

California UFOs

UFOs Over California by Preston Dennett, 2005, Schiffer Publishing Ltd, 4880 Lower Valley Road, Atglen, PA 19310, info@schifferbooks.com, 6 x 9, soft cover, 224 pgs, \$14.95 plus \$3.95 shipping

Reviewed by Dwight Connelly

As the author points out, California, with at least 5,000 UFO reports, leads the nation in cases, and thus certainly deserves its own book.

Dennett is an established, respected ufologist, having personally dealt with more than a hundred cases in California as a MUFON investigator over the past twenty years.

He is perhaps best known for his book *UFOs Over Topanga Canyon: Eyewitness Accounts of the California Sightings*, published in 1999, but is also the author of *Extraterrestrial Visitations: True Accounts of Contact* (2001), *One in Forty—The UFO Epidemic: True Accounts of Close Encounters with UFOs* (1997), and *UFO Healings: True Accounts of People Healed by Extraterrestrials* (1996).

UFOs Over California is a comprehensive look at ufology in California from the 1890's to the present, divided into time periods, specific areas of the state, and types of sightings.

The footnoting is extensive, there is a 32-page full-color section featuring photos and drawings, there is an appendix listing unexplained Blue Book cases from California, and there is an alphabetical listing of the numerous sources used in compiling the reports. Unfortunately, there is no index.

The fact that this book covers so many reports is both its strong point and its weak point—strong because it is so complete, but weak because most readers will not be able to sift the more reliable reports from the less reliable reports, as

most cases seem to be presented as though they are of equal credibility.

Uninvestigated reports from Filer's Files in the *Journal* are just not on a par with cases which the author has personally investigated in Topanga Canyon, to cite only one example.

Those knowledgeable in the field can, of course, evaluate particular reports based on the source of the report (as referenced by the extensive endnotes for the cases), the number of witnesses, and so forth.

But those without this experience and background will find it impossible to separate the reliable researchers and cases from the questionable.

On the other hand, perhaps providing a complete picture of cases without taking the space to evaluate quality is the only logical way to approach a book of this type.

Although this book is not perfect (what book is?), it is an important contribution to the field by an experienced researcher.

Because of the sheer number of reports from California, as well as the significant types of cases from this state (more underwater reports than any other state, the enigma of Edwards Air Force Base, etc.), this book should be of interest not only to Californians, but to readers everywhere.

nitely recommended.

Yes, ET is here

Is ET Here? No Politically, But Yes Scientifically and Theologically By Robert Trundle, Ph.D., May 1, 2005, EcceNova Editions, P.O. Box 50001, 15-1594 Fairfield Road, Victoria, BC, Canada, 250-595-8401, www.eccenova.com, 6x9 soft cover, 313 pages, Available from Amazon, Borders, etc. \$22.95 (U.S.).

Reviewed by Dwight Connelly


The author, Dr. Robert Trundle, unlike many individuals in ufology, holds a legitimate Ph.D in philosophy from the University of Colorado, and is a member of the New York Academy of Sciences, the American Philosophical

Association, and numerous other respected groups.

He is the author of six other books, including *UFOs: Politics, God & Science* (2001), and *From Physics to Politics* (1999).

In *Is ET Here?*

Dr. Trundle covers a wide range of topics, such as Science on Angels and Aliens, Alien Anatomy and Technology, Singular People and Strange



Places, Official Reports and Odd Encounters, Outlandish Organizations and Intriguing Issues, Military Projects and Moon Missions, and Controversy Over Religion and Science.

The five appendices, including the always impressive Bernard Haisch's Open Letter to His Colleagues, provide additional information and insights.

Sprinkled throughout this detailed and at times challenging book are 186 illustrations—some related to the text, and some apparently included to break up the type or to provide additional items of interest to the reader.

Although there is an index, it is too small for a book with this much information (at least in the advanced galley copy which I received for review).

An interesting feature is a series of biographies of the members of the alleged MJ-12 group which clearly demonstrates that the backgrounds and associations of these individuals are appropriate for MJ-12 membership.

In a sort of introduction to the book's purpose is the following, which also suggests its reading level:

“Human pride and an imposition of politics on science are the best explanations for impeded scientific progress and a close-mindedness to one of the most astonishing possibilities in history: that ET is here. While this case is made by the philosophy of science, there is

enough science to exclude glib scientific rejoinders, and enough philosophy to show that UFOs can violate our scientific theories when their truth is restricted to certain domains. Until there is this defense of ET's possible presence, as evidenced by the very observation that corroborates theories, prevalent confusion will persist on the part of both scientists and the public: on the one hand, the public will think its belief is bad science, and many scientists will reinforce that false thought by comparing UFO belief to an irrational belief in religion. On the other, religion and science will be muddled by those who interpret either angels as aliens or aliens as angels. The former interpretation reduces the supernatural to natural phenomena, and the latter elevates that phenomena to the supernatural."

The author employs a number of well-known individuals to add credibility to this book, including Peter Gersten, who writes the first Forward, and Dr. Peter Redpath, who writes the second Foreward.

He also utilizes quotes from individuals such as Professor Michael Zimmerman of Tulane University, Dr. John Mack, and Dr. Richard Haines in associating his presentation with respected individuals. Credible researchers such as Dr. David Jacobs, Budd Hopkins, Stan Friedman, Dr. J. Allen Hynek are utilized in presenting specific cases.

On the other hand, he finds the work of George Adamski, Robert Lazar, Richard Doty, and Col. Philip Corso worth discussing in an apparently positive light.

And while there are numerous references in the endnotes, there are also too many statements regarding cases and events that are not credibly documented, such as the alleged "Project Sigma" and his description of the Cash-Landrum incident.

Not only is there unjustified reliance on individuals like Doty and Corso, but also on popular UFO books which themselves utilize unreliable or incomplete sources. Thus this book, like so many others, is a mixed bag of reliable, significant, interesting information and questionable information.

One is forced to ask: What is there about the field of ufology that prevents so many authors from separating the reliable from the unreliable, the credible from the questionable? Why do the

good and the bad have to be intermixed so that the average individual attempting to learn about ufology cannot tell the difference?

For some authors this failing can be attributed to lack of research, lack of experience, lack of ability, or simply lack of caring, but for an author with the intelligence and background of Dr. Trundle, it is troubling.

There is much in this book which is excellent; it is too bad the poor material was not eliminated or at least put in perspective.

MUFON Forum...

(Continued from Page 13)

mental health professionals had come to me about their own UFO abductions. The producers' obvious goal was to conceal the fact that within the mental health community there are many professionals who look with amusement on the "sleep paralysis" theory, and who accept the physical reality of UFO abductions.

So what can one say about such a deliberately dishonest presentation as Peter Jennings' take on abductions?

Perhaps one can only shrug and warn, yet again, that the incurious members of the press and the many blinkered, conservative scientists had better collectively pull their heads up out of the sand and join us in our work.

Whatever one's personal attitude toward the UFO abduction phenomenon, science insists that an extraordinary phenomenon demands an extraordinary investigation.

What ABC served up was, instead, an extraordinary whitewash of the abduction phenomenon, and a brutal suppression of the evidence for what may well be the most portentous event in human history.

Peter Jennings and his staff should be ashamed.

Bill O'Riley show not awful

By Bruce Maccabee

Wild Bill O'Reilly has had his say on UFOs and, indirectly, on Jennings' show. He devoted 6 1/2 minutes of his March 11 show to the subject. Bill isn't impressed.

Guest Mark Rodeghier was straightfaced, but Bill had a continual "Mona Lisa Smile," some might say a smirk, when asking whether or not Mark

really believed what he was saying.

Unexplained sightings are not unexpected. But what bothers him is that with all the scientific stuff (satellites, telescopes, etc.) there is no clear evidence.

O'Reilly is not impressed with the conspiracy theory-government cover-up. Bill doesn't buy abductions, even though he apparently talked to some lady who made a claim of a sighting (and abduction?).

Guest Jed Turnbull of the Intruders Foundation said he believes because the people come to him with consistent stories.

Bill pointed out that all one has to do is read the Internet to find out what is "supposed" to happen during an abduction. People could be making up stories for attention.

He asked Mark, do you believe that there are hundreds of people who have been abducted? Mark indirectly said yes. But Bill went back to "gaining attention" as the reason for reporting such stories.

Jed commented that there were abductions even in NYC, and Bill responded in a jocular manner that he could walk outside and find 18 aliens in New York.

He ended on a skeptical note without being debunking about it. He did not have a "noted skeptic" on the show. The show ended with O'Reilly challenging the aliens to pick on someone their own size... him. (Obviously, he doesn't know of the diminutive size of most aliens.) I gather that it was all an amusing laugh for him.

However, he did not mount a strong counter-argument that there is nothing to it. He basically left it that he wasn't convinced, so there!

Perhaps the important positive fact is that he allowed the exposure of the subject without trying to tear Mark or Jed apart, so perhaps some of his two million(?) viewers will be given the incentive to contact the Center or the Intruders Foundation.

Angel hair questions

By Mark Isganitis

I found the article on angel hair in the Feb 2005 *MUFON UFO Journal* very interesting, especially the scientific analysis. It seems to me, by the chemical analysis presented, this stuff should be extremely flammable.

Could angel hair emitting craft be the

source of some of these fireball sightings we have had over the years?

Another question I did not see asked: Since this stuff is organic in origin, are there any known organisms that produce a similar substance (including all the hydrocarbon compounds)?

Is it possible to reproduce this substance in a laboratory, and, if so, how difficult would it be?

I know you probably don't have the answers to these questions, but it may be interesting to bring them up the next time this is discussed in a public forum.

Editor's Note: Mark's inquiry was forwarded to Phyllis Budinger, analytical chemist with Frontier Analysis, Ltd., whose research with angel hair was featured in a sidebar article in the February issue. Her response follows:

Question 1. Angel hair is not flammable, for example, like gasoline etc. When exposed to a match it almost instantly fizzes to a trace amount of black char similar to the burning of hair. I do not relate angel hair to UFO fireballs.

Question 2. There are known organisms that produce a similar substance. However, I can't say that I know of any that also produce hydrocarbons.

About four years ago I consulted with an entomologist (Cleveland Museum of Natural History). I wanted to know what other insects besides ballooning spiders produced massive amounts of silk-like material.

He sent the message around to a number of his colleagues. His answer was: "There are numerous lep larvae that balloon as well, usually as neonates."

Also attached was a message from another colleague. The italics are my additions. "Larvae of many Lepidoptera, particularly those with wingless adult females (e.g. some Lymantriidae (*Gypsy Moth*) and Geometridae (*Inchworms*), disperse by ballooning as first instars."

I would like to add a further comment about the light hydrocarbons. They were clearly present in small amounts in a sample from California (Nov 22, 1999). This is the only angel hair event where hydrocarbons have been detected.

However, I worry that the witness' truck exhaust may have contaminated the sample. The truck was close by, and I don't know if the engine was running or not when he collected the sample.

Even if it was not running there may have been enough residual hydrocarbons to contaminate the sample. So the jury is still out whether this came from the angel hair.

Eventually we may be lucky enough for another observant witness to grab a sample and seal it tightly in a Zip Lock or a clean jar.

Question 3. Why reproduce it in a laboratory when you can use caterpillars, etc. to produce it?

More about angel hair. So far, I have examined 7 or 8 samples, which were all from the United States and collected between September and November when most people tend to notice them, though spider ballooning may take place any time of the year for some spe-

cies, depending on the climes. (I have written formal reports on five events.)

I would like to examine samples from other countries and from other times of the year. Some of the samples I have looked at are spider web. I see sticky droplets and eggs under the microscope.

A few appeared different. These samples did not have the droplets and eggs. And a single fiber was of a smaller denier than a reference of spider silk. The infrared spectrum, while very similar to spider silk, was closer to caterpillar silk.

As you can see, a lot more analysis has to be done on good reliable samples, and hopefully with witnesses who also reported UFO activity in the area. Maybe we will get lucky?

Teleportation works again

By Stefan Lovgren

for National Geographic News

Austrian researchers have teleported photons (particles of light) under the Danube River in Vienna, using technology that calls to mind Scotty beaming up Captain Kirk in the Star Trek science fiction series.

"We were able to perform a quantum teleportation experiment for the first time ever outside a university laboratory," said Rupert Ursin, a researcher at the Institute for Experimental Physics at the University of Vienna in Austria.

The researchers read the "blueprints" of the photons they wanted to teleport. They then broke up the photons into smaller particles called quantum bits, and sent these bits, along with the blueprints, through a fiber-optic cable in a sewage pipe under the river.

At the other end, replicas of the original photons were created. The original photons ceased to exist once the replicas were created.

Quantum teleportation may have progressed from science fiction to reality, but don't look for a *Star Trek* transporter anytime soon. This science has little to do with beaming people from one place to another.

Instead, scientists hope the technology could become crucial for quantum computing and quantum cryptology, areas that promise to make computing much faster and 100 percent secure.

Teleportation involves dematerializ-

ing an object at one point and transferring the precise details of its configuration to another location, where the object is then reconstructed.

Teleportation was long considered impossible because it violates the so-called uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics. As the principle goes, the act of measuring a tiny particle destroys it. So theoretically, an exact replica of a particle can never be made.

But in 1993 scientists showed a way around the problem by using a complex concept known as entanglement, an area of physics that Albert Einstein referred to as "spooky action at a distance."

Since then, numerous experiments using photons have proved that quantum teleportation is possible. Scientists have teleported quantum bits along more than a mile (1.6 kilometers) of fiber-optic wire inside laboratories.

The problem, for now, is that the quantum technology only works over limited distances. Physicists are now laying the groundwork for so-called quantum repeaters.

Used in regular communications, these devices would allow messages to be transmitted around the world.

So what are the chances of developing a transporter that can beam people from one location to another, *Star Trek*-style? "Nothing we do will help us build Scotty's apparatus," Ursin said.

"The reason is very simple: A human body contains too much information to scan and build all replicas."



FILER'S FILES

Director, MUFON Eastern Region

Note: These reports are presented in order to keep readers informed of some of the vast number of sightings being reported. However, these reports have not been officially investigated.

Life on Mars

A Space News staff reporter writes, "A pair of NASA scientists told a group of space officials at a private meeting here Sunday that they have found strong evidence that life may exist today on Mars, hidden away in caves and sustained by pockets of water.

"The scientists, Carol Stoker and Larry Lemke of NASA's Ames Research Center in Silicon Valley, told the group that they have submitted their findings to the journal *Nature* for publication in May, and their paper currently is being peer reviewed.

"What Stoker and Lemke have found, according to several attendees of the private meeting, is not direct proof of life on Mars, but methane signatures and other signs of possible biological activity remarkably similar to those recently discovered in caves here on Earth."

Indiana UFO melts frozen pond

COLUMBIA CITY – The witness reports, "This was an evening of ice fishing I will never forget! Coming over the trees was an elongated triangular shaped 'thing.' It was hovering over the east end of the small farm pond where I was fishing on Jan. 31, 2005, at 10 PM.

"It moved over the frozen water and lowered slowly. It sat motionless without a sound for a minute or two. As it rose silently, 'steam' rose from the lake. The object slipped quietly past the trees and floated out of sight (my line of sight was obscured by trees).

"We used our cell phone to call the local sheriff, but there was no answer, as our cell phones had both gone dead. This was strange. Also, our battery operated fish locator (Vexilar) was affected, we believe.

"The area of the pond where the ob-

ject hovered was melted in about two inches." Thanks to Peter Davenport, Director, www.nuforc.org.

Note: Almost every year a report is received of UFOs melting ice or breaking through the ice.

Minnesota object maneuvers

HASTINGS – "I am a Field Investigator Trainee with MUFON reporting here a sighting my sister had on the evening of Jan. 28, 2005, at 9:45 PM. My sister was driving south on Highway 61 in Hastings, en route to Winona when she spotted a bright, oval-shaped white light south-east of her position," says the witness.

"There were scattered clouds, and the moon was fully visible. This object appeared at the 3-4 o'clock position relative to the moon, and my sister assumed that it was the light of an oncoming plane that she was seeing until it began to perform some very remarkable movements.

"From its stationary position it rapidly descended vertically to near the horizon level and hovered. It seemed either to be wobbling or to be flashing lights. The object then reversed direction to head directly upwards 1/3 the distance it had initially descended, then stopped again.

"After a second or two the object shot off with incredible speed to the north-east before disappearing." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

California donut

LOS ANGELES – The witness reports, "I was driving with my son, who is 12 years old, in North Hollywood about 5 PM on Jan. 29, 2005, when he said, 'Mom, look up, UFO!' When I

looked up, I saw an object moving in the sky straight above us that was the size of a helicopter and the same height, but it was a strange shape.

"It was round with a hole in the middle like a donut, or a disc with a hole. It was black, and you could see sky through the hole. It looked like nothing else I have ever seen before.

"I parked my car so we could see better. It was flying from North Hollywood towards West Hollywood. The movement was unusual, just like it was spinning or wobbling.

"The edges were going slightly up and down, like it was maneuvering in the air with its own body, and you could see it clearly. We watched for four minutes until it became a black dot."

California orange oval

BERKELEY – The witness reports, "I was in the shower and I looked out the window on Feb. 2, 2005, at 7:30 AM, and saw an orange oval fairly high in the sky. Once I saw it, it just hovered over a park, and a few seconds later it started to fly off extremely fast."

Georgia massive lights

ELLERSLI – Carolyn Linn/Cab reports, "My family and I drove home on Feb. 12, 2002, when we noticed a beam of light through the trees. I pulled my truck down the road and was amazed when the beam disappeared.

"Still watching, it came back, but this time with flashing lights of blue, white, and red. I turned the truck lights off and stopped.

"It was moving toward us, so we drove south onto Highway 85 to follow it, then onto County Line Road and a back road. Driving north we came to the power lines and saw a large white light just above the treetops.

"The white light transformed into blinking lights of red, blue, and white around this MASSIVE piece of equipment. This object made absolutely no sound, and slowly moved right in front



George Filer

of us. I quickly turned the truck to keep up with it and suddenly it was gone.

"We notified the sheriff and local TV station, but there were no other reports. It was truly amazing; there is no reasonable explanation for this sighting. It was much too large, too low, and no sound. We saw this thing up close, very close.

Illinois U-shaped object

NAPERVILLE – The witness stated, "I'm a 22-year-old male active sky watcher, and I was admiring the strange, glittery, really fine powder snow that was falling at a steady rate on Jan. 27, 2005, at 4:15 AM.

"Looking up, I saw a UFO, literally in the shape of a U, that was 90% invisible, but with a distinct outline of the craft. It had three opaque whitish spots at the rear lights. It traveled fairly slow and approached the moon.

"The light of the moon revealed the entire craft. The clouds were a dark purple hue above the craft. It was bigger than the full moon. The glow of the moon amplified the image of the craft, and actually revealed its outline further to about 80% visible.

"It was about three inches from the moon, and it took about 10 seconds for it to pass the moon, at which point I could no longer see it." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

Illinois fireballs

NEWTON – The witness reports, "My children and I were on our way home when we saw two large fireballs that moved crisscross from each other, then disappeared on Feb. 4, 2005, at 7:30 AM. We pulled over at the rest area and watched the lights in the sky that seemed to dance.

"Another fireball appeared, and we got in the car and followed it towards Olney." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

Washington disc and triangle

YELM – The witness reports, "My boyfriend, Nathan, and I were driving on Yelm Highway about 7 PM on Jan. 30, 2005.

The road is surrounded by forest, and one side of the road is part of Fort Lewis.

"All of sudden we saw this aircraft hovering above the trees right beside the highway. The aircraft was triangular and

had two square lights at the bottom, and there was no cockpit in sight. It was thick and it had a light at every corner.

"We were driving by it slowly, but another car was following too close and we almost got in an accident. We manage to recover and just drive away. The oddest thing was that it hovered and there was no sound." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

Nebraska object lifts something

WOOD RIVER – The witness reports, "While getting ready to leave my parent's house, around midnight, five of my friends and I were sitting on the porch of an old Victorian house on 11th Street on Jan. 31, 2005, and an object was hovering above a cornfield several miles to the west.

"The object stayed in the sky, moving from side to side for about ten minutes, then it appeared to be gone. In this ten-minute time period the oval-shaped object let out a few bursts of light like it was glowing, and shined a blinding bright light down to the ground and started lifting up objects.

"We aren't sure what the object was, but it most likely was the size and shape of an Angus cow." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

Louisiana object leaves traces

IBERIA – The witnesses report that on Feb. 4, 2005, at 9:45 PM, they saw "a big, round, colorful UFO that just stopped in one spot a few feet in the air, and then it took off, and it was nowhere in sight.

"It was about 40-50 feet wide, with white, green, and red big bright lights all around it. It was about 10-15 feet from the ground, and hovering in one place.

"Finally, it started to move forward, and we got scared, so we took off, but turned right back around to see if it was still there, which didn't take us more than 30 seconds to get back to where it was. It was nowhere in sight!

"We looked all around, and we looked in the sky and it was really nowhere around.

"The next day we looked in the same spot where we saw it, and we found what looked like eyeballs and reddish finger looking things. We have pictures of the things we have found." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

Pennsylvania triangle

CLARKS SUMMIT – The witness says, "On Feb. 1, 2005, at 7 PM, my daughter and I looked through the trees in our backyard and saw bright white lights that looked like a triangle, with three distinct points.

"The object hovered over the trees for a second, and then the three points seemed to break off and fly close together, extremely fast. We were getting in the car anyway and decided to follow them as best as we could.

"We noticed them only for a couple of minutes, and then lost sight of them. This was the strangest thing I have ever seen! A craft that split up into three speeding crafts with white lights and little or no sound." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

California rainbow sphere

SAN JOSE – The witness reports, "I was leaving work when I heard a roar of an airplane on Feb. 4, 2005, at 9:45 PM. The company I work for is located near San Jose Minnetta International Airport.

"I looked up and noticed a sphere-shaped object glistening in the sunlight. It was probably at 2,000-3,000 feet, hovering motionless. It began emitting a rainbow of colors, very intense and bright, and it definitely had a pattern to it.

"I waved my arms, convinced that whatever was occupying this unknown object had intelligent life forms aboard. It then began to move westward, and then took off at amazing speed." Thanks to Peter Davenport.

New Jersey saucer

PENNSAUKEN – A huge saucer was reported hovering over the Delaware River near Philadelphia at sunset on or about Feb. 23, 2005. A woman who emigrated from the Dominican Republic stated that she and her family of eight saw the huge craft hovering over the river for at least 15 minutes.

It was described as "at least as big as a football field." She said the saucer, without lights or very dim lights, was hovering about 500 feet above the water. She felt it may have taking on fresh water from the river. Her family had seen similar craft over the Dominican Republic on a regular basis but people were afraid to report the sightings.

AN UPDATE FROM WALT ANDRUS

By Walter H. "Walt" Andrus, Jr.

John Schuessler has invited me to write a personal update article for the *MUFON UFO Journal* on my status after retiring as MUFON International Director in 2000.

Upon retiring from Motorola in 1982 after nearly 35 years, I have now truly retired and can indulge in my hobbies and volunteer work. It feels wonderful to be free of the responsibility for managing and administrating MUFON for 30 years. It is hard to believe that nearly five years have since elapsed.

Moving the MUFON headquarters and museum to Littleton, CO, was planned for the last weekend of September. However, the passing of my wife, Jeanne, on Sept. 20, 2000, was not anticipated.

Tom Deuley, MUFON Corporate Secretary, not only supervised the loading of the largest U-Haul truck available, but also drove it to Littleton and helped unload it with the assistance of MUFON Colorado members.

I have since made two additional trips with my Dodge pickup loaded with furniture and Symposium Proceedings.

Although relatively inactive compared to my past position, I am still involved with MUFON in the following capacities: submitted several UFO articles for publication in the *MUFON UFO Journal*; have three pending UFO investigations; serve on the MUFON Staff as Amateur Radio Director; continue to attend monthly UFO meetings in San Antonio, TX; purchase current UFO-related books for the MUFON library; continue to make video copies of current TV programs for the MUFON library; and of course forward MUFON mail to Morrison, CO.

Until 2004, I was the only person who had attended every annual MUFON International UFO Symposium since their inception in 1970. I have attended half of the Ozark UFO Conferences held in Eureka Springs, AR, conducted by Lou Farish since retiring.

I receive complimentary copies of the *MUFON UFO Journal*, courtesy of John F. Schuessler, and the *UFO Newsclipping Service*, graciously provided by Lucius



Walt Andrus

Farish. I subscribe to Jim Moseley's *Saucer Smear* for its UFO humor and fringe information.

Mark Rodeghier, one of the editors of *IUR—International UFO Reporter*, has been mailing me the quarterly issues on a regular basis, which I appreciate.

What have I been doing to enjoy my retirement? Firstly, I resurrected the Townewood Village East Homeowners Association and serve as its treasurer.

Secondly, I serve on the Board of Directors of the Seguin Silver Center, where I am chairman of the weekly Friday night dances and deliver meals.

In September, 2003, I started producing a monthly Country Music Show at the Silver Center as a fundraising and entertainment event for country music aficionados. I am currently the vice president of the Chaparral Amateur Radio Club, and recently joined the Elks Lodge.

After a hiatus of 30 years, I now have the time to indulge in my hobbies as a philatelist; a numismatist, amateur radio operator (W5VRN), and dancer.

My dancing has been inspired by my lovely partner, Ruth Howell. MUFON correspondence has provided thirty years of postage stamps from countries around the world. Purchasing U.S. mint coins during this period has been an asset. Getting back into "ham radio" has

been a gradual experience; however, the incentive has been revitalization of the weekly Saturday mornings MUFON Amateur Radio Net on 7237 MHz.

I have been blessed with three more great-grandchildren during the ensuing years—Miles and Campbell Guillen, joining their older brother Derek and our first great-granddaughter Genevieve Marie Kelly ("Eve" for short), named after her great grandmother—"Mrs. MUFON."

On Dec. 12, 2004, I celebrated my 84th birthday, and my health is exemplary. I am still residing at 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, TX 78155-4018, where I welcome mail and used postage stamps. The telephone number is 830-372-2935.

Disposition of the MUFON library remains pending a future MUFON research facility to be established.

May I again thank all of the MUFON members worldwide who have helped to sustain MUFON since its founding in 1969, making it not only the leading UFO organization, but the oldest on the "blue planet."

It has been my distinct pleasure to serve as your International Director for thirty years, and pray that posterity will remember us for continuing the search for answers to the greatest mystery of the space age. God Bless each and every one of you in our quest.

Exhibitors wanted for 2005 MUFON Symposium


MUFON is seeking qualified exhibitors for the 2005 Symposium to be held in Denver, CO, July 22, 23, 24, 2005.

For information about being an exhibitor, contact Clifford Clift cclift@ctos.com or 970-352-4478.

Silent auction planned for MUFON Symposium

A special silent auction of UFO memorabilia, rare signed books, and other "valuable" UFO stuff is planned as a fundraiser during the upcoming MUFON Symposium in Denver.

Send ideas and bring money. Send ideas and things to put up for bid to: tpdeuley@aol.com. More details later.



PERCEPTIONS

By Stanton T. Friedman

Jennings Program on ABC

By the time you read this, you will have read a ton of verbiage about the Feb. 24 Peter Jennings ABC "UFOs: Seeing is Believing." I think it is appropriate for me to comment, since so many people sent me emails about it.

Almost all were sympathetic about what they considered the unfair treatment that I and the Roswell incident received.

The producers in Roswell interviewed me for more than an hour in July, 2004. Don Schmitt, who has been active in Roswell research for many years, was also interviewed. He and a film crew actually went out to the site, which was marked out for more archeological digging.

About 20 seconds of my interview was shown, with none of Don's nor of the scientific work site. I had been cautiously optimistic after hearing a few weeks before the showing that I had made the cut, but that 100 people had not.

My optimism decreased when I heard that Seth Shostak, Frank Drake, and Jill Tartar (SETI Specialists), as well as Michael Shermer, skeptic, were going to be on.


Despite all their writing about SETI, it was clear that none knew anything about UFOs. Proclamation is not the same as investigation.

I had jokingly told people that, after all, Peter Jennings and I were both dual citizens of the USA and Canada and, surprisingly, both had been born on July 29. How could I not trust him? I didn't place enough emphasis on the fact that Benito Mussolini was also born on July 29.

I was favorably impressed with the first portion, with interviews with aircraft crewmembers, comments about Blue Book's focus on explaining away sightings, and the interview with Major Friend, whom I had met at Blue Book in the early 1960s.

The second half of the show was like a horror film. The SETI people waxed

poetic about their wonderful search for ET Signals. There was no indication of any knowledge of UFOs, other than one of the sillier moments of the show when Jill Tartar described having a sighting of the moon partially obscured by clouds. This was worth re-creating??



Stanton T. Friedman

One can see why the SETI people don't want to deal with eyewitness testimony.

I think one could also see why I say that SETI stands for Silly Effort to Investigate, and why I talk of the cult of SETI: Charismatic hand

waving, very strong dogma (they must be out there, they can't be coming here, we will make the most important discovery in Man's history a signal from a distant civilization, and nobody could possibly come here... if they did, we would be out of a job) and strong irrational claims about the absence of evidence. Meaning "we don't dare review it."

Shostak proclaims when he finds a signal they will tell everybody else, who will then verify it, and anybody can use his own antenna.

What happens if the transmission stops? How many can afford their own Hat Creek Telescope. System? Does he think the signal will be "Testing 1, 2" repeated over and over again? That we can order the saucer to stop while we do measurements?

Dr. Tyson joined the crowd and proclaimed that eyewitness testimony may be OK in court, but not in science. Tell Jane Goodall that.

Several times PJ used the term mainstream science along with a proclamation about its non-acceptance of UFO reality. No evidence was presented.

It appears that the only mainstream

science he was talking about was astronomy. Think of chemists, biologists, and geologists, us physicists, etc. Much of science today was based on eyewitness testimony of something unusual. Think Roentgen and X rays.

I believe that most mainstream scientists like me believe that the methodology has to suit the problem. Unpredictable, brief appearances of strange craft (not under the control of the observer or of Mother Nature) behaving in strange ways require eyewitness testimony, as of course do airplane crashes, crimes, etc.

Polls have consistently shown that the greater the education the more likely to accept UFO reality. Two polls of Research and Development people showed that about 2/3 of them who expressed an opinion said flying saucers were real. But then they live in the real world, unlike the SETI Cultists.

The program contained, as might be expected based on past experience, a major put-down on star travel from people who know absolutely nothing about space travel.

We were told that the Voyager spacecraft, our fastest spacecraft, launched 30 years ago, will take 73,000 years to reach the nearest star, and that the fastest man-made object goes only 11 miles per second, compared to the speed of light at 186,000 miles per sec.

Wow! Sounds like we sure can't get there from here. These are both totally misleading. The Voyager hasn't been attached to a propulsion system since it left the vicinity of the earth!! It is coasting.

This is like tossing a bottle into the ocean or a feather into the air as a basis for estimating crossing time for the Queen Mary 2 or the SST or the space station.

We physicists have accelerated particles in the vacuum chambers of expensive accelerators to speeds of 99.99% of the speed of light. 11 mps is absurd. Space is a very large vacuum chamber.

These totally misleading comments

rank on a par with Dr. Simon Newcombe's claim in October, 1903, (two months before the Wright Brothers' first flight) that the only way man would fly would be with the help of a balloon.

Dr. Bickerton in the 1920s proved "scientifically" that it would be impossible to provide enough energy to put anything into orbit.

Dr. Campbell in 1941 "scientifically" calculated that the required initial launch weight of a rocket able to get a man to the moon and back would be a million million tons. He was, because of his total ignorance about space flight, off by a factor of 300,000,000.

All three were, like the SETI cultists, astronomers. With this track record, why believe any of their proclamations?

I was involved more than 40 years ago in work on a fusion propulsion system able to eject particles having 10 million times as much energy per particle as in a chemical rocket. This of course was not presented. After all, I was just a promoter.

A real hatchet job was done on Budd Hopkins in the show's segment on UFO abductions. The witnesses were OK, but then we have the off-the-wall proclamations about sleep paralysis being the explanation, coupled with hypnosis to generate false testimony from the witnesses.

All the data provided by Budd about the fact that many abductions don't take place in bed (think Betty and Barney Hill, Travis Walton, etc), that there are many cases when more than one person is abducted (is sleep paralysis contagious?), and that at least 30% of abduction investigations do not involve hypnosis was left on the cutting room floor.

Budd has worked with more than 600 abductees. Had the two Harvard psychologists worked with more than a dozen? Why wasn't any of Harvard psychiatrist John Mack's interview run?

The pronouncement that there is no benefit of hypnosis in memory enhancement is false. Phil Klass made the same claim to me, but stopped when I provided an article about a stonemason being able, under hypnosis, to describe tiny details on a particular stone that he had placed years earlier.

Finally we have the Roswell segment. I was introduced as a Roswell promoter. The term was used twice. There was no

mention of the fact that I was a nuclear physicist who had worked for the likes of GE, GM, Westinghouse, etc. The totally unjustifiable term myth was used at least twice. PJ should be ashamed.

Jesse Marcel junior was filmed. There was no mention of the fact that he is a medical doctor, a flight surgeon colonel in the reserve, and serving in Iraq despite being 67.

His father was called an intelligence officer, but without adding that the group was the most elite military group in the world, the 509th, which had dropped the A bombs on Japan. Don't these facts go to credibility?

Of course I am a Roswell promoter, based on 27 years of research and investigation, the outlay of thousands of dollars and thousands of hours, finding loads of supporting testimony, and visits to 20 archives—all ignored by the noisy negativists, and none of this presented in the program.

At the request of the producers I had provided a total of 57 videos from which they used a few clips. One video was the 105-minute "Recollections of Roswell," which included testimony from 27 witness, including Retired General Thomas Jefferson DuBose.

He told me of taking the call from Gen. Clements McMullen, head of SAC, who was the boss of 8th Air Force Commander Roger Ramey (who was DuBose's boss), saying to get the press off their back, send some wreckage up here today, and never talk about it again.

For reasons unknown, they had historian Robert Goldberg tell the Roswell tale, although he was seriously in error in his description of Roswell in his book about conspiracies and on the show.

They gave Karl Pflock quite a bit of time with his Roswell debunking. They blindly accepted the Mogul Balloon explanation, even though there is no evidence to support it—the materials characteristics don't match witness testimony, and the dates and locations are wrong.

They stressed the high security for Mogul... vastly overstated, since several launches were allowed to just drop in the desert—no chase planes or ground teams. At least the crash test dummies weren't paraded. I have dealt with all the objections in my MUFON 2003 paper "Critiquing the Roswell Critics."

The real promoters on the show were the SETI cultists with their myths. They

have no evidence of any kind that there is anybody out there, that there are signals being sent, or that they can receive and interpret such signals if there are any, using our primitive technology. An AM radio can't pick up FM signals. They can't admit that there is overwhelming evidence of alien visitation.

It appears that the producers were perfectly willing to present some interesting testimony, though they left out things like Project Blue Book Special Report 14, other large scale scientific studies, and the statement by AF Gen. Carroll Bolender that reports of UFOs which could affect national security were not part of the Blue Book system.

But the three areas of investigation that clearly together establish both the cover-up and that the planet is being visited (Roswell, abductions, and the fact that interstellar travel is feasible with reasonable trip times) were trashed.

Sounds like when push came to shove they lacked any courage at all. It was nice to give a neat segment at the end of the program to Michio Kaku saying that maybe visitors are well ahead of us and can warp space and time.

Fusion propulsion systems are much closer in time. Blacked out and whited out government UFO documents force one to the conclusion that the government is not just incompetent with Blue Book, but lying through its teeth.

Perhaps I should mention that only 11.6 million people watched the show. The Unsolved Mysteries program on NBC in 1989 about Roswell was seen by over 28 million people the first time around and 30 million the second time.

Particularly irritating was the frequent mention of lights in the sky, billions of stars, and absence of physical evidence.

There was not even the slightest mention of Ted Phillips' 3000+ excellent physical trace cases from 90 countries. Why show Chris McKay digging in desert dirt and not the traces left by a UFO?

Frankly I was also bothered by the proclamations by nasty noisy negativist retired USAF officer James McGaha. We had a full-scale debate in Tennessee. The video is noted at my website: "<http://www.stantonfriedman.com>"

It is easy to say we need both sides. But is that true when one side does his research by investigation and the other side does it by proclamation?

Friedman fsphys@rogers.com

Director's Message

(Continued from Page 2)

develop the MUFON mission and goals and has defined tough strategies to assure the goals are met.

MUFON's mission is the scientific study of UFOs for the benefit of humanity through investigation, research and education.

The goals are as follows: 1) **Investigate UFO sightings** and collect data in the MUFON Database for use by researchers worldwide. 2) **Promote Research on UFOs** to discover the true nature of the phenomenon, with an eye towards scientific breakthroughs, and improving life on our planet. 3) **Educate the public** on the UFO phenomenon and its potential impact on society.

A lot of work is being done on the strategies, such as developing a new, easy-to-use sightings database; developing reports and articles for the media; defining a new public relations plan; continuing to build the investigator organization; developing research teams; and developing a marketing plan.

We appreciate the hard work of all of the MUFON volunteers to make these things a reality.

Position Announcements

It is a pleasure to announce that **G. Louis Inks** has accepted the position of State Director for Oklahoma, replacing **Susan Hill**. We thank Susan for her hard work and dedication to MUFON and wish her well.

Louis Inks has appointed **Marilyn Carlson, CHT**, of Yukon, OK as Assistant State Director for the northern counties of Oklahoma. She is a clinical hypnotherapist and field investigator.

Bill Jones, MUFON State Director for Ohio, expanded the area of responsibility for several State Section Directors. **Delbert Anderson** of Laurelville, OH, is now SSD for Pike and Hocking counties. **Robert "Pete" Hartinger** of Circleville, OH, is now SSD for Fayette and Pickaway counties. **Mary Zahler** of North Canton, OH, is now SSD for Tuscarawas and Stark counties.

Ruben Uriarte, State Director for Northern California, has appointed **Steve Reichmuth**, of Antioch, CA, to the position of State Section Director for Alameda County.

Thomas A. Hanley of Sierra Vista,

AZ, has accepted the position of Research Specialist in Socio-cultural Anthropology.

Andrew Carey of Albuquerque, NM, has accepted the position of Research Specialist in Anthropology.

Ursula B. Scott of Lakewood, WA, has accepted the position of Research Specialist in Psychology, and Translator for the German language.

Matthias Will of Mainz, Germany, has accepted the position of Translator for the German language.

Hana Kotek of Fuquay Varina, NC, has accepted the position of Translator for the Czech and German languages.

Sergio Lub of Walnut Creek, CA, has accepted the position of Translator for the Spanish language.

John Daniels of Palo Alto, CA, has accepted the position of Translator for the Russian language.

New Lifetime Members

MUFON has two new lifetime members. It is a pleasure to announce that **Charles C. Reeve** of Truckee, CA, and **David Douglass** of Pittsburgh, PA, are now Lifetime Members. We are extremely grateful for this vote of confidence and level of support for MUFON. Thank you, Charles and David.

New Field Investigators

Kathleen Marden, Director of Field Investigator Training, has announced that **Frank L. Carlisle** of Birmingham, AL; **Richard West, Jr.** of Copperas Cove, TX; **John Dunai**, of Ithaca, NY; **Robert H. Fiske** of Vail, Co; **Beverly McGrane** of Phoenix, AZ; **Steve Reichmuth** of Antioch, CA; and **Reannza Ward** of Elizabethton, TN, have passed the MUFON Field Investigator's Exam and are now MUFON Field Investigators. MUFON now has 435 active Field Investigators and 853 Field Investigator Trainees.

All Field Investigator Trainees are urged to self-study the *MUFON Field Investigator's Manual* and take the exam. State Directors and State Chief Investigators are good resources for help.

The manual is available from MUFON Headquarters for \$25 plus \$3.50 p&h in the U.S. Total price for delivery outside of the U.S. is \$32.50. It may also be purchased via the Internet at www.mufon.com using PayPal.

CALENDAR

April 1-3—Aztec UFO Symposium, Aztec, NM, featuring Linda Moulton Howe, John Greenwald Jr, Rob Swiatek, Susan Swiatek, Dr. David Rudiak, Moreen Skully, Travis Walton, and Dennis Balthaser. 877-823-5810 aztecufo@sisna.com, www.aztecufo.com.

April 8-10—17th annual Ozark UFO Conference, Eureka Springs, AR, featuring Wendelle Stevens, Grant Cameron, Linda Moulton Howe, Timothy Good, David Marler, Nancy Talbott, Scott & Suzanne Ramsey, Forest Crawford, Bill Hamilton, and Ted Phillips. www.ozarkufo.com, ozarkufo@webtv.net, 501-354-2558.

April 16-17—UFO/ET Congress, Bordentown, NJ, Days Inn, Rt. 206 at exit 7 of NJTP. Pat Marcattilio, 609-631-8955 (11 AM-3 PM or Sat/Sun) or Tom Benson at 609-883-6921 (after 6 PM).

April 22-24—Second Annual Exopolitics Expo (X-Conference), featuring Stephen Bassett, Cheryl Jones, Paul Davids, Richard Dolan, Stanton Friedman, John Greenwald Jr, Paola Harris, Dr. Michael S. Heiser, Dr. Lynne Kitei, Jaime Maussan, Dr. Richard Sauder, David Sereda, Alfred L. Webre, Walt Andrus, Dr. Robert Wood, & Ryan Wood. www.paradigmclock.com, www.x-conference.com, 202-215-8344, ParadigmRG@aol.com.

July 22-24—36th annual MUFON UFO Symposium, Marriott Tech Center Hotel, Denver, CO, featuring Phyllis Budinger, Richard Dolan, Elaine Douglass, Stanton Friedman, Paola Harris, Alan C. Holt, Dr. Roger Leir, Dr. Edgar Mitchell, Scott Ramsey, Dr. R. Leo Sprinkle, Dr. Claude Swanson, and Tracie Austin-Pe-

UFO Newsclipping Service

Monthly collection of news stories and features about UFOs and related phenomena from the world's press, including translations.

For a sample copy and additional information, contact UFONS, 2 Caney Valley Drive, Plumerville, AR 72127-8725, or ufons@webtv.net

UFO MARKETPLACE


For advertising, contact John Schuessler, schuessler@mho.net, 303-948-6224.

World's Best UFO Cases

By Dwight Connelly

Editor, MUFON UFO Journal

This is a collection of the very best cases of the past 50 years, investigated by the very best researchers. Essentially, it is "The Best of the MUFON UFO Journal."



Included are contributions by Stanton Friedman (Hill Star Map), John Schuessler (Cash-Landrum encounter), Ray Fowler (Allagash abductions), John Carpenter (video-taped abduction), Bill Chalker (alien DNA case), Don Burleson (deciphering Ramey message), David Rudiak (evaluating Ramey message), Nancy Talbott (science of crop circles), Ted Bloecher (New Berlin repair case), Dr. Roger Leir (implants), Stan

Gordon (Bigfoot), Phyllis Budinger (analysis of Delphos soil; analysis of Betty Hill dress); Walter Webb (Buff Ledge abductions), Kathleen Marden (Betty & Barney Hill), and Len Stringfield (three Kentucky women abducted).


Other cases covered include the Hickson-Parker abductions at Pascagoula, Betty & Barney Hill, Travis Walton, Australia's Kelly Cahill multiple witness encounter (Probably the top case—illustrated on the book's cover), McMinnville photos, Father Gill's close sighting, what we really know about animal abductions/mutilations, historic UFO art, and the ongoing Romanek case.

The compiling, writing, and editing of this 240-page soft cover book is by Dwight Connelly, MUFON Journal editor.

Order from the author at 14026 Ridgelawn Road, Martinsville, IL 62442. \$9.95 plus \$2.50 shipping (single or multiple copies). Signed-inscribed copies on request. I'll see you at Eureka Springs.

The Cash-Landrum UFO Incident

By John Schuessler (forward by Bob Pratt), 323 pages, soft cover, 5 1/2 X 8 1/2, \$20.00 plus \$2.50 post. Please add \$4 additional for shipping outside the U.S. Available from MUFON, Box 369, Morrison, CO 80465-0369.



The Largest Selection of UFO Products on the Internet

Send for our

FREE UFO Products Catalog

- Hundreds of UFO documentaries on DVD and VHS
- Vintage UFO radio shows
- Gifts & Accessories



www.theUFOstore.com

24 hour Order / FAX line at
541.523.2630


theUFOstore

1930 Ash Street, Suite 9M

email: sales@theUFOstore.com Baker City, Oregon 97814, USA

The Allies of Humanity Book Two: Human Unity, Freedom & the Hidden Reality of Contact

By Marshall Vian Summers



The Greatest Event in Human History. The Greatest Threat to Human Freedom. The Greatest Opportunity for Human Unity. Thousands of sightings reported each year. 50+ years of study. Isn't it time to see and know this for what it is? Read The Allies

Briefings. Take a stand for humanity. The Intervention is here . . .

206 pages, \$14.95, New Knowledge Library
www.alliesofhumanity.org, 1-800-938-3891

8-05

Advertising rates


	1x	3x	6x
Back cover	\$450	\$425	\$400
Inside back cover	\$425	\$400	\$375
Full page	\$350	\$325	\$300
1/2 page	\$250	\$225	\$200
1/4 page	\$150	\$125	\$100
"Calling card"	\$55	\$50	\$45

Advertising deadlines

Issue	Ads due
May, 2005	4-1-05
June, 2005	5-1-05
July, 2005	6-1-05
August, 2005	7-1-05
Sept., 2005	8-1-05

(Occasionally these deadlines can be modified. Please check.)

The MUFON UFO Journal reserves the right to refuse advertising for any reason.



The Night Sky

By Gavin A. J. McLeod

May 2005

Moon Phases:

- Last Quarter: May 1
- New Moon: May 8
- First Quarter: May 16
- Full Moon: May 23
- Last Quarter: May 30

Bright Planets (Evening Sky):

Venus: Moving from Aries to Taurus. Venus will emerge in the west-northwest evening twilight sky, and will be more easily observed in the southern hemisphere than the northern hemisphere.

Jupiter: In Virgo. For northern hemisphere observers Jupiter will stand about 40 degrees high at the end of evening twilight, and will set in the west near 3 AM.

For southern hemisphere observers Jupiter will stand about 45 degrees high in the east-northeast at the end of evening twilight and will set in the west after 3 AM.

Saturn: In Gemini. For northern hemisphere observers Saturn will have degraded in visibility this month compared to the previous month. By the end of the month Saturn will only be visible during evening twilight low in the west-northwest.


For southern hemisphere observers Saturn will stand nearly 20 degrees high in the northeast at the end of evening twilight by month's end.

Bright Planets (Morning Sky):

Mercury: Moving from Pisces through Aries to Taurus. Mercury will be difficult to see for northern hemisphere observers.

For southern hemisphere observers this will be one of the best times to observe Mercury as it rises 1.5 hours before the Sun, and will remain visible low in the east-northeast during morning twilight. In the third week of the month Mercury will become lost in the glare of the Sun.

Mars: In Aquarius. For northern hemisphere observers Mars will rise about 2.5 hours before the Sun in the east-southeast, and will be visible dur-



Conjunction of Moon and Jupiter

ing morning twilight low in the east-southeast.

For southern hemisphere observers Mars will rise near 1 AM in the east, and reach a maximum height of 55 degrees above the east-northeast horizon at the end of morning twilight.

Eta Aquarids Meteor Shower:

The moon should not interfere with the viewing of the Eta Aquarids. These meteors that originate from the famous Halley's comet can be seen from the end of April until the middle part of May.

They peak on the 5th of May and can produce around 30 meteors or more per hour. Viewing will be more favorable in the southern hemisphere.

Case reports

Investigators. Please send a copy of your case reports directly to the *MUFON UFO Journal*.