


(c) crown copyright





Regis	stered File Disposal Form	MOD Form 262 (Revised 9/0
し P ざ	LE: (Main Heading - Secondary Heading - Tertiary Heading etc) る PJ&L・C LORESPONJENCE RJ、JTENT LoresPonJence Stion 40	Reference: (Prefix and Number):)/JAJ(JEC//64/3/3 Part: A
PROTEC	TIVE MARKING (including caveats & descriptors):	WC MAFIE!
Date of la	ast enclosure: 5 APRIL 2004 Date closed:	31 JAN 07
PART 1.	DISPOSAL SCHEDULE RECOMMENDATION (To be completed when the file is closed) FOR Destroy after years V Forward to INFO(EXP)-R after years Date of 1st review Reviewer's Signature: Signature:	Date of 2nd review Reviewer's Signature:
PART 2.	BRANCH REVIEW (To be fully completed at time of file closure) (Delete as appropriate)	V
. · · ·	Of no further administrative value and not worthy of permanent preservation, DES: and Codeword material cannot be destroyed locally and must be forwarded to INFO(EXI 	
	✓	
	LEGAL DEFENCE POLICY + OPE	
	CONTRACTUAL ORIGINAL COMMITTEE F	PAPERS
	FINANCE/AUDIT MAJOR EQUIPMENT PRO	DJECT []

(iii) At the end of the specified retention period the file is to be:	
Destroyed	
Considered by DR for permanent preservation	
c. Of no further administrative value but worthy of consideration by INFO(EXP)-R for permanent preservation.	
PART 3. BRANCH REV Section 40 2/equivalent) PART 4 DESTRUCTION CERTIFICATE Signature:	
Section 40 Signature:	
Name:	
Branch Title and Full Address: DAS FOI Grade/Rank: Date:	
DAS ISO Witnessed by (TOP SECRET* and SECRET only)	
LEVEL 5, ZONE H	
MAIN BUILDING (Block Capitals)	
Grade/Rank: Date:	
Tel No: (FOR DR USE ONLY) Produced by MOD, DSDA(PC) KY Tet. 0117 9976256 1A 213 Astron, J0207262, 05/0]



From: <u>Section 40</u> Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a




A LAND SALE SALES

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Dear Section 40

Thank you for you letter dated 26 March 2004.

Please find attached a list as requested of the reports for 2003. As you can see there was no report made in the Dover area of Kent. I also enclose the report from Lowestoft in Suffolk in 2004.

I hope this will be of help.

Yours sincerely



Continued

The Anciosus B.

2003 UFO Reports

¢

Date	Time	Village	Town	County
03/01/03	11:50	Rotary Court	Netley Abbey	Hants
04/01/03	19:20		Limber	North Lineslashing
04/01/03	19.20	Lade Road,	LINDEI	North Lincolnshire
06/01/03	15 [.] 00	Meltham	Huddersfield	
06/01/03			Hull	East Yorkshire
07/01/03	21:26		Blackpool	Lust rontshire
		Coaltown of		
08/01/03	22:00	Balconie		
10/01/03	03:00		Brighton	
		Hayford House,		
10/01/03		Mill Lane	Stirling	
11/01/03	23:45	Romsley Lane	Bridgnorth	
13/01/03		Deans	Livingston	
15/01/03	22:10	Penllyn	Cowbridge	
16/01/03	12:06		Northwich	Cheshire
19/01/03	10:00		Stonehaven	
25/01/03	19:08	Frog Lane	Tattonhall	Chester
25/01/03	10.00	Fordland	Dealifertan Cartle	T-44
29/01/03	an concernence and	Ford Lane	Peckforton Castle	Tattenhall
	18:15		Wolverhampton	
16/02/03	17:20		Birmingham	
19/02/03	18.30	Godmanchester	Huntingdon	Combridgoobiro
21/02/03	10:00		Penryn	Cambridgeshire Cornwall
21/02/00	10.00			Corriwali
24/02/03			Wellingborough	
25/02/03	23:00		Southfields	
				-
01/03/03		Ethel Street	Northampton	Northamptonshire
			·	·····
02/03/03	15:54	Ynysybwl	Pontypridd	Mid-Glamorgan
07/03/03			Ashburton	Devon
14/03/03	15:30	The Drive	Walthamstow	
10/00/00		-		
19/03/03	20:50		Selby (Location of sighting)	North Yorkshire
30/03/03	20:00		Aldershot	
30/03/03	16:00	Filey	North Yorkshire	
30/03/03			Glastonbury	Somerset
31/03/03	09:20		London	
05/04/03	03:58			
07/04/02	01.51	Filov		North Vorkshins
07/04/03 07/04/03	21:51 03:30			North Yorkshire
01104/03	03.30			
07/04/03	02:30	Florence Terrace	Falmouth	
11/04/03	22:21			
24/04/03	16:30	Shepherds Bush	London	
26/04/03	11:09		Bagshot	Surrey
05/05/03	18:30			
13/05/03	17:45		Belfast	
25/05/03	23:00	*****	Sandyford	Lanarkshire
25/05/03		Linwood		
25/05/03	·····	St.Leonards	East Kilbride	
28/05/03	07:50		Coltishall	Norfolk

2003 UFO Reports

ł

30/05/03	23:25		Hessle	East Yorkshire
31/05/03	21:00	Sheriff Hill	Gateshead	
09/06/03	06:40		Isleworth	
14/06/03		Girton	Cambridge	
14/06/03	15:39		Walthamstow	
14/06/03	21:35			
20/06/03	08:35		Dunstable	Kent
24/06/03				
		Abbey Wood		
26/06/03	16:28	MOD		
28/06/03		Weston	Bath	
08/07/03	22:00	Putson	Hereford	Herefordshire
08/07/03	22:00		Hereford	Herefordshire
08/07/03	03:00		London	
12/07/03		Tonyrefal	Rhonda	
13/07/03	20:30		Winterly	Cheshire
			, trincorty	•
14/07/03	21:30			Northamptonshire
15/07/03	21:40			
15/07/03	03:25		Bermondsey	
	00.20		Comonaccy	
15/07/03	00:30		Malvern	Worcestershire
20/07/03		Bridlington		East Yorkshire
22/07/03			Bridlington	East Yorkshire
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Diramigion	
22/07/03	22:50		Filey	North Yorkshire
27/07/03	18:00		Gravesend	Kent
04/08/03	21:00		Wellingborough	Northants
08/08/03	22:35	West Kilbride		Ayrshire
13/08/03	22:55	Maestaeg		
14/08/03		Swafford	Newport	Gwent
14/08/03	23:57		Worcester	
14/08/03	18:00		Kent	
16/08/03		Amesbury	Wiltshire	
17/08/03	23:45		Bonnybridge	Scotland
19/08/03		St Seithins		Perthshire
21/08/03	14:00		Lincoln	
	17.00			
27/08/03	23:00		Worcester/Malvern	
27/08/03	23:00		Worcester	
03/09/03	21:35		Wellingborough	Northants
04/09/03	23:40		Queensbury Moor	West Yorkshire
13/09/03	20:15		Eastbourne	East Sussex
13/09/03	22:40		Manchester	
13/09/03	21:40		Leeds	
17/09/03	x ar an a	Spunney Hilll	Northampton	
17/09/03	21:00		Northolt	
18/09/03	03:00		Wimbledon	
18/09/03	03:00		Wimbledon	
19/09/03		Holdingham	Sleaford	Lincolnshire
24/09/03	20:45	Tommyndill		
24/09/03	20.45		Barny	
		\\/hitpa:/	Barry	Wales
14/10/03	00.00	Whitney		Oxfordshire

## 2003 UFO Reports

26/10/03	21:06	North Wirral	Merseyside
05/11/03	16:30	London	
17/11/03	02:25	Bromley	Kent
25/11/03	14:25 Wem		Shropshire
01/12/03	01:30 Melton	Woodbridge	Suffolk
02/12/03	01:30	Thame	Oxfordshire
02/12/03	01:20 Long Crendon	Aylesbury	Bucks
17/12/03	19:15	Wokingham	
29/12/03	01:50	Edinburgh	



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 3BP.

ŧ,

26 March 2004.

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 15 March, many thanks for pointing out the error on my part, yes you were right I had made a mistake, I should have been requesting the listing of sightings to your department for the year of 2003.

Also the report from the Dover area of Kent should of course have been July 2003, and would be most interested in receiving a copy of that report if possible?

Please accept my sincere apologies for not realizing this error, and that hopefully I have not been wasting your time. I also thank you for the reports that you have sent me so far for this current year (2004).

I was interested to see that you had a report from Lowestoft in Suffolk for the 1 March 2004, and wonder if it would be possible if I could also have a copy of that report?

Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3




MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Aven

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40





I am writing with regard to your enquiry about whether, since September 2001, Police Forces have been instructed to send out patrol vehicles to investigate sightings of unidentified craft reported to them by members of the public and, if so, whether these procedures were instigated by the MOD or the Home Office. I apologise for the length of time it has taken to send you a substantive reply.

I can confirm that the Ministry of Defence has not issued any specific instructions to the Police regarding UFO sightings reported to them. From time to time, Police Stations forward to us, UFO reports that they have received, but these procedures have not changed in recent years. The Association of Chief Police Officers are responsible for developing Police policies on behalf of the Service as a whole and if you wished to pursue your enquiries concerning internal Police procedures you may wish to contact them at the following address.

The Association of Chief Police Officers 25 Victoria Street London SW1H OEX

Telephone: 020 7227 3434 Fax: 020 7227 3400 e-mail: info@acpo.police.uk

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

(Discolor)

Tolonhono

	E-Mail	(Switchboard) (Fax) das-laopspol1a@o	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 defence.mod.uk
		·····	
Section 40		Your Reference	
		Our Reference D/DAS/64/3/3	
		Date October 2003	
Dear Section 40	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Thank you for your letter dated 8 September. Please find enclosed, copies of the reports that you requested, with the informant's personal details deleted in order to protect their confidentiality. The sightings were all made by members of the public and the details of the channels through which they were notified to us are shown on the reports. I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincereh Section 40

Civil Aviation Authority 45-49 Kings way London WC2

Sir

## RE: U.F.O.'s Lots above Folkestone Harbour on morning of 28th January 01

Please tell me what these UFO Air Jets were doing Zooming about at hight not known, under cloud base. They appeared to crash i.e. touch in contact and make zooming noises across the skyline. They were watched by others and me for a short while i.e. 1.37 hours.

Tell me were these Air Jets Alien ships visiting us, or were they a projection a visual audio view beamed from a land base sight or was it MIR (mirror erect) or Apollo teleporting a visual coil vile.

On to next UFO sighting

Before the above I did walk into Folkestone town centre at about 9.34am I did witness a silver voile spin top bobbing at height 300 foot it appeared to be yo-yoing in sky about size of a football. I did witness this object for a good ten minutes at this point I went to local café for breakfast. After breakfast I came out of café and the object had gone. Was this object a photomb torpedo core plug?

I await your replay

On this day I did go to hospital as a patient admitted for own safety. Two months later on evening of 4th April 2001 later, I did witness 3 more Fobs they bobbed about above Ashford Tesco food store Area. They were low in sky about 200 feet up they took on the appearance of three silver tinsel stars. I believe these UFO to be the three wise men in spirit form checking that I was safe in my bed after my rebirth.

Please write back because I believe the above to an interest to all.

Please note I have always been interested in UFO's and I am keen to find out the truth about these sightings.

# REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

. 5

Ars(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Drayton Middlesex UB7 9AU DAS Operations and Policy, Rm 673 Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue	
DAS Operations and Policy, Rm 673 Metropole Building	
London WC2N 5BP	
AUTHORISING OFFICER: TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT: RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
SIGNATURE: SIGNATURE:	

A	DATE: 7 SEP 01 TIME: 1945 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING
В	DESCRIPTION OF OP IECT.
	Number Sound?
	Size BALL OF FIRE Smell? Shan
1	Colour RED.
F	Brightness
1	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
	Geographical location: B2000570175
	Indoors/Outdoors/Stationary/Moving
D	
	COLOT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera EVES
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
	(A landmark may be useful)
	EAST
F	
Ĭ	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
	NK
Н	
	TODATOS MARCON L
	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT: TOWARDS MARGATE (SEA / COAST)
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	(Moving clouds, mist, naze etc):
к	Bw.
n	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	TO WHOM REPORTED
	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Police
	Military Organisation:
	Airport: MANSTON ATC CUISD / 1
	Military Organisation: Airport: MANSTON ATC / CN SUP / MOD Other:
M	
	INFORMANTS' DETAILS. Name: Address:
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMATION
	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:
-	
9	OTHER WITNESS?
	ITUS A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Р	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 17 dod 2 dog S co do
	$\frac{11}{100} \neq \frac{11}{100} \neq \frac{11}{100} = 1$

 		10.72	E RIM		(MAL)	ATCC
	1 C			-13	いいし	HILL

TO SEC AS

AY TRANSMISSION DETAIL	P.01
TRANSMISSION DETAILS: DTG of Tr	Transmission:
Ale	To: Section 40 SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB
AUTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:
CANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:	RANK/GRADE & NAME:
IGNATURE:	SIGNATURE:
DATE: 25 JULGI TIME: 141 DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT:	DURATION OF SIGHTING: 20 Stand
Number I Size NK Shape CIRCULAR Colour FLASHING BRIGHTHESS Brighthess Sound? None	Smell? NONE Other HIGH ALTITUDE
EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER: Geographical location:	

FOLKSTONE, KENT

1000	raOuto	loors	ationan	/Moving	3
IOW	OBJE	CT WAS	OBSE	RVED:	

6

D F

Naked eyeBinoculars/Camera/Video camera

E DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)

North EASTERIEY F ANGLE OF SIGHT:

G DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):

Nĸ

NK

Southerley

J MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):

HIGH CLOUDBASE - BRIGHT SUNSHINE K NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:

NONE

TO WHOM REPORTED: Press:

Ĺ

Police: KENT POLLE FOURSTONE / KENT POLLE MANDSTONE

Military Organisation: RAF Marston Airport: Other.

H MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:

M INFORMANTS' DETAILS:

Name: Address:

N ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:

O OTHER WITNESS? Noné P DATE/TIME OF REPORT:

-	Vhitehall	30/2
RATOR:		
E:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
F	RATOR:	RATOR:

<u> </u>	DATE: 04 JULY OF TIME: 0700 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: Few ninutes.
В	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? No
	Number ONE Other
	Size NK
	Shape
. 1	Colour BRIGHT LIGHT
	Brightness
	Sound? NO.
d	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
Ч	
	Geographical location: KENT.
	$\sim \sim \sim \sim$
	Indoors Outdoors Stationary/Moving
0	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
	lo THE NORTH.
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:
	20° EST.
G	
	(o) receives to a known landmark ir possible).
	on NK.
н	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
	WEST - EAST.
H	
1	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	CLEAR.
K	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	NK
L	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Press:
	Police;
	Military Organisation:
	Airport:
	Other:
M	INFORMANTS' DETAILS:
	Name:
	Address;
1 "	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:
	OTHER WITNESS?
I P	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 05 11002 Jun Ø1

#### Section 40

#### Section 40

Directorate of Air staff (Lower Airspace) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 5BP

Monday, 08 September 2003



Thank you for your letter dated 4 September. With regard to the listing that you sent me of reported sightings for the years 2001 and 2002, would it be possible for you to forward me copies of the following reports?

28/01/01 Folkestone, Kent 04/04/01 Folkestone, Kent 04/07/01 07:00 Kent 25/07/01 14:10 Folkestone, Kent 07/09/01 19:45 Broadstairs, Kent

Can you please tell me which of those above listed are reports from the general public via the Police? And which are via CAA facilities such as local airports, etc?

Thanking you in advance for your time and attention regarding this matter, and I shall look forward to hearing from you regarding my question set out to you in my letter of 25 July re police forces being tasked with sending out patrols to investigate reports.

Yours Sind	eren	ection 4	0	
Section 40				

61.37 en More, Iste of Mul-Section Directorate of Air stuff (Lower Airspuce), Operations & Policy 1a, MWISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73 Methopole Rickling, Nonthumberland Avenue, London WCZN SBP.

de la

с. С

The second se



.

•

1






From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) **Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE** Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

E-Mail

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40 das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk



Thank you for your letter dated 25 July; I am very sorry for the delay in responding. As requested, please find attached a list of all 'UFO' sightings that were reported to the Ministry of Defence during 2001 & 2002.

You also asked whether all police forces in the UK are now tasked with sending out a patrol to investigate sightings of unidentified craft reported to them by members of the public. We are currently awaiting information from another Department concerning this and promise to get back to you as soon as we can.

Yours sincerely ection 40

Date	Time	Location
04/01/01	03:30	Teignmouth, Devon
05/01/01		Ogwen Valley
05/01/01		Hunmanby
09/01/01	14:45	Copmanthorpe North Yorkshire
10/01/01		Dundee
10/01/01	22:30	Chelmsford, Essex
10/01/01	a fighter a second a second	Norwich
11/01/01	11:20	Swinton, Greater Manchester
11/01/01	20:29	Blackpool
12/01/01	23:20	Bootle, Merseyside
12/01/01	21:15	Tottingham, East Yorkshire
12/01/01		Christchurch, Dorset
12/01/01	23:20	Bootle, Merseyside
12/01/01	Ş	RAF Lyneham
13/01/01	·····	Liverpool
14/01/01	Sector contraction and a sector s	Tapleton, Preston
15/01/01	·····	Kirkcaldy
15/01/01		Lowerearly, Reading
15/01/01		Southfields
20/01/01		
20/01/01		Canvey Island, Essex Girvan
the state of the s	far an	
24/01/01	§	Enfield
24/01/01	·····	Bexhill, East Sussex
25/01/01	16:40	St.Andrews
28/01/01		Folkstone, Kent
28/01/01		Liverpool
29/01/01	Second and the second second	Dunnfield Dundee
31/01/01		Newark, Notts
04/02/01	04:00	No location given
06/02/01		St Columb
07/02/01	19:40	Pontmig, Caernarfon
07/02/01	10:00	Fleetwood
07/02/01	be and the second second second	High Overtoun, Dumbarton
07/02/01	19:45	Tregale, Cemaes Bay
08/02/01	19:45	Scunthorpe
08/02/01	19:40	Lincoln
08/02/01	19:40	Alderborough, East Yorkshire
08/02/01		Staffordshire
08/02/01	19:42	Preston Lancashire
08/02/01	19:42	Wrangel Nr Boston, Lincolnshire
08/02/01		Fife, Scotland
08/02/01		Duffield, Derbyshire
09/02/01	20:35	Stevenage Herts
09/02/01	20:23	Kilarity, Inverness-Shire
09/02/01	06:15	Manor Park, Slough
12/02/01	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	Goggesall, Colchester Essex
12/02/01		Ponty Pridd
13/02/01	***************************************	Morton
13/02/01	en an	Meltham, New Hampshire
13/02/01		Cornash Corwall
13/02/01		Liverpool
13/02/01	and the second se	Baschurch
14/02/01	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	North Ketsby
14/02/01		North Muirton, Perth
14/02/01		
16/02/01	******	Salisbury Wiltshire
10/02/01	10.30	Ryhall, Stanford Lincolnshire

Date	Time	
16/02/01	Second to the second	Nottingham/Leeds&Hull
16/02/01	21:00	Shelve, Minsterley
16/02/01		Scarborough
16/02/01		Ruskington
17/02/01	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Bradford, West Yorkshire
18/02/01	20:10	Rosneiger
19/02/01	Secondaria da como de la como de l	Staithes
21/02/01		Annan
22/02/01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Woodford, Green Essex
23/02/01		Hampstead
24/02/01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bracknell Berkshire
24/02/01	\$	Truro Cornwall
26/02/01	23:00	Leicestershire
28/02/01		Whitchurch
01/03/01	\$•••••••	Boddedern
01/03/01	a a tha an	Fleetwood, Lancashire
01/03/01	(*************************************	Fleetwood, Lancashire
01/03/01	19:00	Fleetwood
02/03/01		Castle Donnington
02/03/01		Castle Donnington
08/03/01		Fleetwood, Lancashire
12/03/01		Finchley, London
12/03/01	18:00	Carnforth, near Grange-over-Sands
20/03/01	01:00	Moseley, Birmingham
21/03/01		No location given
26/03/01	22:45	Edinburgh
29/03/01		Nr Gunnersbury Pk, London
31/03/01		Cobgate, Whaplode
31/03/01	en bener intelligen van van se	Gainsborough
03/04/01	***************************************	Chippenham, Wiltshire
03/04/01		Aberdeen
04/04/01		Folkstone, Kent
04/04/01	02:15	Barrmill, Beith
04/04/01		Mastrick, Aberdeen
13/04/01		Syderstone, Norfolk
13/04/01	23:15	Doncaster
16/04/01	01:30	Grimsby, NE Lincs
17/04/01		Fullerton Farm, By Montrose
06/05/01		Oxford
07/05/01	20:30	Dersingham, Norfolk
12/05/01		Townhill Swansea, Wales
14/05/01		Dorth, Rhonda Valley
20/05/01		Rudstow, Humberside
22/05/01	an a	Forest Hill, London
23/05/01	********************	Forest Hill, London
23/05/01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nottingham
31/05/01		Gainsborough, Lincolnshire
31/05/01		Worcester
01/06/01	10:30	Glasgow
03/06/01	errene errene er er en som ber	Hitchen, Hertfordshire
04/06/01		Cockermouth, Cumbria
08/06/01	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Keighley, West Yorkshire
10/06/01		Fenwick Newcastle-upon-Tyne
10/06/01		Arbroath
10/06/01		Kennoway
16/06/01		Diss Norfolk
17/06/01	·····	Weston-super-Mare
:		

Date	Time	Location
19/06/01	- where we wanted and	Golders Green
25/06/01		Llannon Llanelli
27/06/01		Osmondthorpe, Leeds
28/06/01	ويوبيوا بالمحارب الوياد محادثا فالأ	Whitney
02/07/01		London
04/07/01		Liverpool
04/07/01	07:00	
07/07/01	17:00	Osmondthorpe, Leeds
08/07/01	22:15	Cricklewood, London
10/07/01	08:10	Osmondthorpe, Leeds
14/07/01	09:08	Hull, East Yorkshire
15/07/01	16:55	Hellingly, East Sussex
16/07/01		Burton Joyce, Nottinghamshire
18/07/01	والمحير والمحاجب والمحاج والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة	Barrhead
18/07/01		Exmouth
18/07/01	21.15	Milford Haven
21/07/01		Countesthorpe, Leicestershire
21/07/01	20.50	Milton Keynes
21/07/01	20.50	
	44.00	Letchworth Hertfordshire
23/07/01		Perton Near Wolverhampton, South Staffordshire
23/07/01		Harrow
25/07/01		Folkstone, Kent
27/07/01	19:00	Aigburth, Liverpool
29/07/01		Sutton Coldfield
30/07/01	23:10	Nottingham
30/07/01	23:30	Bath
01/08/01	08:20	Ilkley, West Yorkshire
04/08/01	*****	Port Talbot
04/08/01	01:40	Bushey, Hertfordshire
04/08/01		Rickmansworth
04/08/01		Glasgow
05/08/01		Chipping Norton
05/08/01		Barnstable
06/08/01		Hurst, Greater Manchester
06/08/01	an an an an an an an Ar	
·····		Tweedmouth, Northumberland
11/08/01	***************************************	Birmingham, West Midlands
14/08/01	an a	Buxton, Derbyshire
16/08/01		Newport, Gwent
18/08/01		Abbotskerswell
18/08/01	atantatan serense serenge	Paisley
19/08/01		Shoeburyness, Southend-on-Sea
20/08/01	10:00	No location given
21/08/01	01:20	Berwick, Northumberland
22/08/01	22:56	Neport Pagnall, Buckinghamshire
27/08/01	***********************************	Roynton, Cheshire
27/08/01	***************************************	Nantwich, Cheshire
27/08/01	er en en en en en en en en des	Nantwich, Cheshire
28/08/01		Cherry Valley, Belfast
01/09/01		Gosport, Hants
01/09/01	conservation a servation a servation of the	Wallington, Surrey
02/09/01	**********************	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Roystone, Hertfordshire
07/09/01	and the second	Broadstairs, Kent
09/09/01		Truro, Cornwall
09/09/01	***************************************	Broxbridge, Sheffield
10/09/01	ากการการการสุดคลองคุณภูมิณ	Broxbridge, Sheffield
11/09/01		Hailsham, East Sussex
		Swindon, Wilts

Ĩ	Date	Time	
	16/09/01		Market Drayton
	17/09/01	06:00	Aslockton, Nottinghamshire
	21/09/01		Nottingham
	21/09/01	05:30	) Hailsham
	03/10/01		Plymouth
	07/10/01		Woodbridge, Cambridgeshire
	21/10/01		Teignmouth, Devon
	23/10/01	23:40	Forest Green, Dorking
	27/10/01		Great Yarmouth
	29/10/01		No location given
	31/10/01		Elm Wisbech, Cambridgeshire
· · ·	01/11/01		Wirrall
5	05/11/01		Hamilton, Scotland
- 14	05/11/01	\$	South Craydon, Surrey
÷	09/11/01	diaman and a second second second	Gwent
200	10/11/01		Stillington
	11/11/01		Sleaford
	15/11/01	05:59	Armthorpe, Doncaster
- 1. A	19/11/01	alfanan antara sara sana sa	Torquay
24	25/11/01	÷	Nairnside
- ŝ	25/11/01	·?************************************	Wheatley, Oxford
1.00	26/11/01		Pontefract, West Yorkshire
- i	26/11/01	11:20	Briton Ferry, Nr Port Talbot
	30/11/01		Glasgow
	02/12/01		Totnes, Devon
÷	09/12/01	÷•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Leven
· · · ·	10/12/01	ç	Blairgowrie, Perthshire
	10/12/01	06:15	Gaundiffaith Pontypool, Torfaen
	18/12/01		Offerton, Stockport
	18/12/01		Crawley, Sussex
	19/12/01		Offerton, Stockport
ş	25/12/01	02:40	No location given.
. j	25/12/01	00.00	No location given
	30/12/01		Lottersham, Baltonsborough
÷	31/12/01		Osmondthorpe, Leeds
	01/01/02		St.Andrews, Fife
	04/01/02	e come concernance	St.Clares, Carmarthen
	09/01/02	***************************************	Skeven, Swansea
	15/01/02		Deep Dale, Preston
- Long	19/01/02		Urmston, Manchester
- į	24/01/02	***************************************	Cromer
	27/01/02	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Whinmore, Leeds
1.00	28/01/02	a da an	Brookside, Telford
	6/02/02	**********************	Narborough, Leics
- j	3/02/02	21:10	Enfield, Middlesex
- Server	4/02/02	11:05	Corby, Northamptonshire
·	4/02/02	21:11	
	5/02/02		Richmond
	6/02/02		Walton-on-Thames Surrey
·	2/02/02	22:30	
	3/02/02		Kings Stanley, Gloucestershire
10000	4/02/02	an a	Newport, Gwent
·····	7/02/02	·····	Harrow
	8/02/02		St.Austell/Somerset/Dorset
(2,2,2,2)	2/03/02	a de la companya de l	Weston-Super-Mare
}	5/03/02		Ripley, Derbyshire
U	7/03/02	19.45	Hornchurch, Essex

Date	Time	
13/03/02	· · · È	Shotts
14/03/02		Syston, Leicester
25/03/02		) Newport-on-Tayside
		Scunthorpe
07/04/02		
07/04/02	2 20.30	Scorby, Nr Scunthorpe
08/04/02		Walton on Thames, Surrey Walton on Thames
08/04/02		
15/04/02		Glyn Currwj, Port Talbot Worcester
15/04/02	**\$********************	Wheatley, Oxon
15/04/02		Nottingham
18/04/02		10
30/04/02	·	Trowbridge, Wiltshire Welwyn Gargen City
13/05/02		
15/05/02		Addlestone, Surrey
27/05/02		Uckfield, East Sussex
31/05/02		St Johns Wood, London
		Harlow, Essex
31/05/02	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Woking
31/05/02		Standford, Lincolnshire
03/06/02	23:40	Keighley, West Yorkshire
04/06/02	03:20	Llansamlet, Swansea
10/06/02		Morayshire, Scotland
22/06/02		Greenford, Middlesex
14/07/02	ò	London
28/07/02	20:55	Salisbury
03/08/02		No location given
10/08/02		No location given
12/08/02	13:00	Hull, East Yorkshire
13/08/02		Ruislip, Manor Middlesex
15/08/02		Milton Keynes
16/08/02		Brighton
17/08/02		Hull Yorkshire
20/08/02		No location given
21/08/02		Fortrose
22/08/02	22:25	Bognor Regis, West Sussex
26/08/02		Dundee
29/08/02	00:30	Leicester
01/09/02	17:00	Leicester
05/09/02		Wisborough, Green West Sussex
10/09/02		Tibbermore, Perth
10/09/02		Hamilton
11/09/02	10:40	Kircaldy, Fife
18/09/02		Halstead, Essex
19/09/02	eren da da da da concer político de	Bradford, West Yorkshire
19/09/02	**********************	No location given
23/09/02		St Johns Wood, London
23/09/02		Paddock, Huddersfield
30/09/02		Whipton, Exeter
30/09/02	***************************************	Cannock, Staffordshire
04/10/02		Dagenham, Essex
17/10/02	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	Kingston upon Hull
23/10/02	***************************************	Woodbridge, Suffolk
25/10/02		Blundellsands, Liverpool
02/11/02		Capel parc, Llaneuchymedd
02/11/02		lanerchymedd, Isle of Anglesey
07/11/02		Bilston, Wolverhampton
09/11/02		echcade, Gloucestershire
	UU L	Sondade, Gloucestelsille

Date	Time	Location
11/11/02	23:00	Belford, Nottingham
14/11/02	22:42	Greenfield, Saddleworth
15/11/02	21:30	Liverpool
15/11/02	12:15	Foolow Eyam, Hope Valley Derbyshire
20/11/02	14:30	Walcott, Lincolnshire
24/11/02	20:00	Glen Roy
28/11/02	14:26	Cardiff
01/12/02	18:30	Filey, North Yorkshire
02/12/02	16:20	Filey , North Yorkshire
09/12/02	17:30	Hunston
10/12/02	18:27	Abbey Halton, Stoke on Trent
16/12/02	02:35	East Keswick, Leeds
18/12/02	16:40	Coningsby
19/12/02	05:00	Brighton, Sussex
19/12/02	06:30	Pembridge Villas, Notting Hill
19/12/02	06:30	Chaldon, Surrey
24/12/02	10:39	Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire
24/12/02		Liverpool, Merseyside
28/12/02	20:10	No location given
29/12/02	an a	No location given

### DAS-LA OpsPol1

From:	DAS-LA OpsPol1
Sent:	31 July 2003 12:41
То:	'Info@acpo.police.uk'
Subject:	Instructions to Police Forces
Signed By:	das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Security Label: Signed

This Department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence with the public concerning unidentified flying objects and I would be grateful for any advice you may be able to provide on the following.

One of our correspondents has asked us to confirm whether all Police Forces in the UK are now tasked with sending out a patrol to investigate sightings of unidentified craft reported to them by members of the public. He said he believed that Devon and Cornwall Police do this and that they have to report the sightings to a central telephone number. He says this procedure has been in force since September 2001 and asks if these orders originated in the MOD or the Home Office.

I have contacted Devon and Cornwall Police who say they have not had any specific directive, but of course, they would pursue anything reported to them that they thought needed further investigation. Because of the possible link to 11th September 2001 I have also spoken to the Anti-terrorist Unit at New Scotland Yard who also know of no such order.

Before I write to this individual, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether you are aware of any instructions similar to this sent out to Police Forces.

Section 40 MOD Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1 Room 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP Tel: Section 40 e-mail: das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Awarting reply from Assoc of Chief Police Officer's



Secretariat (air Staff)2a, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

25 July, 2003.

Dear Sir/Madam,


I am writing to you to request if it would be possible for you to forward me a listing of all reports of UFO sightings, that were reported to your Department during the years 2002?  $\leftarrow$  2001?

Could you also confirm to me whether all Police Forces in the UK are now tasked with sending out a patrol to investigate sightings of unidentified craft reported to them by members of the public?

I know that Devon and Comwall Police do, and that they have to report the sightings to a central telephone number. We have been told that this procedure has been in force since September 2001. If these facts are true, can you tell me if they are orders that have originated from the Ministry of Defence, or the Home Office?

Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.

£ ]





From: Section 40


Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a

**MINISTRY OF DEFENCE** 

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

E-Mail



Your Reference

(Direct dial)

(Fax)

(Switchboard)

das-laopspoi1a@defence.mod.uk

020 7218 2140

020 7218 9000

Section 40

Our Reference D/DAS/64/3/3

Date August 2002

Dear Section 40

. Sherry

Section 40

I am writing concerning the message you left on the DAS (Ops & Pol) 1 answerphone on 30 July. You enquired whether we had received any sighting reports of 'unidentified flying objects' from Tunbridge in Kent for 13 June. I have looked back through our files and can confirm that we received no reports of 'UFO' sightings for that date from anywhere in the United Kingdom.

Yours sincerely Section 40

### RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DAS (LA – OPS & POL 1) ANSWERPHONE ON TUESDAY 30 JULY 2002 @ 14:30

Caller	
Section 40	
	(East)
Section 40	
Telephone	Section 40

#### Message

Section 40 wanted to know if we had received any reports for Thursday 13 June 2002 from Tunbridge in Kent. He had received a report of a 'UFO' with a colour photo showing a disc shaped object with a tower on top of it, on which appeared to be some kind of arial device. Section 4 explained that there had twice been sightings of a similar object one in America and one from a French pilot, which had also been photographs. He added that the photos were verified as genuine by USAF staff and by the 'Condon committee' in the US.


#### SAFETY REGULATION GROUP

Civil Aviation Authority, Aviation House, Gatwick Airport South, West Sussex RH6 OYR. Telephone: Section 40 · Fax: Section 40 · Telex Section 40 CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY

#### WITH COMPLIMENTS

#### Section 40

EXTERNAL RELATIONS MANAGER



Sectio	40	
From:	Section 40	
Sent:	05 July 2001 11:43	
To:	Section 40	. !
Cc:		
Section Subject	BE: UFO UpDate: NIDS 'Official Organization' For FAA UFO Reports	

#### DearSection 40

I received your email of 30 June (21:47). While the tone of this piece denies it any consideration of a reply, you will not be surprised to know that we do not share your view on the 'conspiracy theory' that is so clearly implied. Moreover, the safety of all aviation activity in the UK is at the heart of our role within the CAA and there are well understood procedures in place that gather evidence of incidents and events that impact on safety. These occurrences are subsequently analysed to establish appropriate safety management actions, to the extent that the data allows. Unusual airborne sightings would be embraced by these procedures.

Turning to your second email of 1 July 2001. You make mention of an airborne event that occurred in the Newcastle area on 7 July 2000. I can tell you that there was no report filed within our UK Mandatory Occurrence Reporting Scheme (MOR) that would correlate with that claim. This MOR scheme requires members of the aviation community to report a wide range of incidents or events that may have implications for the safety of air operations. Thus pilots do know that they have a duty to report flight safety occurrences - as do engineers, air traffic controllers, airport operators and manufacturers. Indeed, it is CAA policy to encourage voluntary reporting across the whole spectrum of the industry.

You will understand that without reports which provide sufficient data for subsequent identification and tracing action there will be instances where the review of some occurrences will be inconclusive. Nevertheless, when instances of unusual sightings are reported under the CAA procedures they are also passed to the Ministry of Defence for security review. With effect from 31 July 2001 the temporary address of the appropriate MOD department is as listed below.

I hope that this note explains the CAA position in respect of unexplained airborne events and that it clearly demonstrates the open reporting and investigation that is a principal tenet under which the aviation industry conducts its business and promotes safety.

#### Section 40

CAA Safety Regulation Group Gatwick Airport South

#### Section 40

DAS (AD4) Room 668 Ministry of Defence Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue LONDON WC2N 5BP

*

LIOU!!!	Se	ectic	nn 2	1()	
Sent:	01	1.1	N 21	001	22.45
Jenn.	U 1	่งน	יב עו		22.70

To: Section 10 Fw: UFO UpDate: NIDS 'Official Organization' For FAA UFO Reports Subject:

Dear Sir,

Why can we not have this kind of cooperation in this Country. If it's OK for the United States, then why not here in the UK?

#### Why deny it?

We have in our possesion video footage from July 7, 2000 of a Civilian passenger plane flying over Walstanton, Newcastle, involved in possible near-miss with white coloured sphere shaped object which passes in front of a/c starboard wing.

Can we have cooperation for the sake of safety. The Ministry of Defence say it's of no Defence significance, but where a/c are oncerned it is a safety issue. Let pilots talk, and lets see guidlines issued so that pilots know what to do in such eventualities. Not all UFO related near misses are UFO's. Some are military as well.

#### Section 40

-- Original Message From: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <ufoupdates@home.com> To: <02 - UFO UpDates Subscribers :;> Sent: Sunday, June 24, 2001 12:11 AM Subject: UFO UpDate: NIDS 'Official Organization' For FAA UFO Reports

> Date: 23 Jun 2001 15:48:04 -0000

> To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@home.com>

> From Se

> From Section 40 > Subject: NIDS 'Official Organization' For FAA UFO Reports

> National Institute for Discovery Science Becomes Only Official > Organization to Receive UFO Reports from the Federal Aviation

> Administration (FAA)

> NIDS is pleased to announce that the newly printed Federation

> Aviation Administration (FAA) manuals indicate the National

> Institute for Discovery Science (NIDS) as the sole contact point

> in the United States to which the FAA reports UFOs.

> The following four FAA Manuals contain the changes:

> FAA Order 7110.65, Air Traffic Control

> FAA Order 7210.3, Facility Operation and Administration

> Aeronautical Information Manual (AIM)

> Aeronautical Information Publication (ÁIP)

> The FAA wording of the order mandating the changes is as > follows:

> "In calendar year 1999, representatives from the National

> Institute for Discovery Sciences (NIDS) contacted the FAA

> Administrator to offer their research institution as the single

> point of contact recognized by the FAA in regard to UFO
 > information. On April 14, 2000, after being referred by the FAA
 > Administrator, NIDS representatives met with ATP-200 to finalize

 > a course of action. This document change proposal is a result of
 > that meeting and is official FAA recognition that NIDS is the
 > single point of contact for UFO research." >

 > The official date for all FAA offices to receive the new manuals
 > is July 12, 2001, but NIDS has confirmed that many FAA offices
 > have already received the manuals. >

> > \ > UFO UpDates - Toronto - ufoupdates@home.com A UFO & Related Phenomena E-Mail List operated > > > by Section 40 > UFO UpDates Archives are available at: http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates > > > The MUFON Ontario Homepage is at: > http://www3.sympatico.ca/updates/mufon/index.htm > > 'Strange Days...Indeed' - available 'live' via > Windows Media Player 10:00 Eastern, Saturday nights at: > > http://cfrb.com/ > > and archived at:

http://members.xoom.com/strangedaysi/sdix.htm >

> >

> > >

To un-subscribe send your first and last names to: ufoupdates@home.com with Un-Subscribe as > > the subject.

>

>

> ر < Section 40 From: Section 40 Sent: 30 June 2001 21:47 To: Section 40 Subject: Fw: UFOs and aircraft safety

DATE: Saturday, 30 June, 2001.

#### UFOs and aircraft near misses:- No Defence significance(?), definately a safety issue!

This week I recieved a telephone call from a witness who observed 60 to 80 bright lighted

objects crossing the sky slowly in a North easterly direction, on Tuesday, 19 June (2001), at approximately 18:00 hours (BST).

Some of the objects were flying in clusters, others were in groups of three or five, and some were even on there own. One looked like it was pulsating red in colour, as if it was getting bigger then shrinking in size.

Another of the objects seemed to suddenly go up verticaly, whilst another appeared to take on the shape of a jellyfish (this kind of object has been seen on more than one occassion here in Kent, UK).

And where did this event occur? Right over the city of London! Amongst aircarft coming in and taking off from Heathrow airport etc...

For god sake how many more times is this going to happen before someone gets OFF their bloody backsides and do something!

More and more we are hearing of civilian passenger planes having near misses with UFOs not just in this country but worldwide.

I know, of at least two incidents here in the County of Kent. The most well known one occured on 21 April, 1991, when Alitalia Flight AZ 284 was flying from Milan to London Heathrow. At approximately 20:00 hrs, whilst over the Lydd Army Ranges, they had a near miss with what they described as a brown colured missile looking object. London ATC even monitored the object on radar.

Within days our local MP (former Home Secretary), Michael Howard, the C.A.A. and the Ministry of Defence all stated that the object was a UFO! Now there's a first.

The MOD stating a UFO was in UK airspace.

Bull shit! It was not a UFO as they would like to have us believe, but possibly a Target drone or UAV. People here in Kent now it, and we believe we know who was responsible. How ever as we do not have concrete proof we can't name them due to the fact we could get sued.

The other incident occured on 16 September, 1996, when Section 40 (former coordinator of UFOMEK), sighted and videod a white sphere like object over Wingham, Kent.

The object was at the same height as passenger jets flying over, and was even filmed going through an aircraft vapour trail.

Vapour trails are normally formed at a height of at least 22,500 feet. One part of the sighting he didn't manage to capture on video was when the mystery object flew straight in front on a passenger plane. causing the crew to take avioding action.

Also I recieved another telephone call this wek from a witness who was videoing aircarft where he lives at Stoke on Trent. When suddenly a white object comes into view, and ascends upto the height of one of the aircraft. and seems to just miss the aircraft. This incident occured back in July, 2000, and the reason he has only just approached anyone regarding the footage, is because he didn't know who to report it to, and who he could trust with the footage.

I hope to be viewing this footage shortly, and if it does indeed show a UFO in a near miss with an aircarft, then this could be an important piece of footage.

So what is the official line on all this? Well when objects were sighted and filmed over London last year, and reported to the C.A.A. they responded with a deafening guiteness!

And if you report any sightings to the Ministry of Defence, they respond with "NO DEFENCE SIGNIFICANCE!" Well maybe not, but it is I maintain a **BLOODY SAFETY ISSUE!** 

So when are the authorities going to get off their backsides and look into it, and stop pissing around in the wind. For Christ sakes lives could be at risk here!

Even if the latest sighting over London on the 19 June, was balloons, they were flying near aircraft, and could have caused an accident.

According to a recent report the C.A.A. state that there at least 30 reports that they know of involving aircraft and UFO sightings. But how many of been such them were near misses. Even one is to many in my book. a 'report'?

Hastheie

So I urge everyone reading this, to contact you local MP, and demand answers. I believe that if enough of us put pressure on our MPs, bombard the MOD with telephone calls and letters, as well as newspapers, etc. Just perhaps someone may start listening.

If you feel the same way I do, please do let me know. Maybe you don't agree with my comments, again let me know. And if any pilots or any other aircrew should be reading this who know of any incidents, don't you think it's time you spoke out, for the safety of your passengers. Please contact me if you wish.

Finally please accept my sincere apologies, for the strong tone of this article. If I have offended anyone with my outspoken comments, then I apoligise.



Error! Bookmark not defined.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Checked by AVG anti-virus system (**Error! Bookmark not defined.**). Version: 6.0.159 / Virus Database: 73 - Release Date: 01/06/00

Section 40

	From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB		14	
		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 2140 Section 40
Section 40		Your F	Reference	
		Our Re D/DAS Date 18 June	eference 5/64/3/3	

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 18 May 2001.

Firstly, please find attached copies of the reports you requested, with the informants' details deleted to protect their confidentiality. With regard to the report from the Preston area on 14 January 2001, the original was not received by this office; I have however, obtained a copy from Skelmersdale police which I also attach for your information.

You mentioned in your letter an incident thought to have occurred on the Isle of Man on 14 January at 16:30 hours, involving an object which looked something like a hang glider or microlight aircraft. After making further enquiries, I can confirm that a Search and Rescue helicopter from RAF Valley received a call out at 13:30 on 14 January to search for a crashed micro-light on the Isle of Man. A comprehensive search of the area was conducted, but nothing was found and the helicopter returned to RAF Valley.

Finally, you asked if such incidents are reported to the CAA or any such organisation and who would authorise the launch of a Search and Rescue helicopter. All inland aeronautical crashes involving civil aircraft are investigated by the Air Accident Investigation Branch of the Department of Transport, Local Government and the Regions (formerly the Department of the Environment, Transport and Regions). Search and Rescue helicopters are launched following a call from the police and the MOD is informed as a matter of routine.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely Section 40



REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	P.02/02
CRS LIZDUTY STRIE PRINT NO. CJC/120601/0001 Page	.1.
Title: INCIDENT LOG PRINT For Attention Of: Officer In Change Requested By: CO <mark>Section 99ction 40</mark> at 09:19 on 12/06/01	
Inc. Ref: 424SQ/140101 Inc. Class: 31/SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY Stage INFORMANT: Address: LUCATION: (UL00)SCARISERICK,ORMSKIRK N1 Start: 21:02 14/01/01 at SQ29 VRMS: Inc Type: Detail: MY MOTHER HAS SEEN A FLASHING RED AND BLUE PULSING LIGHT SKY-IT SEEMED TO COME DOWN IN THE SCARISERICK AREA	
Local Req: Attended: Liff. Deal: 12155 Crime:N Racial:N Assault Pol:N M'way:N Fre Response: 7 Result: 3 Arrests: 0 Alarm Cause: Alarm Type: Total Officers Attended: 0 Call Handling Time: 0:03 Call Handling Completion to Despatch: 0:00 Total Time from Despatch to Scene: 0:00 Time for First Resource to Arrive: 0:00 Total Officer Time Spent on Incident: 0:00	55 lnt:N
** 21:05 14/01/01 INC-LOG_OFENED 5029 CO 12116 ** 21:05 14/01/01 INF_ADDR_CHANGE From: #** 21:05 14/01/01	EDWARDS
INFS MOTHERFIRST SPOTTED IT NEAR MIDGE HALL.SHE FOLLOWED IT F TO TARLETON WHERE SHE MET SON ** 21:07 14/01/01 THEY THEN FOLLOWED IT TO BANKS.AS IT GOT TO SCARISBRICK IT AF GLUW ORANGE AND THEN IT CAME DOWN. ** 21:08 14/01/01	PPEARED (C
INF SAY IT DID NOT APPEAR TO BE A HELICOPTER.COULDN'T HEAR AN COMING FROM IT AND HIS BINOCULARS WOULDN'T FOCUS ON THE OBJEC ** 21:09 14/01/01 INF GIVEN LOG NO ** 21:10 14/01/01	IY NOISE T
INF ASKED IF WE HAD HAD ANY OTHER REPORTS-REPLIED NEGA ** 21:10 14/01/01 L-P_TRANSFER TO SQ10 ** 21:17 14/01/01 SG10 CO PATROLS INFORMED FOR INFO. ** 21:18 14/01/01 LOG_COMPLETION Final Class:31 ** 21:18 14/01/01 LOG_CLOSURE	
Printed at Printer: CJC at 12/06/01 09:48 by CC <mark>Section 40</mark> *** CRS 11/DUTY STATE PRINT No. CJC/120601/0001 ENDS ***	

	TO SEC AS	P.01
SETTONSMISSION DETAILS		
M), LATCC(III), Porters Way, West Drayton	Section 40	
	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Wh London SW1A 2HB	nitehall
AUTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
CANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:	RANKIGRADE & NAME:	
GIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE:	

.

Ľ	A DATE: 28 May TIME: 0100-000Z DURATION OF SIGHTING:
Γ	B DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? No .
	Number 1
	Shape
	Colour
	Brightness
	Sound? No
1	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
	Geographical location: Sittingbourne
1	Indoom Fulder to the
+	Indoors Outdoors Stationary/Moving
1	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye Binoculars/Camera Nideo camera hotographo Takan.
	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
	Towardo Medway - West.
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:
1	
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
	Cloud base.
н	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
	Fast and creatic.
ļ	
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	Cloudy.
K	
	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
L	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Press:
	Police:
	Military Organisation:
	Airport:
	Other:
M	INFORMANTS' DETAILS
	Name: Contraction of the second s
	Address:
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:
읞	OTHER WITNESS? No.19h bours
-1	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 12201 31 MAY 00

	A STATE OF	TO SEC AS REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
r			
	DIG of Transmission:		
	US(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Drayton	To: Section 40	
T.	Middlesex UB7 9AU	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall	
1		London SW1A 2HB	
E	AUTHORISING OFFICER	Fax No: Section 40	
		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
h	RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		
		RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
[	SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE:	
L		OIGHATORE.	
	DATE: 29 MAY 00 TIME: 2145 Z	DURATION OF SIGHTING: 10 MINS AUS	
E	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT:	Smell? NO	
	Number 8	Other	
1	Size APPROX I METRE	There was a small which wind	
	Shape round - out	previous to this.	
	Colour gold light Brightness VERY - little sky Sound? NO	powers to the ,	
L			
C	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:		
	Geographical location: GRAVES GUD, KE	AT.	
1		3.51	
┝╴	Indoors/Outdoors/Stationary/Moving		
ן י	NOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:		
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera		
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A	landmark may be useful	
	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)		
	Coming from the Gas	<b>├</b>	
F			
	mole of sight: Looking up tom	upstairs + dassistairs window	
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible	Windows	
		=].	
	Affrox 50 yds o	nt closeot.	
H	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:		
	Fast, Smoth gliding	mont -	
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving cl		
-			
	Small amount of high clou NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:	d. Not quito date	
ĸ	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:		
	Normal residential	houses.	
$\dashv$	TO WHOM REPORTED:		
	Press:		
	Police:		
	Military Organisation: NIL		
	Airport: Other:		
M			
]	Name: Martin Control C		
	Address:		
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY	BE VOLUNTEERED.	
9	OTHER WITNESS? NEIGHBORS		
P	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 31 AACIN MO	13101	

		TO SEC AS P.01/01	
	FAX TRANSMISSION DETAILS	DACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
F	rom:	Το:	
	LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Drayton Midu px UB7 9AU	Section 40	
9		SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall	
		London SW1A 2HB Fax No: Section 40	
ľ	AUTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
F	RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT		
L		RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
S	IGNATURE:	SIGNATURE:	
L		CIGINATURE.	
<u> </u>			
	DATE: 6 ANG OO TIME: 1845 Z	DURATION OF SIGHTING:	
	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT:	Smell? No,	
	Size Small	Other	
	L Shano		
	Colour Rutters fig 8 with hints of red Brightness Oull.	-	
Ł	Sound?		
C	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER		
	Geographical location		
	hotoors/Outdoors/Stationary/Moving	horested in have bay relain, Kert.	
D	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:		
E	Naked eye/Binoculars/Gamera/Video camera		
	E DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)		
	Due South of House.		
F			
	So derution		
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible	)-	
	Long distance, di		
н	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:	June 2 Junk	
		N	
	Stationery, the moving		
7	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clo	puds, mist, haze etc):	
	Stry clear, then high leve	l huze.	
ĸ	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:		
+	TO WHOM REPORTED:		
	Press:		
	Police:		
	Military Organisation: Airport:		
-	Other:		
	NFORMANTS' DETAILS:		
-	Name:		
·	Address:		
<b>`</b>  '	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY B	E VOLUNTEERED:	
	OTHER WITNESS?		
ΡΙ	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 07 AUG 00 1500		

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	Wednesday 27 th September 2000 @ 21:10
2.	<b>Description of object.</b> (No of objects, size, shape, colour brightness, noise.)	Revolving lights right hand side of road, then saw it on left hand side Looked like a tornado spinning, in field, what looked like a big white projecting light
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Driving on A20 from Bexley towards Ramsgate - Just past artifical ski slope
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Raining

Ł

9.	To whom reported.	DAS 4 (SEC) Answerphone
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
	or mormant.	
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Thursday 28 th September 2000 @ 09:00
L		

-

FAT RANSMISSION DETAILS	DTG of Transmission:		
Fro. AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Drayton Middlesex UB7 9AU		To: Section 40 SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No: Section 40	
AUTHORISING OFFICER.		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR	
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		RANK/GRADE & NAME	
SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE:	

A	DATE: OLNOV TIME: 093) Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: 10 SECS
в	
1	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? NIL.
	shape NIA Shape NIA
	Colour NIA
	Brightness Quite Bright. Side to side.
	Sound? NIL -
c	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
	Geographical location: N5125.48 £0012.49
	Indoors/Outdoors Stationar/Moving
O	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye Binopolaus Gamera Arideo Damera
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
	Sw - overhead - our durthand Bridge NE.
	our - oschedor - 000 var 1 far Drags 100.
_	
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT: 30° initially - 45°.
-	
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
击	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
1	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
1	
	Clear.
R	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	TREES THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE
J	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Press:
	Police:
	Military Organisation: NODHQ whitehell.
·	Airport: -
_	Other:
N	INFORMANTS' DETAILS: Name: Control Con
	Address:
5	
	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAP MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:
1	OTHER WITNESS2
2	OTHER WITNESS? NONE
1	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: OL NOV 1010 h

P.01

## **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	Thursday 24 th November 2000 @ 19:30
2.	<b>Description of object.</b> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Round object that lit up surrounding area
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Rochester, Kent
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	-
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	DAS(Sec)4a answerphone
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Monday 27 th November 2000 @10:11



22 May

retariat (air Staff)2a,

Room 8245. MINISTRY OF DEFENCE. Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

18 May, 2001.

#### DearSection 40

10

Thank you for your reply of 16 May, in response to my letter to you dated 25 April. I am now writing to ask if it would be possible for you to please forward to me copies of the following reports that are on the list that you sent me?

They are as follows: 28/05/00 at Sittingbourne, Kent.

29/05/00 at Gravesend, Kent. 06/08/00 at Rainham, Kent 27/09/00 at Ramsgate, Kent 01/11/00 at Dartford, Kent. 24/11/00 at Rochester, Kent.

MMNISTRV OF DEFENC would always send me copies of reports with the witnesses details deleted, and in return ection 40 I would pass on details of sightings that we here at UFOMEK had investigated, that your Department were unaware of, for your files.

With regard to my question in my previous letter concerning Sunday, January 14th, 2001. You say that your department have no reports from that day. We have been informed of a sighting that occurred on that day, in the Preston area of Lancashire at around 20:05 hours. The witnesses a Section 40 and his mother, reported the incident to the police at Skelmersdale, Lancashire. The call number was logged as 424SQ, and that were informed by the police that their report would be forwarded onto the Ministry of Defence. A day or two later Section 4 contacted the police at Skelmersdale to see if any information had become available, and they told him that the report had definitely been forwarded to the MOD, and had been tagged onto the report from the Isle of Man of earlier that day.

Can you please re-check your files, or make enquiries with any other department regarding this?

With regard to the Isle of Man incident. This occurred at around 16:30 hours. Witnesses observed what they thought was an object which looked something like the shape of a hang glider/micro-light aircraft, hit the radio communications mast on top of Mount Snaefell, IOM. Whatever this object was it had damaged the radio aerials belonging to the emergency services on the island, and witnesses reported seeing debris and thick black smoke falling down to the ground. The smoke was described as being thick and black, enough to set of smoke alarms in a nearby building. The Police, Civil Defence, Coastguard and Mountain Rescue initiated a search or the area for a possible downed aircraft.

- 14th Jan 200.

Nothing was apparently found. An RAF Air Sea Rescue helicopter from RAF Valley was also called into help in the search.

Firstly, would such an incident be required to be reported to the Civil Aviation Authority, or any other such organisation of authority?

Secondly, who would authorise the launch of an Air Sea Rescue helicopter from RAF Valley, in Wales, and would it be reported to the MOD, as it involved a military helicopter launch?

We believe we know what it was that collided with the radio communications mast on Snaefell Mountain, and we certainly do not believe it was a UFO as reported in the normal sense.

We have also been informed that all the airports, along the Irish, and British western mainland were put on alert with regard to this incident. If your department, can not help with regard to this incident, can you please tell me which department I should contact, who may have information regarding the Isle of Man incident?

Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.




1 can confirm that a search a bescue helicopter freceived a call at at 12.30 z (13.301) for 14th January to scarch for a crashed microlight on the 1ste of Man. A comprehensive search was conducted, but nothing was from d. and the helicopter returned to base. Inland areonoctical acrashes. The Air Accident Investigation Brunch of the Department of Transport, Local Government and the Regions.

The Police would call of the SAR. The MOD are routinely informed of all SAR acidents.



Secretariat (un staff) Za,

Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building Whitehall, Lavon SW1A ZHR



J.

	From: Section 40 Directorate of Air S MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London,			3
		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 2140 Section 40
Section 40			Reference	
		Date <b>16</b> May	2001	



Thank you for your letter dated 25 April 201. As requested, please find attached a list of all sighting reports of "unidentified flying objects" reported to the Ministry of Defence during the year 2000. I should however emphasise that these figures relate to reported sightings of unidentifiable aerial activity; the vast majority of which will have mundane explanations such as aircraft lights, weather balloons etc...

With regard to your second question, I can confirm that we have not received any sighting reports for 14 January 2001 from the Isle of Man or from anywhere in the United Kingdom.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely Section 40

01/01/00	Tenbury Wells	Shropshire
03/01/00		RossShire
04/01/00		Oxfordshire
05/01/00		Wiltshire
09/01/00		Cheshire
09/01/00		Devon
09/01/00		
12/01/00		Merseyside
13/01/00	Motherwell	Strathclyde
14/01/00	Guildford	Surrey
17/01/00	- 1	Leicestershire
17/01/00	Dumfries	
19/01/00	Birmingham	Dumfries & Galloway
20/01/00	Balgonie	Fife
21/01/00	Southampton	
21/01/00	Balgonie	Hampshire Fife
23/01/00	· · ·	
23/01/00	Spalding	Lincolnshire
	Birmingham	
29/01/00	Dibden, Nr Southampton	
01/02/00	Colchester	
01/02/00	Dundee	······································
02/02/00	Melksham	
02/02/00	Hull	
06/02/00	Bideford	North Devon
10.00		
10/02/00	Leeds	
10/02/00	Leeds Banff	
		Scotland
11/02/00	Banff	Scotland Cumbria
11/02/00 11/02/00	Banff Aberdeen	Cumbria
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract	
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford	Cumbria
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds	Cumbria
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie	Cumbria West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 19/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 19/02/00 20/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham	Cumbria West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 19/02/00 20/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 19/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 01/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 01/03/00 03/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 01/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 14/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 01/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 16/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 01/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 16/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington Blairgowrie	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester Tayside
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 01/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 17/03/00 18/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington Blairgowrie Pickering	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester Tayside North Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 26/02/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 16/03/00 18/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington Blairgowrie Pickering Falmouth	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester Tayside North Yorkshire Cornwall
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 26/02/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 16/03/00 18/03/00 20/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington Blairgowrie Pickering Falmouth Leeds	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester Tayside North Yorkshire Cornwall Yorkshire
11/02/00 11/02/00 11/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 13/02/00 20/02/00 23/02/00 23/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 25/02/00 03/03/00 03/03/00 14/03/00 16/03/00 18/03/00 18/03/00 20/03/00	Banff Aberdeen Bowness-on-Windermere Pontefract Bradford Leeds Balgonie Little Town Mitcham Edinburgh Newport, Wales Holloway, London Bradford Southampton Wirral Wellingborough Wythenshawe Darlington Blairgowrie Pickering Falmouth	Cumbria West Yorkshire West Yorkshire Surrey West Yorkshire Hampshire Manchester Tayside North Yorkshire Cornwall

2000

25/03/00	Oxford	
29/03/00		·
31/03/00	Birmingham	
04/04/00	Bury	
05/04/00	Barnettsby	Lincolnshire
07/04/00	Bellerby	Leyburn
13/04/00	Cross Keys	Newport
16/04/00	Manchester	Lancashire
16/04/00	Leeds	Yorkshire
17/04/00	Meppershall	Bedfordshire
26/04/00	Whitby	Yorkshire
01/05/00	Marlow Bottom	Bucks
08/05/00	Glasgow	
21/05/00	Havant	Hants
28/05/00		Oxon
28/05/00	Sittingbourne	Kent
29/05/00	Gravesend	Kent
05/06/00	Oxon	
05/06/00	Great Yarmouth	
06/06/00	Dursley	Gloucester
10/06/00	Lowestoft	Giodeestei
11/06/00	Leeds	
13/06/00	Bolton	
17/06/00	Leven	Fife
17/06/00		File
18/06/00	North Crawley	
21/06/00	Brighton Bradford	
21/06/00	Fife	
23/06/00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23/06/00	Felixstowe	
	likley	West Yorkshire
25/06/00	London	
26/06/00	Derby	
01/07/00	Putney	
	Wirral	
03/07/00	Leeds	
08/07/00	High Harrogate	North Yorkshire
09/07/00	London	
09/07/00	Northwich	Cheshire
09/07/00	Northwich	Cheshire
17/07/00	Leeds	
17/07/00	Stranraer	Dumfries & Galloway
18/07/00	Leegomery	
21/07/00	······································	North Devon
22/07/00	Crewe	
22/07/00	Birkenhead	
27/07/00	Hounslow	Middlesex
27/07/00	Salisbury	Wiltshire
	London	
01/08/00	Bradford	West Yorkshire
01/08/00	Cardiff	Vale of Glamorgan
05/08/00	Leeds	

06/08/0	0 Nr Ware	Herts
06/08/0		Kent
06/08/00		Hampshire
08/08/00	Carlisle	
11/08/00	Hastings	Sussex
16/08/00	-	London
18/08/00	Fishpond	Bristol
18/08/00		London
20/08/00		Cornwall
20/08/00		Bucks
21/08/00		West Sussex
24/08/00		Northants
26/08/00		
27/08/00		Cheshire
29/08/00	- 1	
31/08/00		Norfolk
02/09/00		Gwent
03/09/00		Hampshire
07/09/00		Nr Hastings
09/09/00		Northampton
10/09/00		Surrey
10/09/00		Surrey
12/09/00		
12/09/00	Leeds	Essex
16/09/00	Leeds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16/09/00		
24/09/00	Ellesmere	Shropshire
24/09/00	T	Cheltenham
24/09/00	Twickenham	Middlesex
25/09/00	Wembley	Middlesex
	Highbridge	Somerset
27/09/00	Ramsgate	Kent
30/09/00	Wirral	Cheshire
04/10/00	Finchley	
16/10/00	Ramsgate	
16/10/00	Washington	Tyne & Wear
17/10/00	Keswick	Cumbria
17/10/00	Leeds	
18/10/00	Southall	London
18/10/00	lverheath	Buckinghamshire
18/10/00	Langley	Slough
19/10/00	Upminster	Essex
20/10/00		West Sussex
20/10/00	Partick	Glasgow
20/10/00	Huddersfield	
21/10/00	Barry	
21/10/00	Liverpool	
23/10/00	Perth	
23/10/00	llford	Essex
23/10/00	Crawley	Sussex
26/10/00	Lowestoft	
30/10/00	Ballynure	County Antrim, N Ireland

,

30/10/00	London	
01/11/00	Dartford	Kent
02/11/00	Rowsley	Matlock
03/11/00	Scunthorpe	
03/11/00	Nr Banbury	
05/11/00	Penmacho	
07/11/00	Stourport-on-Severn	West Midlands
10/11/00	Twickenham	Middlesex
11/11/00	Hook	RG27 9NE
14/11/00	Howden	Yorks
14/11/00	Berrynarbor	North Devon
15/11/00	Crowmand	Lincolnshire
15/11/00	London	
17/11/00	Taff's Well	Wales
23/11/00	Trehafod	South Wales
23/11/00	Huntsmead	
24/11/00	Perth	
24/11/00	Rochester	Kent
28/11/00	Skipton	North Yorkshire
28/11/00	Shipston-on-Stour	Warwickshire
29/11/00	Dereham	Norfolk
02/12/00	Wheatley	Oxfordshire
02/12/00	Harralott, Devon	
03/12/00	London	
07/12/00	St.Mawgan	
08/12/00	Fradden	
10/12/00	Thorpe Bay	Essex
the second s	Leeds	
15/12/00	Bereton	
15/12/00	Dursley	Gloucestershire
22/12/00	Blackpool	
	Nr Mold, Flintshire	North Wales



Secretariat (air Staff)2a, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whithall, London, SW1A 2HB.

25 April, 2001.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you to request if it would be possible for you to forward me a listing of all reports of UFO sightings, that were reported to your Department during the year 2000?

Also could you please tell me if your department received any reports from the Isle of Man, for Sunday, 14 January, 2001, and from any other locations within the United Kingdom on that day?

If your department did receive any reports from that date in question, would it be possible for me to receive copies of those reports?

Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.



Secretariat (uir staff) 2a, ROOM BZ45, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building White hall LONDON SWIA ZHB.



Section 40

	MINISTRY	g, Whitehall, Londo	on, SW1A 2HI	В	
			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40
	na an San				
ection 40		a dha an	Your F	Reference	rent de la companya d La companya de la comp La companya de la comp
			Our Re D/Sec(	eference (AS)/64/3	
			Date	April 2000	

Thank you for your letter dated 9 March 2000. As requested, I attach copies of the following reports with the informants' details blanked out in order to protect their confidentiality:

April 2, 1999 at Chatham, Kent August 13, 1999 at Tenterden, Kent September 12, 1999 at Sellindge, Kent November 15, 1999 at Beckenham, Kent

With regard to your question about police procedures when dealing with alleged "UFO" sightings, I cannot comment on specific procedures at individual police stations, but it is normally the case that the police will pass the report to a local RAF Station (where there is one locally) or to the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton. These organisations in turn pass the details to ourselves. As I said in my previous letter, we have had no reports of any unusual aerial activity in the Kent or Essex areas for Sunday August 1, 1999 and are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

With regard to your offer to provide us with video footage of alleged 'UFO' sightings, as you will be aware from previous correspondence with my predecessor Section 40 the UK Ministry of Defence has only a very limited interest in these matters. Therefore, I am afraid it has no use for the sort of information you are keen to provide.

Cincerel

REPO	RT OF AN UNIDE	NTIFIED FLYING OBJECT	
ANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:	13 OB15 + 200.299 1 128	
		To:	
		Section 40	
		SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall	
	1. J.		
THORISING OFFICER:		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
NK NAME & ADDONALS			•
NK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
NATURE:		CICNATURE.	
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i$	SIGNATURE	12
	1942		
DATE: 12.50 99 T	IME: 1945 7	DURATION OF SIGHTING: (S ALLA)	
DESCRIPTION OF OR JECT.		C	_
Number 6 × groups	of coloured highli	D Other	
Shape		-	
Colour white/gree	a/red lights		
ongnin <del>e</del> ss -			
Sound?			
XACT POSITION OF OBSE	RVER:	<u> </u>	
Beographical location: LOV		1, oussex	
ndeers/Outdoors/Stationary/M	leving		
IOW OBJECT WAS OBSER	VED:		
Jakad ava Piezza Jan 10			
laked eye/Binoculars/Camera			
DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJE	UI WAD FIKOI SEEN: (	A landmark may be useful)	
ogech navell	ing NGS be	ween Lewis a Neuthaven	
NGLE OF SIGHT: KOUVE	. the hor. zer	^	
DISTANCE (By reference to a	known landmark if norsil		
		ng,	
		· · · ·	
NOVEMENT OF OBJECT:			
NtoSar	a group		
TET CONDITIONS DURING	DBSERVATION (Mouton	cloude mist have also	
Clear dark st	INIONIA	vivuus, mist, naze eig):	
	•		
EARBY OBJECTS OR BUIL	DINGS:		
-			
O WHOM REPORTED:			
TESS:			
olice: lilitary.Organisation:			
imitary organisation:			
iner:			
FORMANTS' DETAILS:			
ame: ddress:			
nni 499'			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NY BACKGROUND OF THE	INFORMANT THAT MAY	Y BE VOLUNTEERED:	
NIL			
THER WITNESS? 2 K GRONJ UP DOWAL	ta		
- anno of bourd	ALED'S .		
	SA DEC AS	13-5EP-1999 08:28 FROM AIS(M)LATCC	

# NET VITI VI TH UNIDERTICIED CETTA ODVEVE COS

AX TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:	06 14452 APR 199, F
		To: Section 40 SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB
AUTHORISING OFFICER:		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		RANK/GRADE & NAME:
SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE:

A	DATE: 2 APR 99 TIME: 2310 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING:				
E	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? -				
	Number Lots Other				
	Size 40-50 FT WIDE SURVE WENTE TRANSPORT				
	Shape CIRCULAR				
	COLOUR WHITE				
1					
	Sound? -				
1 c	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:				
	Geographical location: - 2 for creation				
	Indoors/Outdoors/Stationary/Moving Toring G IN OAR. HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:				
۲	NATED EVE				
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera				
F					
1-	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)				
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:				
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):				
l					
L	40 FEET FROM CAR				
H	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:				
	moved with CAR FROM FAVERSHAM TO BURBERRY HILL, CHATHAM				
L	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):				
	CLEAR				
	K NEADBY OD ITOYO OD DUIN DINOG				
l v	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:				
	Roadside Trees				
	TO WHOM REPORTED:				
	Press:				
	Police: BLICE				
	Military Organisation:				
	Airport:				
	Other:				
M	INFORMANTS' DETAILS:				
	Name:				
	Address:				
	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:				
	OTHER WITNESS?				
I۲					
L					

IZOIL R. NUMBER

Tenterden, Kent

13 AUGUST 1999

Stated as she was watching the sun set from her balcony at the rear of her block of flats she saw what she described as a large, brilliant light in the sky which was stationery. She stated it was not an aircraft and it was much bigger that a normal star. She watched it for a while then saw it move off fairly quickly and disappear.

She stated that she also saw the same sort of light at around the same time last year but did not inform anyone about it.

I trust this is all the information you require. The informant can be contacted on the above number.

Many thanks.

## **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting.	15/11/99 at 15:00
	(Duration of sighting.)	
2.	Description of object.	"Bright silver disk, a very circular object
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	with sun shining off of it"
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors,	Beckenham, Kent Indoors
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other	
	optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Moving very quickly above houses
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
9.	To whom reported.	Sec (AS) 2a1 answerphone
1	(Police, military, press etc)	

ſ	11.	Other witnesses.		
	12.	Remarks.		
. Sec			and the second	
	13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message received 15/11/99 at 15:16	
		at the second		
	L			



Section 40

Secretariat (air Staff)2a, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whithall, London, SW1A 2HB.

9 March, 2000.

#### Dear Section 40

Thankyou for your reply of 7 March, in response to my letter to you dated 22 February. I am now writing to ask if it would be possible for you to please forward to me copies of the following reports that are on the list that you sent me?

They are as follows: April 2nd, 1999 at Chatham, Kent.

August 13, 1999 at Tenterden, Kent. September 12, 1999 at Sellindge, Kent November 15,1999 at Beckenham, Kent.

Section 40 would allways send me copies of reports with the witnesses details deleted, and in return I would pass on details of sightings that we here at UFOMEK had investigated, that your Department were unaware of, for your files.

With regard to my question in my previous letter concerning Sunday, August 1, 1999. As i told you the incident was reported by two independent witnesses to both Dover Police, and Canterbury police. Can you please tell me whether or not the police are duty bound to pass on details regarding sightings, to the Royal Air Force, or your Department, especially if they are of the nature of this particular sighting which involved the observation of many objects?

Also would your Department be interested in a copy of the video footage taken by one of the many witnesses of the objects sighted over Kent on Sunday, August 1, 1999? I also have in my possesion a copy of CCTV footage of an incident that occurred back on 18 July, 1998 involving the sighting of one of the so-called Flying Triangle shaped objects, which was observed in North Kent. Again would your Department be interested in a copy of the footage? If so, I would gladly forward you copies, which maybe someone in the MOD might try some analysis on. I would be most interested in hearing any constructive comments with regard to the footage.

Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.

Yours Sincerely,	Section 40
Section 40	

.

)_.

	From: Section 40 Secretaria MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Lond			11
		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40
Section 40		Your I	Reference	1993,1944 - Landon Frig & Landon Frig and Landon Frig
		Our Re D/Sec(	AS)/64/3	
		Date 7	March 2000	

Thank you for your letter dated 22 February. I attach, as requested, a list of all sightings reports of 'unidentified flying objects' reported to the Ministry of Defence during 1999, plus, for your information, a map of their geographical spread throughout the United Kingdom.

Dear

As you will see from the attached list, we received no reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 August 1999 from anywhere in the Kent or Essex areas, only one from Rochdale, Lancashire.

Yours sincerely Section 40

مين المراجعين. معلق المراجعين المحصور

### JANUARY

04/01/99	EOLKERTONE KENT
	FOLKESTONE, KENT
07/01/99	GLANARFON, LLANELLI
09/01/99	ANSTRUTHER, FIFE
09/01/99	FREUCHIE, FIFE
09/01/99	ANSTRUTHER, FIFE
10/01/99	PEN Y GROES, LLANELLI
11/01/99	HOLYHEAD, ANGLESEA
17/01/99	HANWORTH, MIDDLESEX
21/01/99	CORWAN, LLANELLI
21/01/99	HARLESDON, LONDON
22/01/99	SHREWSBURY, SHROPSHIRE
26/01/99	BRISTOL
28/01/99	SHIPSTON ON STOUR, WARWICKSHIRE

### **FEBRUARY**

01/02/99	BRAMLEY, SOUTH YORKSHIRE
02/02/99	SWANSEA, SOUTH WALES
02/02/99	NORTH LONDON
02/02/99	HULL
04/02/99	BLACON, CHESTER
05/02/99	BRIGHTON, EAST SUSSEX
05/02/99	CHISWICK, LONDON
06/02/99	HADLEIGH, SUFFOLK
07/02/99	BRIGHTON, EAST SUSSEX
07/02/99	WINCHESTER, HAMPSHIRE
07/02/99	WEST HAMPSTEAD, LONDON
07/02/99	CHESTER
09/02/99	WANDSWORTH, SOUTH LONDON
10/02/99	
	SWANSEA, WALES
11/02/99	CAERNARFON, NORTH WALES
11/02/99	AMBURY, WORCESTERSHIRE
12/02/99	WAKEFIELD, YORKSHIRE
14/02/99	BEXHILL, EAST SUSSEX
14/02/99	BROOK, SURREY
15/02/99	FROM PRESTWICK, SCOTLAND
15/02/99	DIDLINGTON, EAST YORKSHIRE
17/02/99	CANNING TOWN, LONDON
20/02/99	EAST HORSLEY, SURREY
20/02/99	NANTWICH, CHESHIRE
21/02/99	HUMBER BRIDGE, HULL
22/02/99	BEARSDEN, GLASGOW
22/02/99	PICKERING, NORTH YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	HALIFAX, YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	OVER MERSEYSIDE
22/02/99	SKIPTON, NORTH YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	GREAT MISSENDEN, BUCKINGHAMSHIRE
22/02/99	SKIPTON, NORTH YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	BRADFORD, NORTH YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	LEEDS, NORTH YORKSHIRE
22/02/99	ARGYLL, SCOTLAND
22/02/99	GLASGOW, SCOTLAND
22/02/99	KINGS LANGLEY, HERTFORDSHIRE
22/02/99	HEATHROW, MIDDLESEX
22/02/99	BRISTOL
22/02/99	BRISTOL
22/02/99	
	ST MONANS, FIFE
22/02/99	
22/02/99	GLENROTHES, FIFE
22/02/99	
23/02/99	BURNLEY, LANCASHIRE
24/02/99	NORTH LONDON
25/02/99	BISHOP AUCKLAND, CO DURHAM
28/02/99	CORBRIDGE, CO DURHAM

#### MARCH

04/03/99	LICHFIELD, STAFFORDSHIRE
05/03/99	MANCHESTER
05/03/99	FALKIRK, SCOTLAND
06/03/99	WORTHING, WEST SUSSEX
07/03/99	BALLYKINLER, CO DOWN, NI
08/03/99	CASTLEWELLAN, CO DOWN, NORTHERN IRELAND
09/03/99	AMMANFORD, SOUTH WALES
09/03/99	NEWTON ABBOT, DEVON
10/03/99	SCUNTHORPE, LINCOLNSHIRE
10/03/99	BANGOR, NORTH WALES
10/03/99	CHELSEA, LONDON
11/03/99	NEWCASTLE EMLYN, DYFED
13/03/99	RHONDA VALLEY, MID GLAMORGAN
14/03/99	MITTON, STAFFORDSHIRE
17/03/99	SHAVINGTON, CREWE
18/03/99	MERSEYSIDE
20/03/99	SHREWSBURY, SHROPSHIRE
24/03/99	LANGLEES, FALKIRK
25/03/99	CUPAR, FIFE
26/03/99	CARSHALTON, SURREY
26/03/99	ALFORD, HAMPSHIRE
27/03/99	KILMARNOCK, SCOTLAND
29/03/99	TRANENT, EAST LOTHIAN
29/03/99	NEWBURGH, GRAMPIAN
29/03/99	ARDROSS, ROSS-SHIRE

### <u>APRIL</u>

01/04/99	AMMANFORD, WEST GLAMORGAN
02/04/99	CHATHAM, KENT
10/04/99	WEM, NEAR SHREWSBURY
10/04/99	WITHERNSEA, HUMBERSIDE
13/04/99	LEWES, EAST SUSSEX
13/04/99	EAST BELFAST, NI
15/04/99	TOOTING, SOUTH LONDON
22/04/99	ROMFORD, ESSEX
29/04/99	MID GLAMORGAN
29/04/99	SOUTH LONDON

#### <u>MAY</u>

01/05/99	GREENOCK, SCOTLAND
04/05/99	MUDBURY, DEVON
16/05/99	WORCESTER, H&W
16/05/99	BOURNEMOUTH, DORSET
18/05/99	BEXHILL, EAST SUSSEX
18/05/99	ELGIN, ROSSHIRE
22/05/99	DAVYHULME, NR CHESHIRE
23/05/99	KETTON, LEICESTERSHIRE
24/05/99	CRAIG, ISLE OF ARRAN
26/05/99	LIVERPOOL
26/05/99	LOUGHOR, SWANSEA
29/05/99	BONNYBRIDGE, SCOTLAND

### JUNE

05/06/99	BRIGHTON, EAST SUSSEX
08/06/99	MARLBOROUGH, WILTSHIRE
08/06/99	MONMOUTH, GWENT
08/06/99	HENGOED, CARDIFF
09/06/99	LICHFIELD
11/06/99	SALISBURY, WILTSHIRE
12/06/99	FLINT, CHESTER
14/06/99	BOW, EAST LONDON
24/06/99	HOVE, SUSSEX
25/06/99	BAKEWELL, DERBYSHIRE
26/06/99	BLANEFIELD, GLASGOW
30/06/99	KINGSBURY, LONDON

### JULY

02/07/99	KINGSBURY, LONDON
03/07/99	HEMFORD, WELSHPOOL
04/07/99	BUSHEY, HERTFORDSHIRE
04/07/99	HOLLAND ON SEA, ESSEX
05/07/99	SCUNTHORPE
06/07/99	EASTBOURNE, SUSSEX
10/07/99	SCUNTHORPE
10/07/99	MANCHESTER
10/07/99	RILLINGTON, NORTH YORKSHIRE
10/07/99	MIG-GLAMORGAN, SOUTH WALES
11/07/99	LLANGYNOG, POWYS
12/07/ <del>99</del>	FLINT, FLINTSHIRE
12/07/99	WASHINGBOROUGH, LINCOLNSHIRE
12/07/99	BOGNOR REGIS, WEST SUSSEX
12/07/99	BLANDFORD, DORSET
13/07/99	EYRESMONSELL, LEICESTERSHIRE
14/07/99	PETERLEE, CO DURHAM
15/07/99	HALSTED, SUFFOLK
15/07/99	EASTBOURNE, SUSSEX
15/07/99	PORTHMADDOC, WALES
24/07/99	BRISTOL
25/07/99	COVENTRY
25/07/99	PERTH, SCOTLAND
25/07/99	PORTHCAWL, SOUTH WALES

### <u>AUGUST</u>

01/08/99	THORNHAM, ROCHDALE
02/08/99	BOSTON, LINCOLNSHIRE
03/08/99	BRACKNELL, BERKSHIRE
04/08/99	GLENROTHES, FIFE
06/08/99	LOVERSALL, DONCASTER
08/08/99	ULVERSTON, CUMBRIA
13/08/99	TENTERDEN, KENT
17/08/99	CARLISLE
21/08/99	TWICKENHAM, MIDDLESEX
21/08/99	KINLOSS, MORAYSHIRE
22/08/99	THORNTON, BRADFORD

#### **SEPTEMBER**

01/09/99	EALING, LONDON
10/09/99	OLDHAM
11/09/99	TORQUAY, DEVON
11/09/99	STOWMARKET, SUFFOLK
12/09/99	LEWIS, SUSSEX
12/09/99	SELLINDGE, KENT
13/09/99	GUERNSEY
13/09/99	CARDIFF
18/09/99	NORTHALL, LONDON
19/09/99	MARLBOROUGH, WILTSHIRE
20/09/99	YORK, YORKSHIRE
22/09/99	LONGDEN, SHROPSHIRE
23/09/99	IRONBRIDGE, SHROPSHIRE
24/09/99	CO ANTRIM, NI
25/09/99	TINSHILL, LEEDS, YORKSHIRE
28/09/99	TROON, SCOTLAND
29/09/99	LEWIS, SUSSEX
30/09/99	BROCKENHURST, NEW FOREST

#### **OCTOBER**


02/10/99	WARRINGTON
03/10/99	DINNINGTON, SOUTH YORKSHIRE
04/10/99	ROSYTH, SCOTLAND
05/10/99	STOCKPORT
09/10/99	CALNE, WILTSHIRE
10/10/99	FOXHAM, WILTSHIRE
11/10/99	CLITHERONE, LANCASHIRE
19/10/99	ILFORD, ESSEX
18/10/99	WIRRAL, MERSEYSIDE
18/10/99	BATH
18/10/99	CONWY, WALES
20/10/99	LIVERPOOL
24/10/99	EPPINGHAM, SURREY
24/10/99	KINGSTON-UPON-THAMES
25/10/99	IPSWICH, SUFFOLK
25/10/99	HALIFAX, YORKSHIRE
25/10/00	STOKE-ON-TRENT, S
26/10/99	BENLLECH, ANGLESEY
31/10/99	LISBURN, MIDDLESEX
31/10/99	DULNAIN BRIDGE, SCOTLAND

## NOVEMBER

02/11/99	URMSTON, MANCHESTER
02/11/99	CAMBERLEY, SURREY
02/11/99	STOKE ON TRENT, STAFFORDSHIRE
06/11/99	KNOTT-END-ON SEA, LANCASHIRE
06/11/99	HANLEY, STAFFORDSHIRE
08/11/99	PENICUIK, SCOTLAND
09/11/99	LEOMINSTER/BURLEY GATE HEREFORD
10/11/99	LITTLEHAMPTON, WEST SUSSEX
15/11/99	BECKENHAM, KENT
16/11/99	GT PLUMPTON, PRESTON, LANCASHIRE
16/11/99	WHITBY, NORTH YORKSHIRE
17/11/99	MAIDENHEAD, SURREY
18/11/99	CRICK HOWELL, GWENT, WALES
20/11/99	MARKET DRAYTON, STAFFORDSHIRE
21/11/99	ARBROATH, SCOTLAND
22/11/99	SKIPTON, WEST YORKSHIRE
26/11/99	DONCASTER
28/11/99	PENTRAETH, WALES
29/11/99	DAGENHAM AND TILBURY, ESSEX
29/11/99	STOCKTON, DURHAM

### DECEMBER

02/12/99	LANCING, WORTHING
02/12/99	THETFORD, NORFOLK
03/12/99	LIVINGSTON, SCOTLAND
04/12/99	SCUNTHORPE, NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE
04/12/99	NEWPORT, GWENT
05/12/99	ST PETERPORT, GUERNSEY
07/12/99	NEWPORT, GWENT
09/12/99	WOODBRIDGE, SUFFOLK
09/12/99	LINFORD, ESSEX
13/12/99	NEWBIGGIN-BY-SEA, NORTHUMBERLAND
13/12/99	WINDLESHAM, SURREY
15/12/99	WITHAM, ESSEX
15/12/99	NEWLANDS, GLASGOW
17/12/99	SWINDON, WILTSHIRE
17/12/99	DUNDEE, SCOTLAND
19/12/99	PORTSMOUTH, HANTS
21/12/99	BANCHORY
28/12/99	SWINDON, WILTSHIRE
29/12/99	DUNDEE SCOTLAND
31/12/99	LEOMINSTER, HEREF



* Guernsey

Section 40

Secretariat (air Staff)2a, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whithall, London, SW1A 2HB.

22 February, 2000.

Dear Section 40

I am writing to you to request if it would be possible for you to forward me a listing of all reports of UFO sightings, that were reported to your Department during the year 1999?

Also could you please tell me if you received any reports from the Kent or Essex areas for the night of Sunday, August 1, 1999? There was an incident that occurred on this date at 22:40 hours in the Dover/Aylesham/Canterbury area, of which was reported to Kent County Constabulary at Dover and Canterbury, but when I spoke to them at the time they emphatically denied it, despite the witnesses insitence that they had reported the incident to them at the time of the sighting. And one family who witnessed the event, strongly claim that they not only reported the incident to the police at Dover, but also to the Ministry of Defence. When I spoke to someone at the MOD, they also denied knowing anything about this particular sighting. The sighting was witnessed by well over 100 witnesses, and was even video taped by a witness in Aylesham, near Dover in Kent. The sighting consisted of well over 100 - 200 objects, and at one stage during the incident two civil passenger planes flew directly underneath the objects.

We know from our enquiries with the Civil Aviation Authority that the lowest flight path height for outbound civil air traffic is 7000 feet. So what ever these objects were they were well above that height heading in a Northerly direction.

1 C


Many thanks for your cooperation with regard to this matter.

Yours Sincerely, Section 40


Section 40


28 FLD 2000





Section 40 Secretariat (air staff) 24, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFERCE, Main Building, Whitehull London SW1 2HTS





Thank you for your letter of 26 May regarding 'UFO' sightings in Kent. By now you should have received my letter of 28 May which included the 'UFO' sighting reports requested.



Section 40		

A. Oak

· • • .

Section 40			

Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a, Room 6245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitchall, London, SW1A 2HB.

26 May, 1999

Dear Section 40

Back in April, I sent you a letter dated the 26th, setting out various questions with regard to correspondence that you had sent my colleague Section 40 on the 21 April.

In my letter to you I asked whether you could forward me further details on certain sightings that appeared on a list that you had sent Section 40. The reports that I was particularly interested in were the following:-

09/03/98 Maidstone, Kent. 22/07/98 Hythe, Kent. 10/09/98 Erith, Kent. 12/10/98 Gravesend, Kent. 06/11/98 Deal, Kent. 04/01/99 Folkestone, Kent.


I asked if it would be possible for you to send me copies of those reports, such as the description Of what was seen, and the time of the observations?

If you could help me with this request I would be most grateful.

Also in your letter to Section 40 you acknowledge that DI55 recieves copies of reports rgarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena, among other departments. Is it fair to say that two of the other departments that receive such reports are DI61, and DSTI? Can you confirm or deny this as being the case.

I thank you for your time and attention regarding this matter, and await your reply with interest.

Yours Since	erely <mark>Section</mark>	<mark>ו 4</mark> 0		
Section 40				





Thank you for your letter of 26 April in which you asked for copies of six 'UFO' sighting reports. I have enclosed copies of the reports with the informants' details blanked out. I hope this is helpful.





1.1

4.

AIS/PF5

•

- A. MON 9TH MARCH at 1945. DURATION 1/2 HOURS.
- B. REDININT ROUND FLASHING LIGHTS, 2 AND SOMETIMES 3 OF THEM. MOVING AROUND.

C. INDOORS, STATIONARY, VIENED THROUGH

D. NAKED EYE .

andra artista artista

•

E. ONE MOVING NORTH, ONE MOVING WEST.

F. ? G. ESTIMATES 7-8 MILES ANAY.

H. LAS IN E. BUT REPORTED A THIRD LIGHT WHICH STAYED STATIONARY, WHILE THE OTHER TWO MOVED AWAY - ONE NORTH, ONE NEST.

J. CLOUDY

R. ONLY REPORTED THERE ARE NO POWER-LINKS NEARLY

Н. Ν. Ο. 09/03/98 2100. Ρ,



## UNCLASSIFIED

m AN

# **REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT**

1.	Date, Time and Duration of	22 Jul 98, 2344 hrs, 15-20 sec
	Sighting	
2.	Description of Object (No of objects, size/shape/colour/ brightness)	squashed, elongated disc with yelllow glow
3.	Location (indoor/outdoor/ stationary/moving)	Object out over Hythe Bay, moving
4.	How observed (naked eye/ binoculars/other optical device/	Naked eye
5.	still or moving) Direction in which object first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)	∞E to W = sector sign = solutions
6.	Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)	NK
7.	Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	About 2 miles
8.	Movements (Changes in 5, 6 & 7 may be more use than estimates of course and speed)	Faded and then brightened
9.	Met conditions during observations (Moving cloud/ haze/mist etc)	Reasonably clear
10.	Nearby objects (Telephone lines/ high voltage lines/reservoir/lake or dam/ swamp or marsh/river/high buildings/ tall chimneys/steeples/spires/TV or Radio masts/airfields/ generating plant/factories/pits or other sites with floodlights or	Nil, but the sighting was in the vicinity of CPTA, Cinque Ports Training Area
	night lighting)	Police
11.	To whom reported (Police/ Military/Press etc) Name and Address of Informant	FOILCE
12.		
13.	Background of Informant that	NK
	may be volunteered Other Witnessess	Nil
14. 15	Date. Time of Receipt	23 Jul, 0010hrs local
15.	Any Unusual Meteorological	Νο
16.	Conditions Remarks	Reported to Folkestone Police
17.		and forward to DCDSDO

- 20 U.	דסדתי ו	LOSUA
	REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT	
A.	Date, Time, Duration of Sighting. iO 0030(L) SEP 98	NUMBER
<b>B.</b>	Description of Object. Number, TWO Size, LAIZGEZ THAN A PLANE Shape, ROUND FRONT GOING TO A POINT. Colours, DAZK. Brightness, LIGHTS ON FRONT (IZEO+BLUE) Sound, MIL Smell,	
<b>C</b> .	Exact Position of Observer.Geographical Location,Indoors, Outdoors,Stationary, Moving,KENTStationary, Moving,	:
D.	How Object was Observed. Naked Eye, Binoculars, Still or Video Camera. NAKED EYE.	• •
E.	Direction In which Object was first seen. (A Landmark may be useful) SOUTH -> NORTH	
F.	Angle of Sight. STIZAIGHT UP	
G.	Distance. (By reference to a known Landmark if possible) 2000 ft?	
Н.	Movement of Object IN A STRACHT LINE .	
J.	Met Conditions during Observation. Moving Clouds, Haze, Mist.	
к.	Nearby Objects or Buildings etc.	
L.	To Whom Reported. Police, Press, Military Organisation, Airport. GATWICK ATC.	
М.	Name and Address of Informant.	
N.	Any Background of the Informant that may be Volunteered.	
	NO OTHER EIGHTINGS	
0.	Other Witnesses.	
₽.	Date & Time of Receipt of Report.	

â	Date:	12 00	<u>r.98</u>	Time:	00304	Duration	of Sightin	ig:	R
2	Description	of Object:			والجراطر يتجود فستاهمه كأ		····	1	
	Number		Size	Tookr away	Shape -	GLOBAL	Colours:		KD o'clo is.
· ·	Brightness	Very bright Rubacting.	Sound	No	Smëll	No	•	Other	
C	Exact Posit	on of Obse	rver:			L. Distance of the			8-
•	Geographica		S.G.	a cl Crew	erend	Indoors		Outdoors	
	Stationary.	V	/ 0	Moving		1			
D		was Obser	ved:						<u>.</u>
	Naked Eye		Binòcula		Camera		Type		]
E	Direction in	which Obje	ect was fi	rst seen:	(A Landn	nark may b	e useful)		
		S.5	DE.		<u></u>				
F	Angle of Sig	ght:	7	40°			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
G	Distance:	(By referen	ice to a kr	nown Landma	rk if possib	(a)	Tole	r	
		· · · ·	Rhal	seemedil		F. 11		· •	
H	Movement	of Object:	1 Object	Corconce	Leo., a	rally			:
J	Met conditi	ons during (	Observat	ion:		dear	until	cloud m	৩৩
	Moving Clou	uds, Mist, Ha	ze etc	·		Ce U			
K	Nearby Obj	ects or Buil	dings:	AZ Runn		W, no l	urther		
L	To Whom F	Reported:	ι Λ σ	Dauly Sur	7 Police:		 		
	Military Org	enisation:	AIS V	ia UKU.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
М	Details of Ir	nformant:	Name:			Tel Nó 😒			
•	Address						Postcode	:	
N	Any Back <u>c</u>	round of In	formant t	hat may be V	olunteered	d:			
	Other With	esses:	Jah	the he	chaps (	Brother	).		
0				1 1					





# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date, time & duration of sighting	6 NOVEMBER 4:30AM.
2.	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise)	2 white firebolls in the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving)	15] fireboll' - from window in Deel 2nd 'fireboll' - driving in Deel
4.	How observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder)	Nakad eye
5.	Direction in which object first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a roughly estimated bearing)	
6.	Angle of sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)	200Ft.
7.	Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	
8.	Movements (Changes in 5, 6 & 7 may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	1st fireball went in an arc snape, 2nd wont verticely,
9.	Met conditions during observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc)	
10.	Nearby objects (Telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights or night lighting)	

÷.,

. L. J.

11. To whom reported (Police, military, press etc)

12. Name, address and telephone no of informant

13. Any further detail volunteered

14. Other witnesses

15. Date and time of receipt

16. Remarks

12 NOV	3pm
Massage	left on 'UFS'
answarph	rare.





# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date, time & duration of sighting	4 JAN 99 6:30pm
2.	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise)	SQUASHED OVAL SHAPE. DID NOT HAVE THE USUAL AIRCRAFT LIGHTS ON IT.
3.	Exact position of observer (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving)	OUTDOORS NEAR HOME
4.	How observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder)	NAKED EVE
5.	Direction in which object first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a roughly estimated bearing)	
6.	Angle of sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)	
7.	Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	
8.	Movements (Changes in 5, 6 & 7 may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	
9.	Met conditions during observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc)	
10.	Nearby objects (Telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights or night lighting)	

11. To whom reported (Police, military, press etc)	
12. Name, address and telephone no of informant	
13. Any further detail volunteered	
14. Other witnesses	
15. Date and time of receipt	4 JAN 99 19.11
16. Remarks	MESSAGE LEFT ON 'UFO' ANSWERPHONE
	UFO ANSWERPHONE
1	

.

•



Secretariat (Air Staff)2a, Room 6245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London. SW1A 2HB.

26 April,1999.

Dear Section 40

Dear **Section 40** You recently sent my colleasue Section 40 a list of reported aerial phenomena, from January, 1998 up until April of a list this year, which were reported to your department by the Police and members of the public.


There are several reports on that list, of which we are interested in, and would like to know if it is possible for us to receive some brief details resarding those reports, such as time and description of what was observed? The dates and locations of those reports are as follows:-

> 09/03/98 Maidstone, Kent. 22/07/98 Hythe, Kent. Erith: Kent. 10/09/98 12/10/98 Gravesend, Kent. 06/11/98 Deal, Kent. 04/01/99 Folkestone, Kent.

If you could help us with this request we would be most grateful. We, are also interested to know why after all these years of denial that Air Staff, is now acknowledging the existence of DI55, and that they receive reports of Unidentified Aerial Phenomena? Could your department also confirm that DI61, and DSTI also receive similar reports?

Thanking you in advance for your cooperation in this matter, and I await your reply with interest.

Yours Sincerel Section 40 ection 40





Thank you for your letter of 26 February regarding an alleged incident near the former Home Secretary's house on 8 March 1997.

In previous correspondence, it was stated that Sec(AS)2 have no additional information regarding this sighting. You then pointed out that Section 40 had formerly referred to reports forwarded to this office from the Home Office and the Police. I assumed you were aware of these reports as they were originally written by you and addressed to the Home Office and Police. They were then forwarded to Sec(AS) for reply. I can confirm that Sec(AS)2 holds all the papers you sent directly to us regarding the incident, including the UFOMEK report form and UFOMEK witness statement.

I have enclosed newspaper cuttings regarding the alleged incident over the former Home Secretary's house on 8 March 1997.







Secretariat (Air Staff)2a, Room 8245, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whitehall, LONDON SW1A 2HB.

Section 40

26⁷⁴February, 1999.

## Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 22 February, resarding my enquiry on the UFO sighting of 8 March, 1997.

Therefore I am writing to you under the terms of the Code of Practice on Access to Government information.

In your letter, you state that the only information that your department has with regard to a sighting on that date it from a member of the public, who drew Air Staff 2, attention to a report in a Kent newspaper. I would very much like to know what newspaper that was, and the date of the article, or the date when this member of the public contacted you department?

How you can say that your department has no other information, with resard to other sightings on the 8 March, 1997, seems impossible to believe, especially when <u>Section 40</u> wrote to myself on the 3 July, 1997, to acknowledge receipt of two reports from the Home Office, and Chief Inspector Abbott, of Kent County Constabulary.

The reports we sent to the Home Office, and Kent Police, included a completed Section 40 report form, signed by the witness; and a complete witness statement on Section 40 headed paper, also signed and dated by the witness. I also believe that I sent another copy of the report to Section 40 along with a copy of a Field Investigation Report Form. Therefore if you do not have these letters of correspondence, and supporting documents/papers, then which department has them?

I know from the Home Office that the report I sent them on 5 June, 1997, was passed onto your department on the 9 June, 1997. How you can claim that you only have details of one sighting for the date of 8 March, 1997, from a newspaper article, is absolutely unbelievable! When the press contacted your department in January, 1998, they were tood that they knew nothing about this incident. And when another incidents contacted Air Staff 2, back in mid-July, 1997, he was sent a fax telling him the same thing. Can you please explain to me why your department still insist on denying all knowledge of the other incidents on the 8 March, 1997, when I they have received details of these as acknowledged by <u>Section 40</u> in her letter of 3 July, 1997, to me (copy enclosed).

Thanking you for your time and attention regarding this matter, and I await your reply with interest.



RECEIVED

E7 JUL 1997



From Section 40 Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard)
>  0171 218 2140
>
>
>  (Switchboard)
>  0171 218 9000
>
>
>  (Fax)
>  Section 40

ection 40

Your reference

Our reference D/Sec(AS)/64/3 Date 3 July 1997

### Dear Section 40

1. Thank you for your recent letter addressed to the Secretary of State for the Home Department concerning an alleged "UFO sighting" near the residence of the former Home Secretary, Michael Howard. Your letter and the supporting papers have been passed to the Ministry of Defence and I have been asked to reply. You also wrote to the Chief Inspector of the Operations Centre, Kent County Constabulary, Maidstone. Chief Inspector Section 4 that also forwarded his correspondence to me.


2. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of "unidentified flying objects" it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the UK Air Defence Region might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity. The integrity of the United Kingdom's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force which remains vigilant for any potential military threat. Unless there is evidence of such a threat, and to date no "unidentified flying object" sighting has revealed such evidence, no attempt is made to identify the precise nature of each reported incident. We believe that down to earth explanations could be found for these reports, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, if resources were diverted for this purpose. It would, however, be an inappropriate use of defence resources to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

3. The MOD has no expertise or role in respect of "UFO/flying saucer" matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains open-minded. I should emphasize that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. 4. MOD Air Defence staff have confirmed that there is no evidence to suggest an unauthorized incursion of the UK Air Defence Region on 8 March. The Home Office has confirmed that no security incident occurred in the home of the former Home Secretary on this date as you allege in your letter.

5. I hope this explains the position.

Yours sincerely,







Thank you for your letter of 10 February addressed to Section 40I have been asked to reply.

Having seen a list of reported 'UFO' sightings for 1997, you asked for a copy of a 'UFO' report from Hythe, Kent dated 8 March 1997. The inclusion of this date in the MOD list of alleged sightings during 1997 reflected the fact that a member of the public drew our attention to a report in a Kent newspaper about an alleged sighting in the Hythe area on that date. The MOD did not receive any reported sightings direct about what was alleged to have occurred although we did receive correspondence seeking more information. As you know, the MOD does not investigate alleged sightings unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source. On this occasion there was no substantive evidence and no further action was taken.

You have also asked for copies of any reports forwarded to the MOD by the civil police. Any reports of this nature would be provided in confidence and would not be available for public scrutiny for 30 years. This is due to the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967. This Act of Parliament states that official files generally remain closed from public viewing for 30 years after the last action has been taken. It was generally the case that before 1967 all 'UFO' files were destroyed after five years, as there was insufficient public interest in the subject to merit their permanent retention. However since 1967, following an increase in public interest in this subject 'UFO' report files are now routinely preserved. Any files from the 1950s and early 1960s which did survive are already available for examination by members of the public at the Public Record Office, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. Files from 1967 onwards will be routinely released to the Public Record Office at the 30 year point.

Yours	sincerely,	
S	Section 40	



Secretariat (Air Staff) 2 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8247, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

10 FEB 1999

## Dear Section 40

Recently, your department sent one of our members, Section 40 of Section 40 Kent, a listing of all UFO sightings reported to the Ministry Of Defence during 1997.

One entry on that listing was for 8 March, 1997, at Hythe, Kent. Would it be possible for you to forward to me a copy of that report, of details of the time of the reported incident on that date, and the exact location, with brief description of what was observed?


I would be most grateful for your co-operation regarding this particular incident.

Would it also be possible for us to obtain copies of incidents that are reported to the police, and in turn passed onto your department? I know from <u>Section 40</u> of Quest Publications that this was done in the past.

Thanking you for you time and attention regarding this matter.







ection 40

ection 40 has asked me to reply to your letter of 17 August.

You say that you believe the enclosure forwarded with your letter is a fake and ask for advice on how to deal with it. As the document appears to have been unsolicited, I can only suggest that you treat it as you would any other unsolicited item of correspondence.

You also ask about how to respond to telephone calls. Most telephone companies offer an advisory service on how to deal with unwanted callers and you may therefore find it helpful to talk with the organisation providing your own facility.

Finally, if you or anyone you know feels threatened in any way by unsolicited mail or telephone calls you may also find it helpful to talk about your concerns with your local police force.

and the second second second for

والمراجع والمحري المروكي والمعادية

Yours	sincenely,	
Sec	ction 40	

#### Section 40

Secretariat (Air Staff)2, Ministry Of Defence, Room 8247, Main Building, Whitehall, London SWIA 2HB.

- 17 August, 1998.

Dear Section 40


I am writing to you with regard to the enclosed letter that I received this morning. As you can see it is from someone claiming to be an RAF Wing Commander. It is obvious that the letter is a fake, which I feel makes the matter more serious. as it shows that there is someone posing as a member of Her Majesty's Forces, of which I understand can be considered an offence.

The reason I am sending this letter to you, is to make you aware that indeed there could well be someone posing as a member of the Royal Air Force. Should I discover who this is, what action do you sussest I take, if this person should send similar letters or makes telephone calls to either Section 40 or myself? Do you think it appropriate for me to report the matter to the local polices or report to yous should the identity of the person be discovered? I do know that through my dealings with the U.S. Airforce in the past, they take a very serious and dim view of persons impersonating personnel from their branch of the armed services.

Should this letter be from a senuine member of the RAF, imparting official information, then surely that is an offence within the constraints of the Official Secrets Act.

I await your reply with interest.

		ion 40	
Yours	Sir		
Section 4	40		



RECEIVED

17 AUG 1958



### Section 40

1st August 1997

As a scheduled first hand warning, you are no longer permitted to carry on investigations into the supposed triangular-shaped object that was seen over Burmarsh, Kent.

The report of this unidentified craft by Section 4 the 10th March 1997 (which was received by researchers at Section 4 was made at haste. She had actually seen a Rapid Response military aircraft, but as to new developments, I am not permitted to release details on its structure. The "disc like" shape Sections and at the rear of the aircraft was a distance radar, but yet again due to new developments I can only be vague about its description and function.

May I state, therefore, this is not Official Denial. Your co-operation into this matter is vital for the security of military intelligence. You should now, therefore, proceed to leave this mistake for <u>Section 40</u> to realise and forget. Other reports have come through from Dymchurch of a similar supposed UFO. These reports were acknowledged by us and the relevant people were told the situation.


From our obvious co-operation, we would appreciate yours. This matter, as we both know is also causing "emotional stress" for certain individuals, and should only strengthen the need to pass this case by as solved.

### Once again this is a Conditional Warning.

Yours Sincerely,



Wing Commander Field Force Commander






1. Thank you for your letter of 14 January which you sent again on 2 February. Your letter of 15 January addressed to the Home Secretary, which you repeated on 1 February, has been passed to MOD for reply.

2. The extent of the MOD's interest in the alleged events of 8 March last year have been fully explained to you in previous correspondence and, as we have already stated, the Home Office have confirmed that no security incident occurred at the former Home Secretary's home in Kent.

3. As my letter of 3 July made clear, the copy of the report you submitted to the Home Secretary with your undated letter in June last year was passed to the Ministry of Defence.



Thank you for your letter of 14 January which you sent again on 2nd February. Your letter of 15 January addressed to the Home Secretary, which you repeated on 1 February, has been passed to MOD for reply.

The extent of the MOD's interest in the alleged events of 8 March last year have been fully explained to you in previous correspondence and, as we have already stated, the Home Office have confirmed that no security incident occurred at the former Home Secretary's home in Kent.

As my letter of 3 July made clear, the copy of the report you submitted to the Home Secretary with your undated letter in June last year was passed to the Ministry of Defence.



same this we told section 40 same this we did not answer section 40 section 4 3/3/98

# ection 40

ection 40 Secretariat (Air Staff) 2al, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whitehall, Londons SW1A 2HB.

64/5 Prens Culturgs 64/3 letterst folders E34

14 January, 1998.

Section 40

REF:- D/sec(AS)/64/3 BURMARSH SIGHTING/Rt Hop MICHAEL HOWARD MP.


#### Dear Section 40

You may remember that in July, last year (1997), I was in contact with your department, resarding the sighting of a UFO in the vicinity of the Rt Hon Michael Howard MP residence during the early hours of 8 March, 1997. Your department recieved (I was led to believe), two copies of the report, one from the Home Office, and one from Chief Inspector Section 40 of Kent County Constabulary.

I am now writing to you regarding this sighting again, in the hore that your department has re-considered their position with resard to this sighting, as I think I stated to your department last year, our investisators will not sive up on this matter.

We know have correspondence, from Kent County Constabulary which confirms that there was a security incident at the residence of Rt Hon Michael Howard MP, around the date of the UFO sighting. Not only that we were contacted by a member of the security contingent who was on duty on the night in question, who has stated that the unidentified object was directly over the residence of Mr. Howard.

East Kent Euro MP Mark Watts, brought the matter before the European Parliament, on 23 May, 1997, and I have been in contact with Mr Watts' office, and he has promised to look further into the matter.



In your letter of 3 July, 1997, you state that to date no UFO sighting has revealed evidence of being a threat to National Security, however it is quite obvious, even to a blind man, that this particular incident carries very serious security implications. How can an unidentified aerial craft fly so close, or even over the residence of a Senior Cabinet Minister, and no one raise so much as an evebrow? As far as Section 40's concerned the object seen is unidentified, which in our books DOES NOT MEAN that it is an Alien space craft. With it therefore being unidentified, then surely because of its near presence to such an important Government Person who held the position of Home Secretary, this must surely be one of the most serious breaches of security that one could ever imasine, as the object in question was unidentified, and therefore by not knowing what it was, should surely raise qusetions as to its true identity. (Unless of course someone in Government allready knows?). The object could just as easily have been a helicopter carrying a terrorist.

Because of the response that we have received from the Kent County Constabulary, it is auite obvious that there was a security incident, yet in your letter of 3 July, 1997, you state that MOD Air Defence Staff confirmed that there was no unauthorized incursion of the UK Air Defence Region on 8 March. Does that mean the incursion was of an authorized nature, and therefore you know what the UFO was? You also state in the same letter that the Home Office confirmed that no security incident occurred in the home of the former Home Secretary. This is at odds with what Kent County Constabulary has stated. How do you account for this?

Also in July of last year, a <u>Section 40</u> from Sutton Coldfield, West Midlands contacted your department by fax, to ask what it knew of the UFO incident near/over the residence of the former Home Secretary? Your department told him that it knew nothing of this incident. Yet it had already received a full report that had been sent to the current Home Secretary the Rt Hon Jack Straw MP, and details (and Possibly another copy of the report), from Chief Insp <u>Section 40</u> of Kent County Constabulary. How can this be explained? You yourself admitted to me, that both the Home Office and Kent County Constabulary had contacted your department regarding this incident, yet it was felt that it was necessary to lie to an inquirer into this matter.

I await your reply with interest.

Wedid

notsay

trus -

Ne

did

norup

argues

Ques

Yours Sincerely Section 40

### ection 40

Secretariat (Air Staff) 2al, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, Main Building, Whitehall, London. SWIA 2HB.

### 2 February, 1998,

REF:- D/sec(AS)/64/3 BURMARSH SIGHTING/Rt Hon MICHAEL HOWARD MP.

### Dear Section 40

You may remember that in July, last year (1997), I was in contact with your department, resarding the sighting of a UFO in the vicinity of the Rt Hon Michael Howard MP residence during the early hours of 8 March, 1997. Your demartment recieved (I was led to believe), two copies of the report, one from the Home Office, and one from Chief Inspector Section 40 of Kent County Constabulary.

I am now writing to you regarding this sighting again, in the hope that your department has re-considered their position with resard to this sighting, as I think I stated to your department last year, our investigators will not give up on this matter.

We know have correspondence, from Kent County Constabulary which confirms that there was a security incident at the residence of Rt Hon Michael Howard MP, around the date of the UFO sighting. Not only that we were contacted by a member of the security contingent who was on duty on the night in question, who has stated that the unidentified object was directly over the residence of Mr. Howard.

East Kent Euro MP Mark Watts, brought the matter before the European Farliament, on 23 May, 1997, and I have been in contact with Mr Watts" office, and he has promised to look further into the matter,

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE SEC (AS) 2				
	-4 FEB 1998			
Ĩ				

In your letter of 3 July, 1997, you state that to date no UFO sighting pas revealed evidence of being a threat to National Security, however it is guite obvious, even to a blind man, that this particular incident carries very serious security implications.

How can an unidentified aerial craft fly so close, or even over the residence of a Senior Cabinet Minister, and no one raise so much as an evebrow? As far as <u>Section 40</u> is concerned the object seen is unidentified, which in our books DOES NOT MEAN that it is an Alien space craft. With it therefore being unidentified, then surely because of its near presence to such an important Government person who held the position of Home Secretary, this must surely be one of the most serious breaches of security that one could ever imagine, as the object in question was unidentified, and therefore by not knowing what it was, should surely raise qusetions as to its true identity. (Unless of course someone in Government allready knows?). The object could just as easily have been a helicopter carrying a terrorist.

Because of the response that we have received from the Kent County Constabulary, it is quite obvious that there was a security incident, yet in your letter of 3 July, 1997, you state that MOD Air Defence Staff confirmed that there was no unauthorized incursion of the UK Air Defence Region on 8 March. Does that mean the incursion was of an authorized nature, and therefore you know what the UFO was? You also state in the same letter that the Home Office confirmed that no security incident occurred in the home of the former Home Secretary. This is at odds with what Kent County Constabulary has stated. How do you account for this?

Also in July of last year, a Section 40 from Sutton Coldfield, West Midlands contacted your department by fax, to ask what it knew of the UFO incident near/over the residence of the former Home Secretary? Your department told him that it knew nothing of this incident. Yet it had already received a full report that had been sent to the current Home Secretary the Rt Hon Jack Straw MP, and details (and possibly another copy of the report), from Chief Insp Section 40 of Kent County Constabulary. How can this be explained? You yourself admitted to me, that both the Home Office and Kent County Constabulary had contacted your department resarding this incident, yet it was felt that it was necessary to lie to an inquirer into this matter.

I await your reply with interest.

Yours Sincerely Section 40

Low Flying / UFOs



# **MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT**

To Sec (AS)2

Date_____3 FEB 1998

The Secretary of State <u>AHOME</u> OFALCE has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of the date of this minute. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force on in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 48/97: further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.



Secretary Of State for the Home Departmen <b>99</b> Home Office, 50 Queen Anne's Gate, LONDON,	0938
The Rt Hon Jack Straw MP. Secretary Of State for The Home Departmen/990	
	Section 40
	<u> </u>

1 February, 1998.

Dear Sir,

On the 5 June, last year, I sent you a copy of a report resarding the sighting of a UFO in the Burmarsh-Lympne area of Kent, and at the location of the previous Home Secretary the Rt Hon Michael Howard MP. This incident occurred during the early hours of 8 March, 1997.

The reason I know write to you is to ask whether your staff, or yourself, can please confirm to me that the report was passed by your department to Air Staff 2al at the Ministry Of Defence, was on the date of 9 June, 1997?

If you or your department could confirm this for me. I would be most appreciative.

Thanking you for your time in this matter.

Yours	Sincerel	4.0	
Section 40			



# **MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT**

To Ser (AS)2

**0603** Ref No______/1998

Date 29-1-98

SEC (AS) 2

30 JAN 1998

FILE

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of the date of this minute. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force on in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 48/97; further information is available from DOMD on extension Section 40

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.





The Rt Hon Jack Straw MP. Secretary Of State for The Home Department Home Office. 50 Queen Anne's Gate. LONDONS SWIH 9AT.

0603

15 January, 1998.

Dear Sir.


On the 5 June, last year, I sent you a cory of a report resarding the sighting of a UFO in the Burmarsh/Lympne area of Kent, and at the location of the previous Home Secretary the Rt Hon Michael Howard MP. This incident occurred during the early hours of 8 March. 1997.

The reason I know write to you is to ask whether your staff, or yourself, can please confirm to me that the report was passed by your department to Air Staff 2al at the Ministry Of Defence, was on the date of 9 Junes 1997?

If you or your department could confirm this for me, I would be most appreciative.

Thanking you for your time in this matter.

Yours	Since	erelyy_		<u> </u>	
Section 40					



Feb 98 9

Section 40


Ministry of Defence Secretariat (Air Staff) 2 Room 8249 8245 Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

Dear 14 Jan (repeated 2 Feb) I am writing to acknowledge receipt of your letter/complaint of ..... about military aircraft activity.

We aim to reply to such letters/complaints within four working weeks from date of receipt. However, owing to current administrative difficulties it may not be possible to reply to you within this timescale.

Nevertheless, you may be assured that you will receive a substantive reply as soon as is practicable.

> Yours sincerely, ection 40



29th August 1997



Thank you for your letter of 4th August. I have no further comment to make on the subject.

V.S. Suicel



Section 40

Section 40

Section 40

### Section 40

Secretariat (Air Staff)2 Ministry Of Defence Room 8247 Main Building Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB.

NAME OF DEPENCE SECIAS) 2 

August, 1997.

Reference D/Sec(AS)/64/3.

Dear Section 40

Thankyou for your communication dated 29 July.

Yes you are correct in your assumption that your reply was rather disappointing. However there are a few things that I should like to point out, in the hope that you can give me some kind of constructive reply.

In your letter you state that "the Ministry Of Defence has only a limited interest in "UFO" - related issues and, within the terms of this remit, I am satisfied that you were provided with the facts of the case". Well despite not receiving any facts what so ever from your department, I am puzzled by you comment regarding the MODs' role in the "UFO"-related issues. If this is so, can you please explain to me, why on documents that are available for all to see at the Public Records Office, from the MOD, they have a distribution list. Just who are DI55 and DSTI? Why should they receive copies of "UFO"- related issues?

Now onto Section 40 correspondence. In her letter she states in paragraph two the following:- "The MOD examines any reports of UFOs, solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance."

"no UFO sighting has revealed such evidence."

"no attempt is made to identify the precise nature of each reported incident..."

The MOD examine but they don't identify, because they're sure that if they did divert resources to a study, everything would be explained. As they have no role or expertise in UFOs, one has to question the validity of any statement that the MOD makes on the subject. Not exactly reassuring to think that if an enemy aircraft looked disc-like or triangular they would dismiss its violation of UK airspace as UFO phenomena...no further action required! Also in my original letter to the Home Secretary, not once did I mention ETs, yet Section 40 says that the MOD remains openminded on the ET theory regarding UFO sightings. It seems like the MOD is very keen to keep that side of the question very much on the agenda, not that I suspect that (AS)2A has knowledge of ETs, more likely that it clouds the central issue regarding the origin of what in some cases is clearly a structured craft...hardly the "alleged phenomena" to which Section 40 refers to in paragraph 3.

Perhaps you could possibly clear this matter up once and for all, and please explain to me why Section 40 letter, totally contradicts itself.

Thanking you for your time in dealing with this matter.





## From: Section 40 Secretariat (Air Staff)2 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8247, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

3

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)





Your reference

Our reference D/Sec(AS)/64/3 Date 29th July 1997



As you know, the Secretary of State for Defence's Special Adviser, Alasdair McGowan, has forwarded your letter of 8th July to Secretariat (Air Staff).

I am sorry you were not happy with Section 40 response to your letter to the Home Secretary. In view of the comments you have made to Mr McGowan I have reviewed the correspondence and the way your letter was handled. As you know, the Ministry of Defence has only a limited interest in 'UFO'-related issues and, within the terms of this remit, I am satisfied that you were provided with the facts of the case. There really is nothing further to say.

I am sorry to send what I know will be a disappointing reply.

Shicerh Section 40

-6 64



Alasdair McGowan

SPECIAL ADVISER TO SECRETARY OF STATE

D/SA (16/97)



14th July 1997

**MINISTRY OF DEFENCE** 

Telephone 0171-218 2911

WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 8th July.

I appreciate that you have already been in correspondence with Secretariat (Air Staff) but, given that this subject does not directly fall within my responsibilities at the MOD, I have passed your letter back to them, as they are the appropriate branch within the Ministry of Defence to deal with this topic.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

A D McGOWAN

Section 40

Mr Alistair Mc Gowan, Ministry Of Defence, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

8 July, 1997.

Dear Mr Mc Gowan,

I am writing to you regarding a UFO sighting, report that was sent to the current Home Secretary (Rt Hon Jack Straw MP). This report regarded the sighting of a reported "Unidentified craft", seen near and possibly above the residence of the former Home Secretary (Rt Hon Michael Howard), on 8 March, 1997.

Replaced (16/97

I have received a reply from Section 40 of Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a1, of which I was not happy with.

In her letter of 3 July, she states: "MOD Air Defence Staff have confirmed that there is no evidence to suggest an unauthorized incursion of the UK Air Defence Region on 8 March".

However at least twelve witnesses observed an "Unidentified craft", at six different locations. All describe seeing the same or similar type object. Can we then conclude in respect of the MOD Air Defence Staff reply, that all these people were seeing something that wasn't there?

The "Unidentified craft", was seen at Lydd at 02:10 and 03:00 hrs/ Burmarsh between 03:05/03:07 hrs/Lympne (Mr Howards' property), at 03:08 hrs/Ashford at 03:10 hrs/Smeeth at 03:20 hrs/Aldington at 03:30 hrs. This object was flying around these areas for about one and a half hours, and yet we are expected to believe that Air Defence Staff, did not observe this event on radar?


It should not be forgotten that on the night of March 30/31 1990, when two Belgian F-16 aircraft, were in pursuit of an "Unidentified craft" which came within six minutes of British airspace. And once again the British public were led to believe that the MOD knew nothing of the events of that night. It is a known fact that Belgium is a member of NATO, and that all other NATO member countries are informed of any "uncorrelated" targets, tracked on radar by other Countries, and that they have launched intercept aircraft. So for the MOD not to have known of the events that night, they must have been asleep. In the letter and document that was sent to the Home Secretary, was also a petition that was signed by concerned members of the public who felt that there was a very serious matter regarding security, with regard to the "Unidentified craft" being seen near the Rt Hon Michael Howards' residence (Burmarsh one and half mile SE/Aldington two miles NW). Can you please tell me what has happened to this petition?

With resard to the "Unidentified craft" being seen over Rt Hon Howards" residence. This was observed by a Fire Brigade crew, who must have been on the track (road) leading to his property, as you cannot see the above mentioned property from the main road (B2067), because the wood blocks the view. So what was the fire crew doing near the Rt Hon Michael Howards' property at that time of the morning? Again I quote from <u>Section 40</u> letter of 3 July, she states:- "The Home Office have confirmed that no security incident occurred at the home of the former Home Secretary on this date". So what were the fire crew doing there? Are we expected to believe that the fire crew were lying? What could they possibly gain from doing so?

I wonder if you have read the report that was passed onto the MOD, by the Home Office? I understand that Chief Inspector Section 40 of the Operations Centre, at the Kent County Constabulary Headquarters, has also forwarded a copy of the report/correspondence to the MOD. Should you have read this report, I would be very interested in your comments. Like other concerned residents of Kent, I feel that this incident does pose the possibility of a security risk, if not of a Defence issue.

I await your reely with interest, and thank you for taking the time in looking into this matter.





Dear Section 40

1. Thank you for your letter of 1 July concerning "unidentified flying objects".

2. You should by now have received my letter of 3 July which covers the points you raised in your letter to the Home Secretary.

Yours sincerely,



Section 40

#### Section 40

Air Secretariat (Air Staff) 2A1 Ministry Of Defence, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SE1A 2HB.

1st July, 1997.

Dear Section 40

I am writing to you, as I understand that a letter, and a report regarding a UFO sighting in the Burmarsh/Lympne area of Kent, which was sent by myself to the Home Secretary, was passed on to you by his department.

As you may be aware, the said sighting of the "Unidentified craft", was also reportedly seen over the former Home Secretary's (Michael Howard), residence. I will not so into detail as to the alleged witnesses who claimed to have seen it over Mr. Howards' home, (and if you have read the report you will know who they are), but just to say that we are now very close to finding the two fire officers. Incidently this is not the only fire crew to see something unusual over the Sheeway area of Kent. It has come to my notice that a fire crew whilst out on a call at approximately 04:00 hrs, over this past weekend saw a very brightly lit "Unidentified object" over the roof tops of houses.

With regard to the Burmarsh sighting, as stated in the report and letter to the Home Secretary the Rt Hon Jack Straw, MP., in this particular case there is a very serious matter of security. As this involved the "Unidentified craft" not being just near the former Home Secretary's residence, but also possibly over the grounds of his property. I would very much like to know your reaction to this particular incident, or that of your superiors?

As I understand from the Home Office, the report was transferred to your department on the 9th of June, so I wonder what action has been taken by the Ministry Of Defence, regarding this matter, and why your department has not contacted me regarding same? If you would wish me to, I will keep you informed of the investigation as it progresses. I should also point out that the said "Unidentified craft" was also seen at the following places and times:- Lydd 02:10 hrs./Lydd 03:00 hrs/Ashford 03:10 hrs/Smeeth 03:20 hrs/Aldington 03:30 hrs. These sighting's were all on the same night, as the Burmarsh/Lymphe sighting's, and all describe the same object. I also enclose another completed form which should be attached to the report you already have.

I await your reply with interest, however on this occasion can you not please send out your usual standard reply that is normally sent, but a proper serious reply, as I am sure you will agree this is a very serious matter, and demands to be treated seriously.

and the second TENCE MIND SEC 1. 2 -3 JUL [39]

Yours Sincerely,

Section 40

	Section 40 Section 40
	FIELD INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM
	Date Of Sighting: 08 TH MARCH 1997 Time Of SIGHTING: 03:05 Hours
	Location Of Sighting: JUNCTION OF DONKEY STREET, WITH LOWER WALL RUND, BURMARSH, KENT.
	National Map Grid Reference Of Observer: APPRox 51° V 01° 01° E,
	National Map Grid Reference Of Object If Known: APPRIX BARENS SI'N/01° 01'E
()	General Description Of Local Terrain Where Object Was Seen: FLAT FIELD WITH CROPT WHERE OBJECT SEEN. HILLS TO THE NORTH, AND FLAT AREAS OF THE ROMMEY MARKE TO THE WEST. THE SEA IS TO THE SOUTH A SEWER SYSTEM RUNS. THILDUL THE FIELD, WITH THE ROYAL MILITARY CANAL RUNNING TO THE NORTH OF THE FIELD UFO WAS SEEN ON WOODL TO THE NORTH AND NORTH WEST OF THE AREA, AND A WILDLIFE
	Are There Any Radio Transmission Masts Near Locality: Yes/No
	Are There Any Water Reservoirs Near Locality: Yes/No BUT THERE IS A Server system RUVNING THROUGH THE FIELD WHERE OBJECT WAS SEEN
	Are There Any Military Installations Near Locality: Yestho - THERE ARE ARMY SMALL ARMS RANGES ABOUT 1/2 MILLED TO THE SOUTH EAST, AND ARMY (MILL ARMS RANGES ABOUT 10 MILLES TO THE SUUTH WEST.
	ABOUT 102 MILLS TO THE SOUTH WEST.
С	Did The Object Leave Any Physical Traces: Yes/No
	What Were The Traces Found: N/A -
	Did The Object Affect Anything During Its Presence: Yes/No
	Name Of Witness:
	Age Of Witness: Section 40 D.O.B Section 40
	Address Of Witness: Section 40 HYTME, KENT,

,**4%**. .

What Was The Witness Doing Immediately Before The Sighting: RIVING HOME AFTER DROPPING OF Some FRIENDS

What Was The Witness Movements On The Day Of Sighting:

Did Anything Unusual Occur To The Witness Before The Sighting: WITNESS SAID SHE FELT THE HAIR ON THE BACK OF HER NECH STAND-OP WHEN SHE SAW THE OBJECTS LISHTS IN THE PISTINGE

Has The Witness Had Any Previous Sightings: Yes/No

Has The Witness Ever Had Any Previous Psychic Experiences: Yes/No

Was The Witness Receiving Any Medication At The Time Of The Incident: Yes/No

Was The Incident Reported To Any Other Organisation (UFO Group/Newspaper Ect) FOLKESTONE HENALD (SOUTH KENT NEWSPAPERS)

If The Incident Was Reported To The Authorities What Feed Back Was There:

If The Incident Was Reported To Another U F O Group What Was Their Conclusions: N/A

What Does The Witness Think The Object Could Have Been: Mouth - MAY IN WAY POSSIBLY A STEALTH OF ADVINCED FUTURISTIC ANCIDENT, BUT MEN FEAR THIS WHS NOT POSSIBLE DUE TO OTSSELFS BETHINGUN, AND WHS A UFO. How Do They Come To The Conclusion That They Have Reached: BECAUSE OF THE OTSERVED OTS SECTS BEHAVIOUR.

Has The Witness Suffered Any Effects Since The Incident: Mo.

How Did The Witness Come Across During The Time You Spoke To Them Concerning The Incident: was still VERY FRISHTENED AT TIME OF WHER VIEW.

Has The Witness Ever Read Any Books On UFOs: ¥#5/No

What Is The Witnesses Feeling On UFOS: MAS FOUND IT FASCINARIA SWCE THE SIGHTING.

Has Any Other Member Of The Witness's Family Ever Seen A UFO: ¥66/No

Are There Any Other Comments Or Details Regarding This Incident That May Be Of Relevance:

(Use Separate Sheet If Needed)

Signature Of Field Investig



## From: Section 40 Secretariat (Air Staff) 2a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Your reference

Our reference D/Sec(AS)/64/3 Date 3 July 1997



Section 40

1. Thank you for your recent letter addressed to the Secretary of State for the Home Department concerning an alleged "UFO sighting" near the residence of the former Home Secretary, Michael Howard. Your letter and the supporting papers have been passed to the Ministry of Defence and I have been asked to reply. You also wrote to the Chief Inspector of the Operations Centre, Kent County Constabulary, Maidstone. Chief Inspector Section 40 has also forwarded his correspondence to me.

2. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of "unidentified flying objects" it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the UK Air Defence Region might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity. The integrity of the United Kingdom's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force which remains vigilant for any potential military threat. Unless there is evidence of such a threat, and to date no "unidentified flying object" sighting has revealed such evidence, no attempt is made to identify the precise nature of each reported incident. We believe that down to earth explanations could be found for these reports, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, if resources were diverted for this purpose. It would, however, be an inappropriate use of defence resources to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

3. The MOD has no expertise or role in respect of "UFO/flying saucer" matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains open-minded. I should emphasize that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. 4. MOD Air Defence staff have confirmed that there is no evidence to suggest an unauthorized incursion of the UK Air Defence Region on 8 March. The Home Office has confirmed that no security incident occurred in the home of the former Home Secretary on this date as you allege in your letter.

5. I hope this explains the position.

Yours sincerely,



MINISTERIAL BUSINESS: TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

I should be grateful if you would arrange for a reply to be sent, on behalf of Section 40 of State, to the attached 7 Correspondence from Section 40 of State, to the attached 7 It must be placed on a Departmental registered file. An acknowledgement has not been sent. If another branch has responsibility for the subject, please pass it direct to them and inform this office.

2. The Secretary of State attaches importance to letters addressed to him being answered promptly. You should, therefore, send a reply within two weeks of the date of this minute. If, exceptionally, this is not possible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

3. Whilst we make every effort to ensure that letters are only sent out for official reply when there is no obvious reason for a Minister to reply, you should confirm to your own satisfaction that a Ministerial reply would not be more appropriate. In particular if a Parliamentary Enquiry relating to the same issue is in hand, or where you believe the subject is particularly sensitive, you should consider carefully whether you need to draw this letter to the attention of the Parliamentary Branch and Private Office.

4. I am sending copies of this minute, with the attachment, to:

5. The Open Government Code of Practice came into force on 4 April 1994. You should ensure that all replies to members of the public are provided in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. For further information please see DCI(Gen; 42, 77 or contact DOMD on Section 40

ection 40 Registry/S of S Section 40 CHOTS: SOFS-PAGroupRole

MINISTERIAL BUSINESS: TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

MINISTERIAL BUSINESS: TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

HOD

ection 40

The Rt Hon Jack Straw MP Secretary Of State for The Home Departmen Home Office 50 Queen Anne's Gate London SW1H 9AT

Dear Sir.

I would very much like to see a full public entry into the recent UFO incident of the 8th March that occurred at/near the residence of the former Home Secretary Michael Howard.

As Michael Howard is my MP, 1 tried to bring up the issue with Roger Gale MP, who was unable to help (see enclosures). I have turned to your Department as a last resort. I would also like to express my indignance and outrage at the way this incident has been ignored.

I have enclosed a full report and a completed petition which should demonstrate that there is some public concern relating to this issue.


I would be grateful to you, if you would raise this issue as a Parlimentary Question, something to the effect:-

"To ask The Right Hon. Member for Fokestone and Hythe (i) was he in his Shepway Residence during the early hours of 8th March, 1997? (ii) what was the nature of the security incident at his Shepway Residence during the early hours of 8th March, 1997?; and if he will make a statement."

I should also like to point out, that many others including myself do feel that there is a very serious matter of security involving this particular incident. It is also felt that it is quite inconceivable that the Government continue to deny or even acknowledge the existence of UFOs, in spite of all the evidence, such as CIA/NSA/FBI records which have been released over the years in the United states, and of course the MOD records now available for inspection at the Public Records Office. If the Government continue to maintain that there no serious problems concerning UFOs, then why the records, and Departmental records?

I await your reply with interest.





**2**5 May 1997

The Honourable Roger Gale MP House of Commons London SW1A 0AA

Dear Sir,

I have been reading extracts from a book on the subject of UFOs called Open Skies-Closed Minds" by a Mr Nick Pope. I found the material quite incredible, especially coming from a civil servant in The Ministry of Defence.

What I find quite inconceivable is that the government continue to deny or even acknowledge the existence of UFOs in spite of all the evidence.

For The Ministry of Defence to claim that incidents such as that at Rendlesham Forest in 1980 are of no defence significance is totally outrageous and I feel this policy needs to be reversed.

It would not be a good idea for me to approach my own MP, Michael Howard on this, as I understand he had an experience of his own (see enclosure). Nevertheless, I feel that this particular incident is a grave matter of security.

I hope you will consider raising these issues in Parliament.

Yours Sincerely

Section 40



# Roger Gale, M.P.



House of Commons London, SW1A 0AA

01227 722366 (Private Office - a.m. only) 01227 720593 (FAX - 24hr) 0171 219 3000 (House of Commons) 0171 219 4343 (Members' messages)

29.5.97





Thank you for your letter of 25th May which has been received in our office during Mr Gale's absence.

There is a very strict Parliamentary convention and Members of Parliament do not deal with each other's constituents' enquiries and we would normally send your correspondence on to Michael Howard for attention. However, as you do not seem to want to contact Mr Howard we are returning this to you.

With my best wishes Yours sincerely

Section 40 Parliamentary Uttice Secretary

- 0	OTI	$\sim n$	
	CII		

• ]• ]

Section 40

Section 40

### -1 JUN 1997

"TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE HOME DEPARTMENT.

THE PÉTITION OF A MUMBER OF THE RESIDEN**TS** OF THE COUNTY OF KENT AND ELSEWHERE DECLARES THAT THERE WAS AN INCIDENT INVOLVING A SIGHTING OF AN UNIDENTIFIED CRAFT IN THE VICINITY OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE MP FOR FOLKESTONE AND HYTHE (SHEPWAY), ON UR AROUND THE 8TH OF MARCH, 1997.

THE PETITIONERS THEREFORE REQUEST THAT THE HOME DEPARTMENT SHOULD HOLD A FULL PUBLIC ENQUIRY INTO THE SAID INCIDENT, AND PUBLICISE ANY SUCH FINDINGS THAT MAY ARISE FROM SAID INQUIRY.

AND THE PETITIONERS REMAIN ETC."

NAME

Section 40

ADDRESS

SIGNATURE

Section 40
Name: Section 40
Address: Section 40 Hymne Hymne
Section 40
Tel: Age/D.O.B:
Date of observation: $08/02/97$ . Time: $02.00/ms$
Locality of observation: DUNKEY ST. RUILMASH, WEST HYALE.
How long was the object visible: 1 mm ut
Please describe the weather conditions if possible: Cloudy. Cold.
Position of Sun/Moon in relation to object seen: $N/A$ -
Please try and describe the object seen as best as you can: TRVAVGULAR/ELONGARED.
Size about 2 plunes in length.
Was the object brighter than the background sky: Yex -
If so was it brighter than the Sun/Moon/Headlights: Lights Suight Dumment Inceted
effect/rin foil-like.
Was the object observed through binoculars/telescope: N/A -
Try to give an approximation of the objects size: About Size on two large prosenger
How did you happen to notice the object: Saw the Lishts in front of can t
the left.
How did you happen to notice the object: Saw the highls in front of can to the left. Where were you and what were you doing at the time: During home after the they
futures and the second s
Please try and estimate the distance of the object: About 3-400 mether.
Are there any Airports/Military/Governmental facilities in the area of your observation: Muny Runges Neuropy.
Have you ever seen anything else which you would describe as unusual: MA
( If yes please give details on a separate sheet of paper )
Please draw a rough sketch of the area and of the object observed on the reverse of this form.
Have you reported the incident to anyone else (if so who and when): $N/A$ .
Have you reported the incident to anyone else (if so who and when): $N/A$ .

Section 40

Si

Thanking you for your co-operation in this incident.

Date: 14. 3. 97.

ing this

<u>STA</u>	FEMENT OF DE	CLARATION	
Section 40			
Section 40		11 Y-71-1E,	

I ALSO APPROVE/DO-NOT APPROVE OF ANY PHOTOGRAPHS/VIDEO FOOTAGE MADE BY ME, OR OF MYSELF PRODUCED BY UFOMEK DURING INTERVIEWS BEING USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH MY REPORT IN ANY PUBLICATION.

Section 40	
SIGNE	
DATE:	
Section 40 SIGNED:	••••
( UFOMEK INVESTIGATOR )	
DATE: 14 March 1997	

Section 40

Section 40

# **BURMARSH SIGHTING WITNESS STATEMENT**

"It was very early in the morning, I think it was about three o'clock. I was driving back from dropping some friends off and I was coming down the road and I felt, I said afterwards to other people since, that I felt really weird. I was really looking over my shoulder on the way home. I was a bit scared, a weird feeling anyway.

And I saw something in front of me, and thought "Oh my God what the hell is it ?", and sort of slowed down because I thought it was coming at me, and it stopped in a field in front of me. Probably 3 to 400 yards away, and I slowed down looking at it. It was just this huge triangle thing, which was a lot bigger than an aeroplane, but there is no way that I could have mistaken it for an aeroplane. or anything like that.

It had lights all around the outside, and this disc attached to the back, and a big light on the front. I pulled up to stop, and as I did it shot off. Literally shot off. I thought "Oh God what is this? This is really, really scary." And it stopped again, sort of another 500 yards away from me, and it did that four times. It just shot further and further away, but stopped four times, sort of moved for about 5-6 seconds, stopped for 2 sec's, then moved again for another 5-6 seconds and so on. The object was moving Westwards., and all the time it was making this weird humming sound. There was no other noise, like an aircraft engine.

It was really peculiar, it was, I wouldn't say shiny, but looked more sort of tin foily, sort of shimmery. It was shiny in places, and not in others. I just don't know what it was, it was so weird. The lights were really bright, a very bright one at the front, and when it shot off, I saw a light in each corner, which were white in colour. The ones around the outside were a sort of yellow-white, and there was also a circle of light in the middle, of the same colour as the outside ones.

When I first saw it, the point was facing me, but when it shot off it sort of..... I don't know, it must have swiveld, but I don't remember it swivelling, because I could see it side on then, and I could see underneath as it shot off, and there was this circle of lights.

I probably got a good look at it literally for a matter of seconds, and then it flicked off, and then stopped for a few seconds, and then it flicked off again and so on. I would say no more than 25 seconds, if that. I saw it for quite a long time in the distance, because I saw all these lights, and thought they were just lights. It wasn't until I got closer that I thought "Bloody hell, what is that?" So maybe I saw it for a lot longer that I thought.

It wasn't something like you see on television, like the futuristic planes,


well you can always tell that they are planes. Where as this was not like that. I was really frightened by it, and I'm not stupid. I don't believe in anything like that (UFO's). This is not something I've ever seen before or like something we would have built. It was just too weird, too odd, and the strange shimmer effect. It looked like an object suspended, had no wobble or anything like that. And when it moved off it was like a fluid movement, it was really odd. There was no slowing down or speeding up during each movement, like when you flip a coin or stone across the water.

The object was like an equelatteral triangle, about double the size of an airliner, maybe as big as a football field. It wasn't very thick, but seemed thin along the edges, but sort of mounding in the middle. As I said there was this humming sound, like the sound you hear when you stand under overhead power cables. When I first heard the noise I thought it was the car engine playing up, and put my foot on the accelerator, for a second or two, but the noise was still there, and it was then that I realised the sound was coming from the object.

When the object finally disappeared, I kept looking around in the sky, thinking "Oh God where has it gone?" Looking for lights, anything, even aircraft lights and it was then that I noticed there were no stars visible.

All the time I had the feeling of the hairs standing up on the back of my neck, and I was convinced I had someone in the car with me. I felt really scared, as I drove home. I think I arrived home about twenty past three, maybe half-past three, I don't remember. But I woke everyone up and told them what I had seen and had a drink to calm me down. I was really st

SIGNE
Section 40
DATE: 25th APRIC, 1997
Section 40 WITNESSED BY:
Section 40
DATE: 25" APRIL, 1997
WITNESSED BY:
Section 40
DATE: 25 April 1997
ORIGINAL 25 APR 1997



# UFO is sighted ver the mars

was so peculiar, it all felt

really odd and I heard this

# By Sarah Hall

SHEPWAY has once again become the centre of a wave of suspected UFO sightings. "As the becked across the Three people contacted was amazed to see a large field I saw a large triangu-

the Herald this week after triangular 'space-ship' lar shaped flying craft a strange object was seen stop in a field directly in hovering about 300 metres looming in the sky over the Romney Marsh area in home through Donkey the early hours of last Street in New Romney at one end and a lot of bright

front of her, as she drove off the ground.

(LOCAL PRESS) FOLKESTONE HERALD

"It had a large dome at Saturday morning. just after 3am on Saturday lights around the sides and Sophie Wadleigh, 25, of morning. Jooked quite shiny — I Shepherds walk, Hyther Shocked Sophie said: "It really had no idea what it

was and just sat in the car looking at it.

"After a few seconds u shot off leaving in a flash of light, travelling about 500m across the field and stopping again. "It did this four times

and then flew into the distance and I watched until it was out of sight.

"I have talked to friends since who have said that it was probably an aeroplane or an advanced Stealth bomber - but I have never seen anything like it before."

And it would seem the strange object was also spotted by two men as they returned home from a night out.

Ji Lane, 23. and Christopher Lee, 27, from Lathe Farm in New Romney were just locking up when they spotted a mysterious shape in the field opposite,

Mr Lane said: "It was crazy - I was getting a drink in the kitchen when I saw these strange lights in the sky just over the field across the road from our house.

'I wasn't sure what they were as they weren't moving so I called Chris and we both watched this weird floating object. "We could only just

make it out as we were quite far away, but when we ran outside to get a better look it had shot into the distance."

### <u>Silent</u>

Mr Lee added; "If it was an aeroplane of some sort you would have expected there to have been loads of noise but this was silent.

"Also it was a lot longer than a plane and moved incredibly quickly — 1 have no idea what it was and we were both left speechless."

Both descriptions of the UFO fit those researched by the Shepway based UFOMEK monitoring group - who have collated files on reported UFO sightings in the area.

According to UFOMEK strange objects seen in the sky are usually described by 'spotters' as triangular disc-like objects with a finish almost like a diamond.

These objects are also said to be capable of staying completely still and then shooting off at incredible speeds, normally after a dramatic flash in

Local expert and UFOMEK assistant co-ordinator, Chris Rolfe from Hill Road Folkestone Folkestone said: "I am really not at all surprised at the descriptions wen about the flying objects --his these flying triangles have been spotted all over the country. -11

Myth

"The earliest record we have of such a sighting was in 1973, when a group of boys at the Duke of York's school in Dover spotted the triangular shaped UFO.

"We don't know what they are but the humming noise rules out the Stealth bomber myth as they make no noise at all.

"The really peculiar thing about this sighting is that we thought something might happen as much of Folkestone experienced a power cut last week -and occurance which often happens before or after a sighting."

Mr Rolfe also believes the military know all about the mysterious craft spotted in the sky.

He claims that after several UFO sightings RAF and NATO aircraft have been seen patrolling the area.

He said: "We believe the military know a lot more than they are letting on about these sightings.

"For example a couple who reported a UFO in Thanet also told of military activity in Minnis Bay and numerous other people have seen RAF planes patrolling sighting spots "We don't know what these objects are at this moment in time and unfortunately can only record them as Unidentifiable Flying Objects." •Anyone wanting to report a UFO can contact Chris Rolfe at OMEK on (01303) 254774.

# 13ª MARCH, 1997

# **Spaceships**, aliens and the Home Sec

UFO'S spotted over Shepway could have been week's Herald only said looking for Home Secretary, Michael Howard, Donkey Street as the sight looking for Home Secretary, Michael Howard, experts have warned.

Following reports of mystery aircraft sightings in New Romney and Burmarsh in last week's Burmarsh in last Herald, Chris Rolfe, co-ordinator of UFOMEK. has highlighted the closeness of the sightings to the Shepway MP's home near the old Lympne Castle ruir

9

And he fears that the position of the sightings is more than just coincidence.

Mr Rolfe cautioned: "It would seem the UFO was totally disinterested in Sophie, the girl who reported it and watched it for quite a long time.

By Sarah Hall

"This certainly makes it seem like it had a purpose and has left me wondering if it's purpose had some thing to do with Mr Howard."

However Mr Rolfe was amazed to learn that Mr Howard's party agent knew all about the UFO. He said: "I phoned Mt Howard's party agent in Folkestone and asked whether Mr Howard had seen anything strange i was immediately asked if I meant the UFO. "The story in last

of the spotting.

"Donkey Street is a very long road and the report did not state which end the UFO was seen at so it seems very odd that those close to Mr Howard already knew that it was near his house."

And now Mr Rolfe and UFOMEK would like to some questions scc answered. "I would like to know

whether anyone spotted any strange men poking about around the area afterwards or whether Mr Howard's security system was activated in any way.

"The whole story is creating a lot of interest all over England and when

you think of the implications it is quite easy to see

when

she

76381.

.

"I would love to know what the Government think of a strange aircraft being spotted near to the home of one of its senior cabinet ministers."

Sophie Wadleigh, of Shepherds Walk, Hythe, who saw the large object in the sky while driving home in the early hours of Saturday, March 8 said: "The UfO I saw was a targe triangular shaped craft with bright lights running all round it's edge - it was above the field which lays directly opposite the turn off to Donkey Street in Burmarsh.

"When you have seen something like that you have to ask yourself why was it there - and maybe the Home secretary was the reason.

When the Herald contacted Mr Howard's office he was unavailable for comment.

### Extract from The Folkestone Herald; 20.3.97

### CONCLUSIONS

- A:- Whilst we do not pretend to know what it was that was observed, we think we can make some suggestions as to what it wasn't.
- (1): AIRCRAFT: No normal aircraft looks like this object, or even performs the way that this observed object did.
- (2): HELICOPTER: A helicopter can hover, however the sheer size of the observed object rules this out, and the noise from a helicopter would have been horrendous siven the height of the observed object.
- (3): STEALTH TECHNOLOGY: There have been suggestions that the object observed was a Stealth bomber. Firstly, there are only 15 of these aircraft in the USAF inventory and they have only become on a fully operational satus in April of this year (1997), according to our sources.

Because of the sheer cost of the B-2 Stealth bomber (said to be approximately 22 million per aircraft), would the USAF risk flying the aircraft over European Countries? So far as we know the B-2 has only appeared twice in Europe, both times during International Airshows, at Paris, France in 1995, and again at the 1996 Farnborough Airshow.

The B-2 bomber cannot hover, and makes a tremendous amount of noise, and the shape of the aircraft in no way resembles a triangle. The object observed had many lights on its base, which is something that the Stealth bomber does not have. If the B-2 had the amount of lights that this object had, it would not be Stealth.

- (4): BALLOON: It has been suggested that the object observed have been a new generation of balloon. This could be a possibility, but again the size of the object which the main witness describes as being about the size of a field, or two passenger planes end to end, rules this out as a possible explanation, until such time as hard evidence becomes available.
- (5): HALLUCIMATION:- It is felt that this could not be a possible explanation for the sighting, as we feel it to be impossible for five different witnesses at three different locations (Donkey St. Burmarsh/Lower Wall Rd. Burmarsh/Aldergate Lane Lympne), to experience the same hallucination. Also information has come to light that other witnesses saw the same or similar triangle object at Lydd, and Aldington.
- (6): TEMPERATURE INVERSION: Due to not having the appropriate data. we cannot say for sure if this could be the answer. However we feel confident that this is not the explanation.

(7): ASTRONOMICAL: The old chestnut, ie the planet Venus. This explanation may sound ludicrous, but the M.O.D. and R.A.F. along with the U.S. Air Force, have often come up with this explanation to try and explain sighting's of UFO's. However on this occasion it was cloudy/overcast with no stars visible. So unless Venus has the ability to sprout wings and come down and fly several hundred feet in the air below cloud, we think it is safe to assume that this is not the answer, and the planet Venus was hidden by the Suns glare to be visible, and will not be fully visible again around June/July (1997). There were no reports of any bright meteors being seen.

B:- The main witness has been fully co-operative throughout the entire investigation, with both ourselves and other persons. We have offered her the opportunity to withdraw her statement on more than one occasion, and she has declined to do so. Stating that she is only reporting what she saw, and believes to be the truth, on what she has seen.

There are also at least four other witnesses in the immediate vicinity, as well as several witnesses to the same or similar object at the town of Lydd (which is approx nine and a half miles South West of the Burmarsh area), at about 02:50/02:55 hours, and at the village of Aldington (which is approx 4 miles Morth West of the Burmarsh area), at about 03:30 hours. Taking into consideration that the object seen at Lydd and Aldington, is the same one observed in the Burmarsh/Lympne area (the location of Michael Howards residence is approx one and a half miles North West of location of the main witness sighting), we believe that the sequence of events regarding the objects track (movements) could be as follows:-

1):- Lydd, at approx 02:50/02:55 hrs. 2):- In field opposite Great Lath Farm, Donkey Street Burmarsh. At 03:00 hrs by Section 40 and Section 40 Approx 10 miles from Lydd.

3):- In field opposite with Donkey Street, and Lower Wall Road, Burmarsh. At 03:00/03:05 hrs approx, by<mark>Section 40</mark> Approx 1 mile from Great Lath Farm.

4):- Above residence of the then Home Secretary Michael Howard, in Aldersate Lane, Lymene. At some time between 03:05/03:10 hrs by two Firemen?

Amerox 1 and half miles from Lower Wall Rd/Donkey St. 5):- At Aldinston, at amon 03:30 hrs.

PFFrox 2 and half miles from Howards' residence.

C:- With resard to the sighting's at Lydd, and Aldington, we are awaiting full reports on these from an independent source. The two firemen who are rumoured to have seen the object at Michael Howards' residence have not been easy to track down. However if there is any truth to the report, then the two firemen must have been at Mr. Howards' residence in an official capacity, as it is about guarter a mile from the main road (B2067), that runs through the village of Lympne. You cannot see Mr. Howards' residence from the B2067, as the wood (Hill Hurst/Aldergate), blocks the view, which we feel rules out the idea that the two firemen were off duty and driving along the main road. Therefore we believe that they must have either been approaching Mr. Howards' residence, or had arrived when they saw the object. Until the two firemen come forward we cannot know the full facts. All efforts to track them down have been so far met with failure.

We now come to the object being sighted over the residence of Mr. Michael Howard (the then Home Secretary of the British Government). Taking into account the above regarding the two firemen who had reported that they had seen the object above Mr. Howards' residence, to a freelance reporter, it could be that the Security personnel assigned to the said residence saw the object coming towards them from the Donkey Street/Lower Wall Road (Burmarsh), area to the South/South-East, and thinking that it was an aircraft of some description, or indeed a helicopter hit the panic button. Which could explain why the two firemen were there.

Indeed it would be interesting to know if Mr. Howards was in residence at the time of the incident? Also it would be very interesting to know what the security personnel made of the object when they realised that it could in no way be an aircraft or helicopter, none of which they were familiar with? It seems logical to assume that the local police were contacted, in fact they would probably have arrived at the said residence in answer to the security alert. But who else would have arrived on the scene? Indeed once it was realised that they were not dealing with a normal every day object, who would they have contacted? Would the M.O.D. have been contacted? It is obvious that a full report would have been submitted to persons at a higher level, but how high? The then Prime Minister John Major?

D: ----

This brings to question the security issue in this incident. For years the Ministry Of Defence, the Royal Air Force, and indeed the British Government, have allways stated that UFOs were not a defence issue (the term most frequently used is: "of no defence significance"), and were certainly of no security risk! Yet here we have an object which is unassessable, flying around British airspace, and also entering what could be termed as restricted airspace, as it passes over the residence of the then Home Secretary. If that cannot be classed as a potential security risk, let alone then it seems that the powers that be, do not even have their own senior Cabinet Ministers interests and personal safety at the forefront when it comes to UNIDENTIFIED air traffic! Is it not time for the whole matter of what the British government know about UFOs, Kespecially with resard to incidence of this nature), to come clean, and stop pussy footins around, telling the British public that there is nothing to the UFO problem? As this case demonstrates, it is time for a change in the way that the situation regarding UFOs are dealt with, and a better understanding between the Ministry Of Defence, the R.A.F. and the Government and the British people, being developed.

### E:- FINAL CONCLUSION

With resard to the whole incident during the early hours of Saturday, 8 March, 1997, the degree of risk can only be one of UNASSESSABLE. The cause of the incident: UNASSESSABLE.